



2023 BASEL III PILLAR 3 DISCLOSURE

AS AT 30 SEPTEMBER 2023
APS 330: PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

Important notice

This document has been prepared by Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited (ANZ) to meet its disclosure obligations under the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) ADI Prudential Standard (APS) 330: Public Disclosure.

Table of Contents¹

| | |
|---|----|
| Chapter 1 – Introduction | 3 |
| Purpose of this document..... | 3 |
| Chapter 2 - Risk appetite and governance | 5 |
| Risk types | 5 |
| Risk appetite framework | 6 |
| Risk management governance | 6 |
| Chapter 3 – Capital reporting and measurement | 10 |
| Chapter 4 – Capital and capital adequacy | 11 |
| Table 1 Capital disclosure template..... | 11 |
| Table 2 Main features of capital instruments | 21 |
| Table 6 Capital adequacy | 21 |
| Chapter 5 – Credit risk | 25 |
| Table 7 Credit risk – General disclosures | 25 |
| Table 8 Credit risk – Disclosures for portfolios subject to the Standardised approach and supervisory risk weights in the IRB approach | 42 |
| Table 9 Credit risk – Disclosures for portfolios subject to Advanced IRB approaches | 43 |
| Table 10 Credit risk mitigation disclosures | 55 |
| Table 11 General disclosures for derivative and counterparty credit risk | 61 |
| Chapter 6 – Securitisation | 65 |
| Table 12 Banking Book - Securitisation disclosures | 68 |
| Trading Book - Securitisation disclosures..... | 75 |
| Chapter 7 – Market risk..... | 76 |
| Table 13 Market risk – Standard approach..... | 76 |
| Table 14 Market risk – Internal models approach..... | 77 |
| Chapter 8 - Operational risk..... | 81 |
| Table 15 Operational risk | 81 |
| Chapter 9 – Equities | 84 |
| Table 16 Equities – Disclosures for banking book positions | 84 |
| Chapter 10 – Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book | 86 |
| Table 17 Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book | 86 |
| Chapter 11 – Leverage and Liquidity Coverage Ratio..... | 89 |
| Table 18 Leverage Ratio | 89 |
| Table 19 Summary comparison of accounting assets vs. leverage ratio exposure measure | 90 |
| Table 20 Liquidity Coverage Ratio disclosure template | 91 |
| Table 21 NSFR disclosure template | 92 |
| Glossary | 94 |

¹ Each table reference adopted in this document aligns to those required by APS 330 to be disclosed at full year.

Chapter 1 – Introduction

Purpose of this document

This document has been prepared in accordance with the Australian Prudential Regulation Authority (APRA) ADI Prudential Standard (APS) 330: Public Disclosure.

APS 330 Public Disclosure Prudential Standard requires locally-incorporated authorised deposit-taking institutions (ADIs) to meet minimum requirements for the public disclosure of key information on their capital, risk exposures, remuneration practices and, where applicable, leverage ratio, liquidity coverage ratio, net stable funding ratio and indicators for the identification of potential global systemically important banks, so as to contribute to the transparency of financial markets and to enhance market discipline.

This document is prepared for ANZ BH Pty Ltd (ANZ Bank HoldCo) in accordance with Board policy and the APS 330 reporting standard requirements. It presents information on the Group's Capital Adequacy and Risk Weighted Assets calculations for credit risk, securitisation, traded market risk, interest rate risk in the banking book and operational risk.

Establishment of a new group organisational structure

On 3 January 2023, Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited (ANZBGL) established by a scheme of arrangement a non-operating holding company, ANZ Group Holdings Limited (ANZGHL), as the new listed parent holding company of the ANZ Group and implemented a restructure to separate ANZ's banking and certain non-banking businesses into the ANZ Bank Group and ANZ Non-Bank Group (Restructure). The ANZ Bank Group comprises ANZBGL and the majority of its businesses and subsidiaries that were held in ANZBGL prior to the Restructure. The ANZ Non-Bank Group comprises banking-adjacent businesses developed or acquired by the ANZ Group, to focus on bringing new technology and banking-adjacent services to the ANZ Group's customers, and a separate service company.

The APS 330 disclosure has been prepared on the level 2 basis being ANZ Bank HoldCo as the head of ANZ's Level 2 banking group following the Restructure (formerly Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited for prior years).

Basel in ANZ

APRA released new bank capital adequacy requirements applying to Australian incorporated registered banks, which are set out in APRA's Banking Prudential Standard documents. ANZ has implemented these new requirements from 1 January 2023. The new capital adequacy key requirements include changes to APS 110 Capital Adequacy (APS 110), APS 112 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Approach to Credit Risk (APS 112) and APS 113 Capital Adequacy: Internal Ratings-based Approach to Credit Risk (APS 113) with key features of the reforms including:

- improving the flexibility of the capital framework, through larger capital buffers that can be used by banks to support lending during periods of stress;
- changes to risk weighted assets (RWA) through more risk-sensitive risk weights increasing capital requirements for higher risk lending and decreasing it for lower risks;
- changes to loss given default rates (LGD) including approved use of an internal ratings-based (IRB) approved LGD model for mortgage portfolios;
- an increase in the IRB scaling factor (from 1.06x to 1.1x);
- requirement that IRB ADIs calculate and disclose RWA under the standardised approach and the introduction of a capital floor at 72.5% of standardised RWA; and
- use of prescribed New Zealand authority's equivalent prudential rules for the purpose of calculating the Level 2 regulatory capital requirement.

In addition, operational RWA is now calculated under APS 115 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Measurement Approach to Operational Risk (APS 115) which replaced the previous advanced methodology from December 2022.

The revisions to the prudential standards resulted in changes to capital treatments, calculations, and the format of the disclosures from the 31 March 2023 period. Comparative periods reported before March 2023 in this document remain based on the pre 1 January 2023 prudential standards and have not been restated.

The application of APRA Capital Reform in January 2023 reduced RWA by \$34.5 billion, equivalent to a 100 bps CET1 ratio benefit. This was partially offset by APRA's expectations that ADIs operate a higher capital ratio to maintain an unquestionably strong level.

Verification of disclosures

These Pillar 3 disclosures have been verified in accordance with Board-approved policy, including ensuring consistency with information contained in ANZ's Financial Report and in Pillar 1 returns provided to APRA. In addition, ANZ's external auditor has performed an agreed upon procedure engagement with respect to these disclosures.

Comparison to ANZ's Financial Reporting

These disclosures have been produced in accordance with regulatory capital adequacy concepts and rules, rather than with accounting policies adopted in ANZ's financial reports. As such, there are different areas of focus and measures in some common areas of these disclosures. These differences are most pronounced in the credit risk disclosures, for instance:

- The principal method for measuring the amount at risk is Exposure at Default (EAD), which is the estimated exposure owed on a credit obligation at the time of default. Under the Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach in APS 113 Capital Adequacy: Internal Ratings-based Approach to Credit Risk, banks are accredited to provide their own estimates of EAD or use supervisory estimates for all exposures (drawn, commitments or contingents) reflecting the current balance as well as the likelihood of additional drawings prior to default. Note APS 113 no longer permits the use of own estimates (internally modelled credit conversion factors (CCFs)) for committed non-retail exposures and non-revolving retail, therefore ANZ apply supervisory CCFs as detailed in APS 112.
- Loss Given Default (LGD) is an estimate of the loss expected in the event of default. LGD is essentially calculated as the amount at risk (EAD) less expected net recoveries from realisation of collateral as well as any post default repayments of principal and interest.
- Most credit risk disclosures split ANZ's portfolio into regulatory asset classes, which span different areas of ANZ's internal divisional and business unit organisational structure.

Unless otherwise stated, all amounts are rounded to AUD millions.

Suncorp Bank Acquisition

On 18 July 2022, the ANZ Group announced an agreement to purchase 100% of the shares in SBGH Limited, the immediate non-operating holding company of Suncorp Bank. The acquisition was subject to Australian Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC) authorisation or approval. The ACCC declined to grant authorisation for this acquisition in August 2023 and this decision is currently subject to review by the Australian Competition Tribunal. In addition, the acquisition remains subject to satisfaction of certain conditions, including Federal Treasurer approval and certain amendments to the State Financial Institution and Metway Merger Act 1996 (QLD). ANZBGL will also have a termination right under the Suncorp Bank Sale Agreement if APRA issues a written communication to ANZBGL under or in connection with APS 222 Associations with Related Entities to the effect that ANZBGL must not proceed with completion of the acquisition. Assuming the conditions are satisfied, and merger approval is granted, it is expected to occur in mid-calendar year 2024.

Chapter 2 – Risk appetite and governance

Risk types: ANZ is exposed to a broad range of inter-related business risks.

- **Capital Adequacy risk** is the risk of loss arising from ANZ failing to maintain the level of capital required by prudential regulators and other key stakeholders (shareholders, debt investors, depositors, rating agencies etc.) to support ANZ's consolidated operations and risk appetite. Losses include those arising from diminished reputation, a reduction in investor/counter-party confidence, regulatory non-compliance (e.g. fines and banking licence restrictions) and an inability for ANZ to continue to do business. ANZ pursues an active approach to capital management, which is designed to protect the interests of depositors, creditors, and shareholders.
- **Compliance risk** is the risk of failure to act in accordance with laws, regulations, industry standards and codes, internal policies and procedures and principles of good governance as applicable to ANZ's businesses.
- **Conduct Risk** is the risk of loss or damage arising from the failure of ANZ, its employees or agents to appropriately consider the interests of customers, the integrity of the financial markets and the expectations of the community in conducting its business activities.
- **Credit risk** is the risk of financial loss resulting from a counterparty failing to fulfil its credit obligations or from a decrease in credit quality of a counterparty resulting in a loss of value.
- **Financial Crime Risk covers the following risks at ANZ:**
 - Money Laundering (ML) Risk – the risk that ANZ may reasonably face from its products and/or services being misused to facilitate the processing of the proceeds of crime to conceal their illegal origins and make them appear legitimate;
 - Terrorism Financing (TF) Risk – the risk that ANZ may reasonably face from its products and/or services being misused to facilitate the provision or collection of funds with the intention or knowledge that they be used to carry out acts associated in support of terrorists or terrorist organisations;
 - Sanctions Risk – the risk of failing to comply with laws and regulations relating to sanctions imposed by governments and multinational bodies as a result of ANZ's products and services being misused to facilitate prohibited sanctioned activities; and
 - Fraud Risk – the risk that ANZ may reasonably face from its products and/or services being misused to facilitate intentional acts by one or more individuals, involving the use of deception to obtain an unjust or illegal advantage arising from internal or external sources.
- **Market risk** stems from ANZ's trading and balance sheet activities and is the risk to ANZ's earnings arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, volatility, correlations or from fluctuations in bond, commodity or equity prices.
- **Liquidity and Funding risk** is the risk that the Group is unable to meet its payment obligations as they fall due, including repaying depositors or maturing wholesale debt, or that the Group has insufficient capacity to fund increases in assets.
- **Operational risk** is the risk of loss and/or non-compliance with laws resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and/or systems, or from external events. This definition includes legal risk, and the risk of reputation loss or damage arising from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, but excludes strategic risk.
- **Strategic risk** is risks that affect or are created by an organisation's business strategy and strategic objectives. A possible source of loss might arise from the pursuit of an unsuccessful business plan. For example, strategic risk might arise from making poor strategic business decisions, from the substandard execution of decisions, from inadequate resource allocation, or from a failure to respond well to changes in the business environment.
- **Technology risk** covers the risk of loss and/or non-compliance with laws from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or systems that deliver Technology assets and services to customers and staff. This includes Technology assets and services delivered or managed by third parties, and external events. An Information Technology (IT) asset is any instance of software or hardware (including cloud computing) that is either owned by ANZ or licensed to ANZ by an external entity and used in the delivery of services to customers and staff. The risk specifically includes business continuity management, privacy obligations and Information Security and Cyber Security and how information held by ANZ needs to be protected from inappropriate modification, loss, disclosure, and unavailability. The compromise of confidentiality, integrity or availability of information assets could severely impact ANZ customers, constitute a breach of the law and regulations, lead to financial loss or additional remediation costs, and negatively impact our reputation. The scope of this risk includes IT assets that are managed and/or supported by a dedicated technology function within ANZ, IT assets managed outside of the dedicated technology function by business divisions and third-party service providers used by ANZ to deliver technology services or products.

Risk Appetite Framework

ANZ's Board is ultimately responsible for ANZ's risk management framework, which includes the Group Risk Appetite Statement (RAS). The Group RAS is the document which sets out the Board's expectations regarding the degree of risk that ANZ is prepared to accept in pursuit of its strategic objectives and plans.

The articulation of risk appetite and risk tolerances is central to the risk appetite statement. ANZ's Group RAS conveys the following:

- The degree of risk (risk appetite) that ANZ is prepared to accept in pursuit of its strategic objectives and plans considering its shareholders', depositors' and customers' interests.
- For each key material risk, ANZ sets the maximum level of risk that it is willing to operate within, expressed as a risk tolerance, where appropriate. Risk tolerances translate risk appetite into operational limits for the day-to-day management of material risks, where possible;
- The approach for setting risk tolerances at an appropriate level;
- The process for monitoring compliance with each risk tolerance and for taking appropriate action if it is breached;
- The timing and process for reviewing of the risk appetite and risk tolerances; and
- The cascading and application of Group RAS to Divisions and Business Units.

Risk Management Governance

The Board is principally responsible for overseeing the establishment by Management of a sound risk management culture with an operational structure and the necessary resources to facilitate effective risk management throughout ANZ, and which in turn supports the ability of ANZ to operate consistently within its risk appetite and approves the risk appetite within which management is expected to operate and including ANZ's risk appetite statement and risk management strategy.

The following lists the Board Committees, in accordance with ANZ Accountability Map under the Banking Executive Accountability Regime (BEAR). From time to time, other ad hoc committees of the Board may be formed.

ANZ Board - is responsible for:

- Charting and monitoring the long-term implementation of ANZ's strategies, financial objectives and organisational and risk cultural direction (including ANZ's purpose, values and expected behaviours);
- Monitoring compliance with regulatory requirements, ethical standards and external commitments, and the implementation of related policies;
- Approving the operating plan for ANZ and endorsing ANZ's strategic direction.
- Approving the remuneration policy; significant changes to organisational structure; the acquisition, establishment, disposal, or cessation of any significant business of ANZ;
- Approving the issue of any shares, options, equity instruments or other equity securities in ANZ;
- Appointing and reviewing the performance (including remuneration and incentives) and succession of, the ANZ CEO and certain senior executive appointees of the Board (Board Appointees);
- Approving any matters in excess of any discretions that it may have delegated from time to time to the CEO and senior management, including in relation to credit transactions, market risk limits and expenditure;
- Overseeing and assessing management's performance in achieving any strategies and budgets approved by the Board and in monitoring and managing risk;
- Approving where practicable, the substance of any announcements to the Australian Securities Exchange in relation to matters that have been the subject of a decision by the Board or any public statements which reflect significant issues of ANZ policy or strategy;
- Fulfilling its function and duties under ANZ's Fit and Proper Policy;
- Overseeing the effectiveness of workplace health and safety in the Group;
- Meeting with APRA on request;
- Reviewing reports from management on progress in relation to actions in ANZ's Self-Assessment roadmap;
- Reviewing ANZ's approach to the management of key customer matters, including customer remediation and customer complaints; and
- Approving and overseeing management's performance in establishing ANZ's organisational and risk cultural direction, including ANZ's purpose, values and expected behaviours.

Risk Committee - assists the Board of Directors in:

- Effective discharge of its responsibilities for business, market, credit, equity, and other investment (not including strategic investments), financial, operational, compliance, liquidity, and reputational risk management and for the management of the Group's compliance obligations;
- Providing an objective non-executive oversight of the implementation by management of ANZ's risk management framework and its related operation and by enabling an institution-wide view of ANZ's current and future risk position relative to its risk appetite and capital strength;
- Advising the Board on ANZ's overall current and future risk appetite and risk management strategy;
- Overseeing management's implementation of the risk management strategy and ongoing effectiveness in seeking to ensure that ANZ remains appropriately within its risk appetite;

- Reviewing reports from management concerning the Group's risk management and compliance frameworks, principles and policies, strategies, processes, and controls including the discretions conferred on executive management and executive management committees, in order to oversee the effectiveness of them and, if thought fit, approve or vary them;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning key material risks (including credit, market, operational risk and compliance) in order to oversee these risks, assess their effect on capital levels and, in all material respects, attest to the adherence to APRA Prudential Standard CPS220 Risk Management;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning credit transactions, equity and other investments beyond the approval discretion of the CRO and other executive management, in order to consider and, if thought fit, approve them;
- Overseeing risk associated with individual high risk and non-accrual accounts (and associated provisioning);
- Reviewing reports from management concerning changes anticipated for the economic, business and regulatory environment and other factors considered relevant to future strategy and capital requirements, in order to monitor them in the context of ANZ's projected business performance and capital adequacy;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning the risk implications of new and emerging risks, legislative or regulatory initiatives and changes, organisational change, and major initiatives, in order to monitor them;
- Constructively challenging management's proposals and decisions on all aspects of risk management arising from ANZ's activities;
- Reviewing the performance and setting the objectives of ANZ's CRO and seeking to ensure the CRO has unfettered access to the Board and the Risk Committee;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning resolution of significant risk exposures and risk events (including significant breaches), in order to monitor them and, if thought fit, approve them;
- Overseeing compliance by ANZ with applicable external obligations and significant internal policies relating to the operation of its business;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning the Group's insurance strategy, including the coverage and limits of the insurance policies managed at a Group level, in order to monitor them and, if thought fit, approve or vary them;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning Anti Money Laundering/Counter Terrorism Financing and Sanction external obligations and internal policies, in order to monitor them and, if thought fit, approve them;
- Reviewing reports from management concerning ANZ's approach to risk and governance culture in order to oversee scope and expected impact on organisational behaviour;
- Overseeing APRA risk reporting requirements (as appropriate); and
- Meeting with APRA on request.

Audit Committee - assists the Board of Directors in:

- Overseeing and reviewing ANZ's financial reporting principles and policies, controls and procedures;
- Overseeing and reviewing the effectiveness of ANZ's internal control and risk management framework;
- Overseeing and reviewing the work of Internal Audit which reports directly and solely to the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The internal management reporting line for the Group General Manager, Internal Audit is to the CEO;
- Overseeing and reviewing the integrity of ANZ's financial statements and the independent audit thereof, and ANZ's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements in relation thereto;
- Overseeing and reviewing any due diligence procedures;
- Overseeing and reviewing prudential supervision procedures and other regulatory requirements to the extent relating to financial reporting; and
- With respect to the external auditors:
 - the appointment, annual evaluation and oversight of the external auditors;
 - annual review of the independence, fitness and propriety, and qualifications of the external auditors;
 - compensation of the external auditors; and
 - where deemed appropriate, replacement of the external auditors.

Digital Business and Technology Committee – assists the Board of Directors in:

- Monitoring and providing guidance as appropriate on matters relating to ANZ's digital transformation, technology, technology-related innovation and information/cyber security strategies;
- Monitoring the delivery of the key programs that form part of ANZ's digital transformation, technology, technology-related innovation and information/cyber security strategies;
- Recommending to the Board and monitoring the delivery of material digital transformation and technology investments; and
- Reviewing health and relevance of ANZ's technology suite, to seek to ensure secure, stable and reliable services.

Human Resource Committee - assists the Board of Directors in:

- Reviewing and making recommendations to the Board, where appropriate on remuneration (including variable remuneration arrangements) for ANZ Board Appointees and individual ANZ Material Risk Takers;
- Reviewing and making recommendations to the Board, where appropriate, in respect of the design and funding of the ANZ Incentive Plan, and remuneration structures for senior executives and others specifically covered by the ANZBGL Performance and Remuneration Policy and ANZ New Zealand (NZ) Performance and Remuneration Policy;
- Reviewing and making recommendations to the Board, where appropriate, for amending the ANZBGL Remuneration Policy and the ANZ NZ Remuneration Policy;
- Considering and approving appointments and terminations for all ANZ Board Appointees, and reviewing succession plans for enterprise business critical roles, and making recommendations to the Board on such matters relating to the CEO;
- Obtaining external advice, either independently or via management, as appropriate, on remuneration, risk and any other related matter to supplement members' knowledge and expertise; and
- Obtaining all information necessary to enable the committee to perform its function.

Ethics, Environment, Social and Governance Committee - assists the Board of Directors in:

- Reviewing and approving the proposed corporate sustainability objectives for ANZ, and reviewing progress in achieving them;
- Reviewing and approving the disclosures relating to ANZ's Sustainability Framework, objectives and related performance as set out in the suite of annual reporting documents;
- Discussing, questioning, and providing advice to management on past, current, and emerging ethical, environmental, social and governance risks and opportunities relevant to the bank's ability to operate as a fair, responsible and sustainable business;
- Receiving reports on past, current, and emerging ethical, environmental, social and governance matters;
- Providing oversight of ANZ's Ethics and Responsible Business Committee, including receiving the minutes of that body and discussing material matters referred to the committee from that body;
- Referring to the Board the resolution of any significant ethical or environmental, social and governance matters where applicable;
- Reviewing the development of and approving applicable corporate governance policies and principles;
- Reviewing ANZ's Corporate Governance Statement; and
- In relation to whistleblowing:
 - Reviewing the effectiveness of management's process for informing employees of the existence of the Whistleblower Policy and ANZ Code of Conduct and Ethics;
 - Seeking to ensure procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of information submitted confidentially by employees and third parties under such policies are established and maintained by management;
 - Receiving reports from management regarding any material incidents reported under the Whistleblower Policy; and
 - Referring any relevant matters to the Audit Committee.

Nomination and Board Operations Committee - supports the Board of Directors in:

- All matters to do with reviewing Board composition;
- Reviewing and approving the processes in place for evaluating the performance of (i) the Board, (ii) each Standing Committees and (iii) each Director including the Chairman of the Board but excluding ANZ CEO; and
- All other matters to do with the effective and efficient operation of the Board and its Standing Committees.

The above Committees are exclusively comprised of Non-Executive directors. Members, including the Chair of each committee, are appointed by the Board and serve at the discretion of the Board and for such term or terms as the Board determines. Under ANZ's BEAR arrangements, the chair and members of each committee are accountable persons with prescribed responsibility for oversight of the ANZ, as a member of the Board.

Processes and procedures relating to the operation of each of the board committees are documented in the committee charters and in the Board Committees' Standing Rules which are on the ANZ corporate governance website: <http://shareholder.anz.com/our-company/corporate-governance>.

Executive Management Committees are responsible for co-ordination of risk matters for each of the areas of risk management. The following lists ANZ's key management committees and states their primary purpose, in accordance with ANZ's accountability map under BEAR, and related sub-committees:

Group Executive Committee (ExCo) - headed by the CEO is ANZ's leadership team whose role is to support the CEO in delivering ANZ's purpose, to shape a world where people and communities thrive. It does this by focusing on:

- All Key stakeholders;
- ANZ's Culture and Capabilities; and
- Prioritising efforts and allocating resources in line with ANZ's strategic pillars.

Group Performance and Execution Committee (GPEC) - is charged with the oversight of the Group's overall operational performance and position and the execution of the operating plan.

Enterprise Accountability Group - reports to Board Human Resources Committee and is responsible for:

- overseeing the ongoing effectiveness of an enterprise-wide accountability and consequence management framework and being cognisant of its impact on the culture of ANZ;
- reviewing and approving the release of, or exercise of the downward adjustment or further deferral discretions in relation to, deferred remuneration; and
- reviewing and monitoring the consequences applied to staff who are considered either directly or indirectly accountable for material risk (financial or non-financial) and compliance events and/ or material internal audit issues.

Group Asset and Liability Committee (GALCO) - is responsible for the oversight and strategic management of the Group's balance sheet activities including balance sheet structure, liquidity, funding, capital management, non-traded interest rate risk and non-traded FX risk. The committee is accountable to the Board Risk Committee in the effective discharge of its responsibilities.

Credit and Market Risk Committee (CMRC) - is the senior executive management forum responsible for the oversight and control of credit, market, insurance, and other material financial risks across the ANZ Group. The committee is accountable to the Board Risk Committee in the effective discharge of its responsibilities.

Operational Risk Executive Committee (OREC) - is the primary senior executive management forum responsible for oversight of Operational Risk and Compliance Risk, expected and unexpected risk profile and the related control environment. The purpose of OREC is to assist the Board Risk Committee in the effective discharge of its responsibilities for operational risk management and the management of the compliance obligations of ANZ and its controlled entities.

Ethics and Responsible Business Committee (ERBC) - is a leadership and decision making body that exists to advance ANZ's purpose, namely to shape a world where people and communities thrive. The committee seeks to ensure ANZ operates responsibly and achieves fair, ethical and balanced stakeholder outcomes. The committee considers the social and environmental impacts of the industries, customers, and communities that ANZ serves. It also considers ANZ's products and services and how they are provided, as well as stakeholder and community expectations. The committee is also accountable to the Board's Ethics, Environment, Social and Governance Committee in the effective discharge of its responsibilities.

Credit Ratings System Oversight Committee (CRSOC) - is a sub-committee of CMRC, the senior management forum responsible for the oversight and control of the Internal Ratings System for credit risk including credit model approvals and performance monitoring.

Capital and Stress Testing Oversight Committee (CSTOC) - is a sub-committee of GALCO, with responsibility for the oversight and control of the Group's stress testing framework, modelling, processes and outcomes; economic profit methodology and framework; operational risk capital measurement framework, modelling, processes and outcomes; capital allocation framework and other capital management (apart from Group ICAAP) and portfolio measurement related recommendations.

Investment Committee - is to carry out the responsibilities delegated by the CEO of ANZ, regarding the funding and delivery of value from ANZ's investments in change initiatives. The committee acts as the governance, oversight and advisory board for funding provided to the Divisions and enterprise priorities.

Risk Governance Oversight Committee (RGOc) - is a leadership and decision making body that exists to oversee ANZ's response to the self-assessment of governance, culture and accountability, provided to APRA in November 2018. The Committee is accountable to the Board in the effective discharge of its responsibilities.

Group Executive People Committee (GEPC) - is a leadership and decision making body charged with advancing ANZ's people strategy and priorities in line with the ANZ's purpose, strategy and aspirational culture.

Financial Crime OREC Sub-Committee (FCOSC) - is the primary senior executive management forum responsible for oversight of the Financial Crime risk profile and the related Control Environment. The purpose of FCOSC is to assist OREC in the effective discharge of its responsibilities for financial crime obligations of ANZBGL and its controlled entities.

Processes and procedures relating to the operation of each of the Executive Management Committees are documented in the committee charters which are available on Max: Management committee registers (anz.com).

Chapter 3 – Capital reporting and measurement

Capital reporting and measurement

To ensure that an Authorised Deposit-taking Institution (ADI) is adequately capitalised on both a standalone and group basis, APRA adopts a tiered approach to the measurement of an ADI’s capital adequacy by assessing the ADI’s financial strength at three levels:

- Level 1 - being the ADI i.e., ANZBGL, consolidated with APRA-approved subsidiaries, to form the ADI’s Extended Licensed Entity (ELE).
- Level 2 - being the consolidated ANZBGL group for financial reporting purposes adjusted to exclude associates’ activities and certain subsidiaries referenced under APS 001: Definitions that undertake the following business activities:
 - Insurance businesses (including friendly societies and health funds).
 - Acting as manager, responsible entity, approved trustee, trustee or similar role in relation to funds management.
 - Non-financial (commercial) operations.
 - Securitisation special purpose vehicles to which assets have been transferred in accordance with APRA’s requirements as set out in APS 120: Securitisation.
- Level 3 – the consolidated ANZGHL group for financial reporting purposes.


ANZ measures capital adequacy monthly and reports for prudential purposes on a Level 1 and Level 2 basis. This Pillar 3 report is based on the Level 2 prudential structure.

Following the implementation of the Non-operating holding company structure in January 2023, APRA’s authority for ANZGHL to be a non-operating holding company (NOHC) of an ADI includes five conditions for ANZ’s capital management framework. Two of these are quantitative requirements being:

- ANZGHL must always ensure that the quality and quantity of the total capital of the Level 3 group is equivalent to, or greater than, the quality and quantity of the sum of the total capital of the consolidated ANZ Bank Group and the consolidated ANZ Non-Bank Group.
- ANZGHL must calculate and manage capital for the ANZ Non-Bank Group in accordance with an Economic Capital Model (ECM), which requires the amount of capital held, in the form of Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1), to be equal to or greater than the capital requirement as calculated under the ECM.

For further details on Level 3 Capital, refer to Note 25 Capital Management of ANZGHL’s 2023 Annual Report.

For a list of all material subsidiaries and a brief description of their key activities, refer to Note 25 Controlled Entities of ANZBGL’s 2023 Annual Report.



Chapter 4 – Capital and Capital Adequacy

Table 1 Capital Disclosure template

The head of the Level 2 Group to which this prudential standard applies is ANZ BH Pty Ltd (ANZ Bank HoldCo).

Table 1 of this chapter consists of a Common Disclosure template that assists users in understanding the differences between the application of the Basel III reforms in Australia and those rules as detailed in the document, Finalised Basel III post-crisis reforms issued by the Bank for International Settlements. The capital disclosure template in this chapter is the post January 2018 version as ANZ is fully applying the Basel III regulatory adjustments, as implemented by APRA.

The information in the lines of the template has been mapped to ANZ's Level 2 balance sheet, which adjusts for non-consolidated subsidiaries as required under APS 001: Definitions. Where this information cannot be mapped on a one-to-one basis, it is provided in an explanatory table. ANZ's material non-consolidated subsidiaries are also listed in this chapter.

Restrictions on Transfers of Capital within ANZ

ANZ operates branches and locally incorporated subsidiaries in many countries. These operations are capitalised at an appropriate level to cover the risks in the business and to meet local prudential requirements. This level of capitalisation may be enhanced to meet local taxation and operational requirements. Any repatriation of capital from subsidiaries or branches is subject to meeting the requirements of the local prudential regulator and/or the local central bank. Apart from ANZ's operations in New Zealand, local country capital requirements do not impose any material call on ANZ's capital base.

ANZ undertakes banking activities in New Zealand principally through its wholly owned subsidiary, ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited (ANZ New Zealand), which is subject to minimum capital requirements as set by the Reserve Bank of New Zealand (RBNZ). ANZ New Zealand maintains a buffer above the minimum capital base required by the RBNZ. This capital buffer has been calculated via the ICAAP undertaken for ANZ New Zealand, to ensure ANZ New Zealand is appropriately capitalised under stressed economic scenarios.

Table 1 Capital disclosure template

| | Sep-23 | Reconciliation |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| | \$M | Table Reference |
| Common Equity Tier 1 Capital: instruments and reserves | | |
| 1 | 28,779 | Table A |
| 2 | 41,130 | |
| 3 | (1,652) | Table B |
| 4 | - | |
| 5 | 2 | Table C |
| 6 | 68,259 | |
| Common Equity Tier 1 capital: regulatory adjustments | | |
| 7 | - | |
| 8 | 2,977 | |
| 9 | 984 | Table D |
| 10 | - | Table H |
| 11 | (1,872) | |
| 12 | 272 | Table E |
| 13 | - | |
| 14 | 208 | |
| 15 | 128 | Table F |
| 16 | - | |
| 17 | - | |
| 18 | - | |
| 19 | - | Table G |
| 20 | n/a | |
| 21 | - | |
| 22 | - | |
| 23 | - | |
| 24 | n/a | |
| 25 | - | |
| 26 | 7,768 | |
| 26a | - | |
| 26b | - | |
| 26c | (430) | |
| 26d | 3,515 | Table G |
| 26e | 2,579 | Table H |
| 26f | 2,099 | Table I |
| 26g | 2 | Table J |
| 26h | - | |
| 26i | - | |
| 26j | 3 | |
| 27 | - | |
| 28 | 10,465 | |
| 29 | 57,794 | |

Table 1 Capital disclosure template

| | Sep-23 \$M | Reconciliation Table Reference |
|--|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Additional Tier 1 Capital: instruments | | |
| 30 | 8,409 | Table K |
| 31 | - | |
| 32 | 8,409 | Table K |
| 33 | - | Table K |
| 34 | - | Table K |
| 35 | n/a | |
| 36 | 8,409 | Table K |
| Additional Tier 1 Capital: regulatory adjustments | | |
| 37 | - | |
| 38 | - | |
| 39 | - | |
| 40 | 155 | Table K |
| 41 | 22 | |
| 41a | - | |
| 41b | 22 | Table K |
| 41c | - | Table K |
| 42 | - | |
| 43 | 177 | |
| 44 | 8,232 | Table K |
| 45 | 66,026 | |
| Tier 2 Capital: instruments and provisions | | |
| 46 | 23,504 | |
| 47 | - | |
| 48 | - | Table L |
| 49 | - | |
| 50 | 1,776 | Table L |
| 51 | 25,280 | Table L |
| Tier 2 Capital: regulatory adjustments | | |
| 52 | 50 | Table L |
| 53 | - | |
| 54 | - | |
| 55 | 85 | Table L |
| 56 | 186 | Table L |
| 56a | - | |
| 56b | 131 | |
| 56c | 55 | |
| 57 | 321 | |
| 58 | 24,959 | Table L |
| 59 | 90,985 | |
| 60 | 433,327 | |

Table 1 Capital disclosure template

| | | Reconciliation Table Reference | |
|--|--|---|---------|
| Capital ratios and buffers | | | |
| 61 | Common Equity Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 13.3% | |
| 62 | Tier 1 (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 15.2% | |
| 63 | Total capital (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 21.0% | |
| 64 | Institution specific buffer requirement (minimum CET1 requirement plus capital conservation buffer plus countercyclical buffer requirements plus G-SIBs buffer requirement, expressed as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 9.9083% | |
| 65 | of which: capital conservation buffer requirement ² | 4.75% | |
| 66 | of which: ADI-specific countercyclical buffer requirements | 0.6583% | |
| 67 | of which: G-SIB buffer requirement (not applicable) | n/a | |
| 68 | Common Equity Tier 1 available to meet buffers (as a percentage of risk-weighted assets) | 8.8% | |
| National minima (if different from Basel III) | | | |
| 69 | National Common Equity Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum) | n/a | |
| 70 | National Tier 1 minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum) | n/a | |
| 71 | National total capital minimum ratio (if different from Basel III minimum) | n/a | |
| Amount below thresholds for deductions (not risk-weighted) | | | |
| 72 | Non-significant investments in the capital of other financial entities | 230 | |
| 73 | Significant investments in the ordinary shares of financial entities | 3,439 | Table G |
| 74 | Mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability) | n/a | |
| 75 | Deferred tax assets arising from temporary differences (net of related tax liability) | 2,579 | Table H |
| Applicable caps on the inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 | | | |
| 76 | Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to standardised approach (prior to application of cap) | 131 | Table E |
| 77 | Cap on inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under standardised approach | 193 | |
| 78 | Provisions eligible for inclusion in Tier 2 in respect of exposures subject to internal ratings-based approach (prior to application of cap) | 1,645 | |
| 79 | Cap for inclusion of provisions in Tier 2 under internal ratings-based approach | 1,987 | |
| Capital instruments subject to phase-out arrangements (only application between 1 January 2018 to 1 January 2022) | | | |
| 80 | Current cap on CET1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | n/a | |
| 81 | Amount excluded from CET1 due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities) | n/a | |
| 82 | Current cap on AT1 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | n/a | |
| 83 | Amount excluded from AT1 instruments due to cap (excess over cap after redemptions and maturities) | n/a | |
| 84 | Current cap on T2 instruments subject to phase out arrangements | n/a | |
| 85 | Amount excluded from T2 due to cap (excess over cap after redemption and maturities) | n/a | |

Counter Cyclical Capital Buffer

| Country | RWA for all private sector exposures | Jurisdictional Buffer | Countercyclical buffer requirement |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | \$M | % | % |
| Hong Kong | 3,936 | 1.000 | 0.0122 |
| Luxembourg | 617 | 0.500 | 0.0010 |
| Norway | 317 | 2.500 | 0.0025 |
| Sweden | 178 | 2.000 | 0.0011 |
| United Kingdom | 5,271 | 2.000 | 0.0328 |
| Australia | 192,532 | 1.000 | 0.5990 |
| Germany | 1,776 | 0.750 | 0.0041 |
| France | 1,890 | 0.500 | 0.0029 |
| Netherlands | 861 | 1.000 | 0.0027 |
| Other | 114,040 | n/a | n/a |
| Total | 321,418 | | 0.6583 |

² Includes 1.0% buffer applied by APRA to ADIs deemed as domestic systemically important.

The following table shows ANZ's consolidated balance sheet, and the adjustments required to derive the Level 2 Balance Sheet. The adjustments remove the external assets and liabilities of the entities deconsolidated for prudential purposes and reinstate any intragroup assets and liabilities, treating them as external to the Level 2 Group.

| Assets | Balance Sheet as in published financial statements \$M | Adjustments \$M | Balance sheet under scope of regulatory consolidation \$M | Template and Reconciliation Table Reference |
|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|
| Cash and Cash Equivalents | 168,154 | | 168,154 | |
| Settlement Balances owed to ANZ | 9,349 | | 9,349 | |
| Collateral Paid | 8,558 | | 8,558 | |
| Trading securities | 37,004 | | 37,004 | |
| of which: Financial Institutions capital instruments | | | 85 | Table L |
| Derivative financial instruments | 60,406 | | 60,406 | |
| Investment Securities | 96,969 | (320) | 96,649 | |
| of which: significant investment in financial institutions equity instruments | | | 848 | Table G |
| of which: non-significant investment in financial institutions equity instruments | | | 76 | Table G |
| of which: Other entities equity investments | | | 2 | Table J |
| of which: collectively assessed provision | | | (35) | Table E |
| Net loans and advances | 707,694 | (891) | 706,803 | |
| of which: deferred fee income | | | (430) | Row 26c |
| of which: collectively assessed provision | | | (3,180) | Table E |
| of which: individual provisions | | | (366) | Table E |
| of which: capitalised brokerage & Loan/Lease origination fees | | | 3,475 | Table I |
| of which: CET1 margin lending adjustment | | | - | |
| of which: AT1 margin lending adjustment | | | - | |
| Regulatory deposits | 646 | | 646 | |
| Due from controlled entities | - | 91 | 91 | |
| of which: Significant investments in the Tier 2 "capital of banking, financial and insurance entities" that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation | | | 85 | Table L |
| Shares in controlled entities | - | 497 | 497 | |
| of which: Investment in deconsolidated financial subsidiaries | | | 341 | Table G |
| of which: AT1 significant investment in banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation | | | 155 | Table K |
| Investments in associates | 2,321 | | 2,321 | |
| of which: Financial Institutions | | | 2,321 | Table G |
| Current tax assets | 37 | | 37 | |
| Deferred tax assets | 3,386 | (1) | 3,385 | Table H |
| Goodwill and other intangible assets | 3,961 | (71) | 3,890 | |
| of which: Goodwill | | | 2,977 | Row 8 |
| of which: Software | | | 913 | Table D |
| Premises and equipment | 2,360 | | 2,360 | |
| Other assets | 5,196 | (153) | 5,043 | |
| of which: Defined benefit superannuation fund net assets | | | 176 | Table F |
| Total Assets | 1,106,041 | (848) | 1,105,193 | |

| Liabilities | Balance Sheet as in published financial statements | Adjustments | Balance sheet under scope of regulatory consolidation | Template and Reconciliation Table Reference |
|--|---|--------------------|--|--|
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | |
| Settlement Balances owed by ANZ | 19,267 | | 19,267 | |
| Collateral Received | 10,382 | | 10,382 | |
| Deposits and other borrowings | 815,203 | 32 | 815,235 | |
| Derivative financial instruments | 57,482 | | 57,482 | |
| Due to controlled entities | - | 668 | 668 | |
| Current tax liabilities | 305 | (71) | 234 | |
| Deferred tax liabilities | 60 | | 60 | Table H |
| of which: related to intangible assets | | | - | Table D |
| of which: related to capitalised expenses | | | 5 | Table I |
| of which: related to defined benefit superannuation fund assets | | | 48 | Table F |
| Payables and other liabilities | 15,932 | (344) | 15,588 | |
| Employee Entitlements | 568 | | 568 | |
| Provisions | 1,714 | | 1,714 | |
| of which: collectively assessed provision ³ | | | 817 | Table E |
| of which: individually assessed provision ³ | | | 10 | Table E |
| Debt Issuances | 116,014 | (878) | 115,136 | |
| of which: Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 instruments | | | 8,232 | Table K |
| of which: Additional Tier 1 Instruments | | | - | Table K |
| of which: Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 Instruments | | | 23,707 | Table L |
| Total Liabilities | 1,036,927 | (593) | 1,036,334 | |
| Net Assets | 69,114 | (255) | 68,859 | |

| Shareholders' equity | Balance Sheet as in published financial statements | Adjustments | Balance sheet under scope of regulatory consolidation | Template and Reconciliation Table Reference |
|---|---|--------------------|--|--|
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | |
| Ordinary Share Capital | 29,082 | (77) | 29,005 | Table A |
| of which: Share reserve | | | 226 | Tables A & B |
| Reserves | (1,796) | | (1,796) | Table B |
| of which: Cash flow hedging reserves | | | (1,872) | Row 11 |
| Retained earnings | 41,306 | (176) | 41,130 | Row 2 |
| Share capital and reserves attributable to shareholders of the company | 68,592 | (253) | 68,339 | |
| Non-controlling interests | 522 | (2) | 520 | Table C |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 69,114 | (255) | 68,859 | |

³ Provisions are on undrawn and contingent facilities.

The following reconciliation tables provide additional information on the difference between Table 1 Capital Disclosure Template and the Level 2 Balance Sheet.

| Table A | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Issued capital | 29,005 | |
| Less | Reclassification to Reserves | (226) | Table B |
| Regulatory Directly Issued qualifying ordinary shares | | 28,779 | Row 1 |

| Table B | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Reserves | (1,796) | |
| Add | Reclassification from Issued Capital | 226 | Table A |
| Less | Non qualifying reserves | (82) | |
| Reserves for Regulatory capital purposes (amount allowed in group CET1) | | (1,652) | Row 3 |

| Table C | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|--|---|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Non-controlling interests | 522 | |
| Less | Ineligible Non-controlling Interests | (512) | |
| Less | Surplus capital attributable to minority shareholders | (8) | |
| Ordinary share capital issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties | | 2 | Row 5 |

| Table D | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|--|--|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Software | 913 | |
| Add | Other intangible assets | - | |
| Less | Associated deferred tax liabilities | - | |
| Add | Regulatory reclassification from significant investments in the ordinary shares of banking, financial and insurance entities outside the scope of regulatory consolidation | 71 | Table G |
| Other intangibles other than mortgage servicing rights (net of related tax liability) | | 984 | Row 9 |

| Table E | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| Qualifying collective provision | | | |
| | Collectively assessed provision on Loans and advances | (3,180) | |
| | Collectively assessed provision on Investment Securities | (35) | |
| | Collectively assessed provision on Undrawn commitments | (817) | |
| Less | Non-qualifying collectively assessed provision | 354 | |
| Less | Standardised collectively assessed provision | 131 | Row 76 |
| Less | Non-defaulted expected loss | 1,902 | |
| Non-Defaulted: Expected Loss - Eligible Provision Shortfall | | - | |
| Qualifying individual provision | | | |
| | Individually assessed provision on Loans and advances | (366) | |
| | Individually assessed provision on Undrawn and contingent facilities | (10) | |
| Add | Additional individually assessed provision for partial write offs | (181) | |
| Less | Standardised individually assessed provision | 31 | |
| Add | Collectively assessed provision on advanced defaulted | (339) | |
| Less | Defaulted expected loss | 1,137 | |
| Defaulted: Expected Loss - Eligible Provision Shortfall | | 272 | |
| Gross deduction | | 272 | Row 12 |

| Table F | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Defined benefit superannuation fund net assets | 176 | |
| Less | Associated deferred tax liabilities | (48) | |
| Defined benefit superannuation fund net assets | | 128 | Row 15 |

| Table G | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|---|---|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Investment in deconsolidated financial subsidiaries | 341 | |
| Less | Regulatory reclassification to Retained Earnings and Other Intangible Assets | (71) | Table D |
| Add | Investment in financial associates | 2,321 | |
| Add | Investment in financial institutions Investment Securities | 848 | |
| Less | Amount below 10% threshold of CET1 | (3,439) | Row 73 |
| | Significant investments in the ordinary shares of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions (amount above 10% threshold) | - | Row 19 |
| Add | Deduction amount below the 10% threshold of CET 1 | 3,439 | Row 73 |
| Add | Investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions, where the ADI does not own more than 10% of the issued share capital - Investment Securities | 76 | |
| | Equity investment in financial institutions not reported in rows 18, 19 and 23 | 3,515 | Row 26d |
| Deduction for equity holdings in financial institutions - APRA regulations | | 3,515 | |

| Table H | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|---|--|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Deferred tax assets | 3,385 | |
| Add | Deferred tax liabilities | (60) | |
| | Deferred tax asset less deferred tax liabilities | 3,325 | |
| Less | Deferred tax assets that rely on future profitability | - | Row 10 |
| Less | Net Deferred tax assets associated with reserves ineligible for inclusion in regulatory capital | (805) | |
| Add | Deferred tax liabilities on intangible assets, capitalised expenses and defined benefit superannuation fund assets | 37 | |
| Add | Impact of calculating the deduction on a jurisdictional basis | 22 | |
| Deferred tax assets not reported in rows 10, 21 and 25 of the Common Disclosure Template | | 2,579 | Row 26e |

| Table I | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|-----------------------------|---|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Capitalised brokerage & loan/lease origination fees | 3,475 | |
| | Capitalised debt and capital disposal & issuance expenses | 86 | |
| | Other Capitalised Expenses | (1,457) | |
| Less | Associated deferred tax liabilities | (5) | |
| Capitalised expenses | | 2,099 | Row 26f |

| Table J | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|---|---|---------------|----------------|
| | Investments in non-financial Investment Securities equities | 2 | |
| | Investments in non-financial associates | - | |
| | Non-financial equity exposures (loans) | - | |
| Equity exposures to non-financial entities | | 2 | Row 26g |

| Table K | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|----------------|---|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| | Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 Capital Instruments classified as liabilities | 8,232 | |
| Add | Issue costs | (1) | |
| Add | Fair value adjustment | 178 | |
| | Directly issued qualifying Additional Tier 1 Capital Instruments classified as liabilities | 8,409 | Row 30 |
| | Additional Tier 1 instruments issued by subsidiaries held by third parties | - | |
| Add | Issue costs | - | |
| Less | Surplus capital attributable to third party holders | - | |
| Add | AT1 Instruments issued by subsidiaries and held by third parties (amounts allowed in Group AT1) | - | Row 34 |
| | Additional Tier 1 capital before regulatory adjustments | 8,409 | Row 36 |
| Less | Significant investments in the capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation | (155) | Row 40 |
| Less | Investments in the capital of financial institutions that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidations not reported in rows 39 and 40 | (22) | Row 41b |
| Less | Other national specific regulatory adjustments not reported | - | Row 41c |
| | Additional Tier 1 capital | 8,232 | Row 44 |

| Table L | | Sep 23 | Table 1 |
|----------------|--|---------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | Reference |
| Add | Surplus capital attributable to third party holders | - | Row 48 |
| Add | Directly issued qualifying Tier 2 instruments | 23,707 | |
| Less | Tier 2 instruments subject to amortisation ⁴ | (2,000) | |
| Add | Issue costs | 26 | |
| Add | Fair value adjustment | 1,771 | |
| Add | Provisions | 1,776 | Row 50 |
| | Tier 2 capital before regulatory adjustments | 25,280 | Row 51 |
| Less | Investments in own Tier 2 instruments (trading limit) | (50) | Row 52 |
| Less | Significant investments in the Tier 2 capital of banking, financial and insurance entities that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation, net of eligible short positions | (85) | Row 55 |
| Less | Investments in the capital of financial institutions that are outside the scope of regulatory consolidation not reported in rows 54 and 55 | (186) | Row 56 |
| | Tier 2 capital | 24,959 | Row 58 |

⁴ APRA requires these instruments to be amortised by 20% of the original amount during each of the last five years to maturity.

The following table provides details of entities included within the accounting scope of consolidation but excluded from regulatory consolidation.

| Entity | Activity | Total Assets \$M | Total Liabilities \$M |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ACN 008 647 185 Pty Ltd | Holding Company | - | - |
| ANZ ILP Pty Ltd | Incorporated Legal Practice | 3 | - |
| ANZ Investment Services (New Zealand) Limited | Funds Management | 16 | - |
| ANZ Lenders Mortgage Insurance Pty. Limited | Mortgage insurance | 814 | 413 |
| ANZ Pensions (UK) Limited | Trustee/Nominee | - | - |
| ANZ New Zealand Investments Limited | Funds Management | 122 | 32 |
| ANZ New Zealand Investments Nominees Limited | Nominee | - | - |
| ANZ Cover Insurance Private Ltd | Captive-Insurance | 242 | 200 |
| Kingfisher Trust 2016-1 | Securitisation Trust | 382 | 382 |
| Kingfisher Trust 2019-1 | Securitisation Trust | 504 | 504 |
| Shout for Good Pty. Ltd. | Corporate | 1 | 1 |

Table 2 Main features of capital instruments

As the main features of ANZ's capital instruments are updated on an ongoing basis, ANZ has provided this information separately in the Regulatory Disclosures section of its website.

Table 3 Capital adequacy, Table 4 Credit risk, Table 5 Securitisation

The above tables are produced at the quarters ending 30 June and 31 December.

Table 6 Capital adequacy**Capital management**

ANZ pursues an active approach to capital management, which is designed to protect the interests of depositors, creditors and shareholders. This involves the on-going review and Board approval of the level and composition of ANZ's capital base, assessed against the following key policy objectives:

- Regulatory compliance such that capital levels exceed APRA's, ANZ's primary prudential supervisor, minimum Prudential Capital Ratios (PCRs) both at Level 1 (the Company and specified subsidiaries) and Level 2 (ANZ consolidated under Australian prudential standards), along with US Federal Reserve's minimum Level 2 requirements under ANZ's Foreign Holding Company Licence in the United States of America;
- Capital levels are aligned with the risks in the business and to meet strategic and business development; and
- An appropriate balance between maximising shareholder returns and prudent capital management principles.

ANZ achieves these objectives through an Internal Capital Adequacy Assessment Process (ICAAP) whereby ANZ conducts detailed strategic and capital planning over a medium-term time horizon. The Capital Plan is maintained and updated through a monthly review of forecast financial performance, economic conditions and development of business initiatives and strategies. The Board and senior management are provided with monthly updates of ANZ's capital position. Any actions required to ensure ongoing prudent capital management are submitted to the Board for approval. ANZ annually conducts a detailed strategic planning process over a three-year time horizon, the outcomes of which are embodied in the Strategic Plan. This process involves forecasting key economic variables which Divisions use to determine key financial data for their existing business. New strategic initiatives to be undertaken over the planning period and their financial impact are then determined. These processes are used for the following:

- Review capital ratios, targets, and levels of different classes of capital against ANZ's risk profile and risk appetite outlined in the Strategic Plan. ANZ's capital targets reflect the key policy objectives above, and the desire that under specific stressed economic scenarios that capital levels have sufficient capital to remain above PCR requirements;
- Stress tests are performed under different economic conditions to provide a comprehensive review of ANZ's capital position both before and after mitigating actions. The stress tests determine the level of additional capital (i.e., the 'stress capital buffer') needed to absorb losses that may be experienced during an economic downturn; and
- Stress testing is integral to strengthening the predictive approach to risk management and is a key component in managing risks, asset writing strategies and business strategies. It creates greater understanding of the impacts on financial performance through modelling relationships and sensitivities between geographic, industry and Divisional exposures under a range of macro-economic scenarios. ANZ has a dedicated stress testing team within Risk that models and reports to management and the Board Risk Committee on a range of scenarios and stress tests.

Results are subsequently used to:

- Recalibrate ANZ's management targets for minimum and operating ranges for its respective classes of capital such that ANZ will have sufficient capital to remain above regulatory requirements; and
- Identify the level of organic capital generation and hence determine current and future capital issuance requirements for Level 1 and Level 2.

From these processes, a Capital Plan is developed and approved by the Board which identifies the capital issuance requirements, capital securities maturity profile, and options around capital products, timing and markets to execute the Capital Plan under differing market and economic conditions.

The Capital Plan is maintained and updated through a monthly review of forecast financial performance, economic conditions and development of business initiatives and strategies. The Board and senior management are provided with monthly updates of ANZ's capital position. Any actions required to ensure ongoing prudent capital management are submitted to the Board for approval.

Regulatory environment

ANZ's regulatory capital calculation is governed by APRA's Prudential Standards which adopt a risk-based capital assessment framework based on the Basel III capital measurement standards. This risk-based approach requires eligible capital to be divided by total risk weighted assets (RWA), with the resultant ratio being used as a measure of an Authorised Deposit-taking Institution's (ADI's) capital adequacy. APRA determines PCRs for Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1), Tier 1 and Total Capital, with capital as the numerator and RWAs as the denominator.

Regulatory capital is divided into Tier 1, carrying the highest capital elements, and Tier 2, which has lower capital elements, but still adds to the overall strength of the ADI.

Tier 1 capital is comprised of Common Equity Tier 1 capital less deductions and Additional Tier 1 capital instruments. Common Equity Tier 1 capital comprises shareholders' equity adjusted for items which APRA does not allow as regulatory capital or classifies as lower forms of regulatory capital. Common Equity Tier 1 capital includes the following significant adjustments:

- Reserves exclude the hedging reserve and reserves of insurance and funds management subsidiaries;
- Retained and current year earnings excluding those of insurance and funds management subsidiaries, but includes capitalised deferred fees forming part of loan yields that meet the criteria set out in the prudential standard;

Additional Tier 1 capital instruments are high quality components of capital that provide a permanent and unrestricted commitment of funds, are available to absorb losses, are subordinated to the claims of depositors and senior creditors in the event of the winding up of the issuer and provide for fully discretionary capital distributions.

Deductions from the capital base comprise mainly deductions to the Common Equity Tier 1 component. These deductions are largely intangible assets, investments in insurance entities and associates, capitalised expenses (including loan and origination fees), and net deferred tax assets.

Tier 2 capital mainly comprises perpetual subordinated debt instruments and dated subordinated debt instruments which have a minimum term of five years at issue date.

Total Capital is the sum of Tier 1 capital and Tier 2 capital.

In addition to the prudential capital oversight that APRA conducts over the Company and the Group, the Company's branch operations and major banking subsidiary operations are overseen by local regulators such as the Reserve Bank of New Zealand, the US Federal Reserve, the UK Prudential Regulation Authority, the Monetary Authority of Singapore, the Hong Kong Monetary Authority and the China Banking and Insurance Regulatory Commission who may impose minimum capitalisation rates on those operations.

Throughout the financial year, the Company and the Group maintained compliance with the minimum Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios set by APRA and the US Federal Reserve (as applicable) as well as applicable capitalisation rates set by regulators in countries where the Company operates branches and subsidiaries.

Regulatory developments

There are a number of matters currently outstanding that may have an impact on ANZ's regulatory capital in the future. Details of these matters are available in ANZ's 2023 Full Year Results Announcement Group Results section, page 50, available on ANZ's website: shareholder.anz.com/pages/results-announcement.

Table 6 Capital adequacy - Capital Ratio and Risk Weighted Assets

Under the revised prudential standard requirements that apply from 1 January 2023 a number of new asset classes have been introduced with one asset class (Banks) has been retired. The retired asset classes will continue to show data from prior periods.

The following table provides the composition of capital used for regulatory purposes and capital adequacy ratios.

| | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Risk weighted assets | | | |
| Subject to Advanced Internal Rating Based (IRB) approach | | | |
| Corporate | 62,668 | 62,680 | 146,069 |
| Sovereign | n/a | n/a | 10,955 |
| Bank | n/a | n/a | 12,071 |
| Residential Mortgage ⁵ | 96,290 | 86,726 | 113,590 |
| Retail SME | 9,684 | 10,065 | n/a |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,243 | 3,325 | 3,272 |
| Other Retail | 1,644 | 1,709 | 17,029 |
| Credit risk weighted assets subject to Advanced IRB approach | 173,529 | 164,505 | 302,986 |
| Subject to Foundation IRB approach | | | |
| Corporate | 34,819 | 38,808 | n/a |
| Sovereign | 10,252 | 11,199 | n/a |
| Financial Institutions | 30,875 | 32,832 | n/a |
| Credit risk weighted assets subject to Foundation IRB approach | 75,946 | 82,839 | n/a |
| Credit risk Specialised Lending exposures subject to slotting approach⁶ | 3,369 | 3,577 | 39,792 |
| Subject to Standardised approach | | | |
| Corporate | 5,611 | 4,911 | 6,235 |
| Sovereign | 165 | 88 | 29 |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,065 | 1,809 | 224 |
| Other Retail | 44 | 32 | 11 |
| Other Assets | 3,255 | 4,138 | n/a |
| Credit risk weighted assets subject to Standardised approach | 11,140 | 10,978 | 6,499 |
| Credit Valuation Adjustment and Qualifying Central Counterparties | 4,000 | 3,449 | 3,865 |
| Credit risk weighted assets relating to securitisation exposures | 2,395 | 2,229 | 2,424 |
| Other assets | n/a | n/a | 3,876 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries⁷ | 78,662 | 77,717 | n/a |
| Total credit risk weighted assets | 349,041 | 345,294 | 359,442 |
| Market risk weighted assets | 10,264 | 11,737 | 9,282 |
| Operational risk weighted assets ⁸ | 42,319 | 42,319 | 47,931 |
| Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) risk weighted assets | 31,703 | 31,887 | 38,063 |
| RWA adjustment for the IRB capital floor | - | 4,277 | n/a |
| Total risk weighted assets | 433,327 | 435,514 | 454,718 |

⁵ While APRA approved ANZ's Australian Mortgages LGD model for regulatory capital purposes, a \$9.6 billion RWA overlay has been applied pending recalibration of the model.

⁶ Specialised Lending exposures subject to supervisory slotting approach are those where the main servicing and repayment is from the asset being financed and is considered project finance.

⁷ Includes \$20.0 billion credit RWA in supervisory overlays resulting from risk weight floors required by RBNZ. Refer to September 2023 ANZ NZ Disclosure Statement for details.

⁸ Includes a \$6.25 billion operational risk RWA overlay (\$500 million capital), subject to APRA's acceptance of ANZ's satisfactory remediation of matters identified through the Self-Assessments into Governance, Culture and Accountability.

Table 6 Capital adequacy - Capital Ratio and Risk Weighted Assets

| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|
| | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Capital Floor | | | |
| Risk weighted assets under the standardised approach | | | |
| Credit Risk ⁹ | 544,739 | 546,653 | n/a |
| Market risk weighted assets | 10,264 | 11,737 | n/a |
| Operational risk weighted assets | 42,319 | 42,319 | n/a |
| Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) risk weighted assets | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Total Risk Weighted Assets | 597,322 | 600,709 | n/a |
| Risk weighted assets prior to application of floor | | | |
| Credit Risk | 349,041 | 345,294 | n/a |
| Market risk weighted assets | 10,264 | 11,737 | n/a |
| Operational risk weighted assets | 42,319 | 42,319 | n/a |
| Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) risk weighted assets | 31,703 | 31,887 | n/a |
| Total Risk Weighted Assets | 433,327 | 431,238 | n/a |
| Capital floor at 72.5% | 433,058 | 435,514 | n/a |
| Capital floor adjustment | - | 4,277 | n/a |
| Capital ratios (%) | | | |
| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
| Level 2 Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio | 13.3% | 13.2% | 12.3% |
| Level 2 Tier 1 capital ratio | 15.2% | 15.1% | 14.0% |
| Level 2 Total capital ratio | 21.0% | 20.6% | 18.2% |
| Level 1: Extended licensed Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio | 13.2% | 12.9% | 12.0% |
| Level 1: Extended licensed entity Tier 1 capital ratio | 15.5% | 15.2% | 14.0% |
| Level 1: Extended licensed entity Total capital ratio | 22.2% | 21.6% | 18.9% |
| Other significant Authorised Deposit-taking Institution (ADI) or overseas bank subsidiary: | | | |
| ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited – Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio | 12.5% | 12.2% | 12.4% |
| ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited - Tier 1 capital ratio | 14.1% | 13.8% | 15.0% |
| ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited - Total capital ratio | 15.5% | 15.2% | 16.4% |
| Basel III APRA level 2 CET1 | | | |
| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
| Common Equity Tier 1 Capital | 57,794 | 57,380 | 55,872 |
| Total Risk Weighted Assets | 433,327 | 435,514 | 454,718 |
| Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio | 13.3% | 13.2% | 12.3% |
| Basel III APRA level 1 Extended licensed entity CET1 | | | |
| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
| Common Equity Tier 1 Capital | 48,417 | 47,803 | 47,091 |
| Total Risk Weighted Assets | 367,093 | 370,395 | 392,018 |
| Common Equity Tier 1 capital ratio | 13.2% | 12.9% | 12.0% |

Credit Risk Weighted Assets (CRWA):

Credit RWA increased \$3.7 billion in the half to \$349 billion. This includes:

- Volume growth (+\$0.2 billion) with an increase in Australia Mortgage EAD of \$13 billion driving a \$3 billion RWA increase, offset by reductions in Institutional Corporate and Financial exposures.
- Portfolio Risk (+ \$3.7 billion) driven by moderate increases in delinquency and loss given default profile in Mortgage portfolios in Australia and New Zealand.
- Data, models and methodology (-\$1.2 billion), with reductions in Institutional (-\$4.9 billion) partially offset by an increase of approximately \$3.5 billion in Australia Mortgages. The Mortgages increase is largely an artifact of the current PD model's response to changes in product packages sold to ANZ customers. A new model which addresses this has been submitted for Regulatory approval.
- Foreign exchange and other movements (+\$1.0 billion).

Market Risk, Operational Risk and IRRBB RWA

Traded Market Risk RWA decreased by \$1.5 billion over the half, mainly driven by decrease in Stressed VaR.

IRRBB RWA decreased primarily as a result of the reduction in Embedded Losses.

ANZ continues to use the Standardised Measurement Approach (SMA) for calculation of Operational Risk capital as per APS 115 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Measurement Approach to Operational Risk. Year-on-year operational risk RWA decreased by \$5.6 billion, with a \$6.0 billion reduction from ANZ's adoption of the SMA in December 2022 under APRA Capital Reforms partially offset by foreign exchange-driven movements of \$0.4 billion.

⁹ RWA for residential mortgages for the Group excluding New Zealand banking subsidiaries exposures measured under the IRB approach is \$125.2 billion when calculated under the standardised approach.

Chapter 5 – Credit risk

Table 7 Credit risk – General disclosures

Definition of credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss resulting from a counterparty failing to fulfil its obligations or a decrease in credit quality of a counterparty resulting in a financial loss.

Portfolios with approval to use the Internal Ratings based (IRB) approach

ANZ has APRA approval to use the four approaches under the Internal Ratings based approach to credit risk, under APS 113 Capital Adequacy: Internal Ratings-based Approach to Credit Risk.

As an IRB bank, ANZ's internal models generate the inputs into regulatory capital adequacy under the four approaches (see below), to determine the risk weighted exposure calculations for both on and off-balance sheet exposures, including undrawn portions of credit facilities, committed and contingent exposures and expected loss (EL) calculations.

ANZ's internal models are used to generate three key risk components that serve as inputs to the IRB approach to credit risk:

- Probability of Default (PD) is an estimate of the level of the risk of borrower default over a 12-month horizon;
- Exposure at Default (EAD) represents the expected outstanding at the time of default, including an estimate of additional drawings prior to default (based on supervisory credit conversion factors), plus post-default drawings that were legally committed to prior to default; and
- Loss Given Default (LGD) is an estimate of the potential economic loss on a credit exposure, incurred as a consequence of obligor default, expressed as a percentage of the facility's EAD.

Effective maturity (M) is also calculated as an input to the risk weighted exposure calculation for wholesale asset classes.

Internal Rating Based Approaches:

- Foundation IRB (FIRB) - ANZ provide its own estimates of PD and M, and use APRA supervisory estimates for LGD and EAD;
- Advanced IRB (AIRB) - ANZ use its own estimates of PD, LGD (excluding senior unsecured and subordinated corporate exposures) and M, and use APRA supervisory estimates for EAD;
- Retail IRB approach - ANZ use its own estimates of PD, LGD and EAD (excluding non-revolving retail exposures for which ANZ use APRA supervisory EAD estimates); and
- Supervisory slotting approach - ANZ use its own mapping of credit exposures to the supervisory slotting categories, and APRA supervisory risk weights and APRA supervisory estimates for EAD.

Portfolios subject to Standardised Approach to Credit Risk

Exposures are either prescribed the standardised approach, such as Non-standard mortgages, Margin lending and Fixed Assets, or are subject to the standardised approach on the basis ANZ is not approved to use the IRB approach to credit risk.

Where ANZ does not have APRA approval to apply the IRB approach to specific portfolios, ANZ applies the Standardised approach to credit risk, under APS 112 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Approach to Credit Risk. This relates to portfolios where available data does not enable development of advanced internal models for PD, LGD and EAD estimates.

Under the Standardised approach, exposures are mapped to regulatory risk weights, mainly based on the type of counterparty such as: Sovereign, Bank, Corporate and its external rating where the borrower is externally rated.

For these counterparties, external ratings by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings are used as inputs into the RWA calculation. As described in the section on the ANZ rating system, ANZ has mapped its master scale to the grading of these three External Credit Assessment Institutions (ECAIs).

Exposures secured by property, risk weights are generally driven by Loan to Value Ratios (LVR) after accounting for dependency on property-related cash flow.

ANZ also applies the above approach to meeting the new requirement that IRB ADIs calculate and disclose RWA under the standardised approach.

ANZ applies its full normal risk measurement and management framework to these segments for internal management purposes. Standardised segments will be migrated to IRB (AIRB or FIRB) if they reach a volume that generates sufficient data for development of advanced internal models.

Credit risk management framework and policies

ANZ has a comprehensive framework to manage Credit Risk. The framework is top-down, being defined by credit principles and policies. Credit policies, requirements and procedures cover all aspects of the credit life cycle such as transaction structuring, risk grading, initial approval, ongoing management and problem debt management, as well as specialist policy topics.

The effectiveness of the credit risk management framework is assessed through various compliance and monitoring processes. These, together with portfolio selection and risk appetite setting, define and guide the credit process, organisation and staff.

Organisation

The Credit and Market Risk Committee (CMRC) is a senior executive level committee responsible for the oversight and control of credit, market, insurance and material financial risks across the ANZ Group. The Credit Rating System Oversight Committee (CRSOC) supports the CMRC, by providing oversight and control of the internal ratings system for credit risk in the wholesale and retail sectors, including credit model approvals and performance monitoring.

The primary responsibility for prudent and profitable management of credit risk assets and customer relationships rests with the business units. An independent credit risk management function is staffed by risk specialists. Independence is achieved by having all credit risk staff ultimately report to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), even where they are embedded in business units. Risk provides independent credit assessment and approval on lending decisions and performs key roles in portfolio management such as approving sector and customer appetite, development and validation of credit risk measurement systems, loan asset quality reporting, sensitivity analysis and stress testing in response to economic scenarios and development of the overall governance framework including credit policies and requirements, and adherence to regulations.

The authority to make credit decisions is delegated by the Board to the CEO who in turn delegates authority to the CRO. The CRO in turn delegates some of their credit discretion to individuals as part of a 'cascade' of authority from senior to the most junior credit officers via the Credit Approval Discretion (CAD) Framework. Within ANZ's wholesale business, credit approval for material judgemental lending is made on a 'dual approval' basis, jointly by the business writer in the business unit and the respective independent credit risk officer. Individuals must be suitably skilled and accredited in order to be granted and retain credit discretion. Credit discretions are reviewed on an annual basis and may be varied based on the holder's performance. Credit decisions are subject to Division level hind sighting using a risk-based approach, with material approvals oversighted by the Group CRO, CRO Institutional and the Board Risk Committee.

Programmed credit assessment typically covers Retail and some small business lending and refers to the automated assessment of credit applications using a combination of scoring (application and behavioural), policy rules and external credit reporting information. Where an application does not meet the automated assessment criteria it will be referred out for manual assessment.

Portfolio direction and performance

The credit risk management framework contains several portfolio direction and performance tools which enable Risk to play a fundamental role in monitoring the direction and performance of the portfolio. These include:

- Group and divisional level risk appetite strategies, business writing strategies and Sector and Product Transaction Guidelines which are prepared by the businesses and set out appetite, planned portfolio growth, capital usage and risk/return profile, and also identify areas that may require attention to mitigate and improve risk management. In all cases, Risk plays an active role for the review and challenge of appetite settings, industry deep dives and stress testing reports;
- Wholesale portfolio Red/Amber/Green (RAG) ratings for industries and portfolio reviews are re-assessed on a quarterly basis ensuring our view of risks and potential impacts dynamically respond to changing external market conditions; and
- Exposure concentration limits, covering single customers, and customer groups with economic interdependence, industries and cross border risk, to maintain a diversified portfolio.

ANZ uses portfolio monitoring and analysis tools, technologies and techniques to assist with portfolio risk assessment and management. These assist in:

- Monitoring, analysing and reporting ANZ's credit risk profile and progress in meeting portfolio objectives;
- Calculating and reporting ANZ's collective provision, economic loss, regulatory risk weighted assets (RWA) and regulatory expected loss;
- Assessing impact of emerging issues, and conducting ad-hoc investigations and deep dive portfolio reviews;
- Validating rating/scoring tools and credit estimates; and
- Ongoing review and refinement of ANZ's credit risk measurement and policy framework.

Credit Risk Reporting

Credit risk management information systems, reporting and analysis are managed centrally and at the divisional and business unit level.

Periodic reporting provides confirmation of the effectiveness of processes, highlights emerging issues, and allows monitoring of portfolio trends by all levels of management and the Board.

Examples of reports include EAD, portfolio mix, risk grade profiles and migrations, RWAs, large exposure reporting, credit early alerts, watch and control lists, policy or appetite exceptions, impaired assets and provisions.

Exposure at Default (EAD)

EAD represents the expected outstandings at the time of default, including an estimate of additional drawings prior to default (based on supervisory credit conversion factors), plus post-default drawings that were legally committed to prior to default. Unless otherwise stated, throughout this disclosure EAD is net of offsets for credit risk mitigation such as netting and financial collateral.

Past due facilities

Facilities where a contractual payment has not been met or the customer is outside of contractual arrangements for a material length of time are deemed past due. Past due facilities include those operating in excess of approved arrangements or where scheduled repayments are outstanding, but do not include impaired assets.

Impaired assets¹⁰

A facility for which there is doubt about timely payment of principal, interest and fees being achieved and / or a material credit obligation is 90 days or more past due and is not well secured. It includes all problem assets, off-balance sheet exposures (including derivatives) and assets brought to ANZ's balance sheet through the enforcement of security. Impaired derivatives have a credit valuation adjustment, which is a market assessment of the credit risk of the relevant counterparties.

Collectively Assessed Provisions for Credit Impairment

Collectively assessed provisions for credit impairment represent the Expected Credit Loss (ECL) calculated in accordance with AASB 9 Financial Instruments (AASB 9) which was applicable to ANZ from 1 October 2018. These incorporate forward looking information and do not require an actual loss event to have occurred for an impairment provision to be recognised.

Under AASB 9, ECL is either measured over 12 months or the expected lifetime of the financial asset, depending on the credit deterioration since origination, according to the following three-stage approach:

- Stage 1: At the origination of a financial asset, and where there has not been a significant increase in credit risk since origination, a provision equivalent to 12 months ECL is recognised. For instruments with a remaining maturity of less than 12 months, a provision calculated on the remaining term of the maturity is recognised.
- Stage 2: Where there has been a significant increase in credit risk (SICR) since origination, a provision equivalent to lifetime ECL is recognised.
- Stage 3: Where there is objective evidence of default or impairment, a provision equivalent to lifetime ECL is recognised.

In determining what constitutes a SICR, ANZ considers both qualitative and quantitative information, including probability of default at origination and at the reporting date.

For wholesale asset classes ECL is calculated as the product of PD, LGD and EAD at a facility level, discounted for incorporating the effect of time value of money. For retail asset classes, ECL is calculated as the product of PNPL, LGNPL and EANPL, where non-performing loans (NPL) are equivalent to impaired assets. These credit risk factors are adjusted for current and forward looking information through the use of macro-economic variables in the model.

To determine ECL under IFRS 9, a range of plausible scenarios are considered which are probability weighted. ANZ's economic scenario and probability weighting framework considers 4 economic scenarios: Base Case, Downside, Upside, and Severe to determine a probability weighted ECL outcome. Probability weightings are determined via an expert judgement process that considers:

- ANZ Research's Base Case;
- ANZ Base Case comparisons to historical trends and consensus range; and
- Risks or uncertainties to the Base Case.

¹⁰ The definition of Impaired Assets for accounting purposes is a default occurs when there are indicators that a debtor is unlikely to fully satisfy contractual credit obligations to the Group, or the exposure is 90 days past due. Financial assets, including those that are well secured, are considered credit impaired for financial reporting purposes when they are in default.

Individually Assessed Provisions for Credit Impairment

Individually assessed provisions for credit impairment are calculated in accordance with AASB 9. They are assessed on a case-by-case basis for all individually managed impaired assets taking into consideration factors such as the realisable value of security (or other credit mitigants), the likely return available upon liquidation or bankruptcy, legal uncertainties, estimated costs involved in recovery, the market price of the exposure in secondary markets and the amount and timing of expected receipts and recoveries.

Write-offs

Facilities are written off against the related provision for impairment when they are assessed as partially or fully uncollectable, and after proceeds from the realisation of any collateral have been received. Where individually assessed provisions recognised in previous periods have subsequently decreased or are no longer required, such impairment losses are reversed in the current period income statement.

Definition of default

ANZ uses the following definition of default:

- ANZ considers that the customer is unlikely to pay its credit obligations in full, without recourse to actions such as realising security, or
- the customer is greater than or equal to 90 days past due on a credit obligation, or
- the customer's overdraft or other revolving facility(ies) have been continuously outside approved limits for 90 or more consecutive days.

Non-performing facilities

An exposure that is in default.

Specific Provision and provision held against performing exposures

Due to definitional differences, there is a difference in the split between ANZ's individually assessed provision and collectively assessed provision for accounting purposes, and the specific provision and provision held against performing exposures for regulatory purposes. This does not impact total provisions, and essentially relates to the classification of collectively assessed provisions on defaulted accounts. The disclosures in this document from 1 January 2023 present the specific provision balance and charge per the requirements of APS 330, and the individual provision balance and charge for ease of comparison with other published results.

Exposure at Default in Table 7 represents credit exposure net of offsets for credit risk mitigation such as netting and financial collateral. It includes Advanced IRB, Specialised Lending and Standardised exposures, and excludes Securitisation, Equities or Other Assets exposures.

From 1 January 2022 ANZ adopted APRA's revised requirements under APS 220: Credit Risk Management. The revised standard no longer includes requirements in relation to impaired assets, specific provisions or the General Reserve for Credit Losses (GRCL). ANZ continued to disclose these items until 1 January 2023 when APS 330 requirements were changed to align to the revised APS 220 standard.

Table 7(b) part (i): Period end and average Exposure at Default ¹¹

| | | | Sep 23 | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Risk Weighted Assets | Exposure at Default | Average Exposure at Default for half year | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Subject to Advanced IRB approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 62,668 | 140,016 | 136,576 | 7 | 10 |
| Residential Mortgage | 96,290 | 337,478 | 331,073 | 10 | 12 |
| Retail SME | 9,684 | 16,510 | 16,754 | 25 | 48 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,243 | 12,817 | 12,957 | 26 | 43 |
| Other Retail | 1,644 | 1,557 | 1,588 | 11 | 34 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 173,529 | 508,378 | 498,948 | 79 | 147 |
| Subject to Foundation IRB approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 34,819 | 93,349 | 97,242 | 17 | - |
| Sovereign | 10,252 | 229,463 | 250,808 | - | - |
| Financial Institution | 30,875 | 108,478 | 108,886 | (1) | - |
| Total Foundation IRB approach | 75,946 | 431,290 | 456,936 | 16 | - |
| Specialised Lending Exposures Subject to Supervisory Slotting | | | | | |
| | 3,369 | 4,019 | 4,169 | - | - |
| Subject to Standardised approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 5,611 | 6,428 | 5,978 | (10) | 4 |
| Sovereign | 165 | 165 | 126 | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,065 | 2,269 | 2,140 | 1 | 2 |
| Other Retail | 44 | 32 | 28 | 2 | 6 |
| Other Assets | 3,255 | 5,920 | 6,900 | - | - |
| Total Standardised approach | 11,140 | 14,814 | 15,172 | (7) | 12 |
| Credit Valuation Adjustment and Qualifying Central Counterparties | 4,000 | 7,035 | 6,624 | - | - |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 78,662 | 197,204 | 196,249 | 35 | 65 |
| Total | 346,646 | 1,162,740 | 1,178,098 | 123 | 224 |

¹¹ Average Exposure at Default for half year is calculated as the simple average of the balances at the start and the end of each six month period.

Table 7(b) part (i): Period end and average Exposure at Default (continued)

| | Mar 23 | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Risk Weighted Assets | Exposure at Default | Average Exposure at Default for half year | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Advanced IRB approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 62,680 | 133,136 | - | 40 | 24 |
| Residential Mortgage | 86,726 | 324,670 | - | - | 12 |
| Retail SME | 10,065 | 16,997 | - | 8 | 43 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,325 | 13,097 | - | 23 | 42 |
| Other Retail | 1,709 | 1,618 | - | 7 | 23 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 164,505 | 489,518 | - | 78 | 144 |
| Foundation IRB approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 38,808 | 101,134 | - | (123) | 7 |
| Sovereign | 11,199 | 272,154 | - | - | - |
| Financial Institution | 32,832 | 109,294 | - | (1) | - |
| Total Foundation IRB approach | 82,839 | 482,582 | - | (124) | 7 |
| Specialised Lending Exposures Subject to Supervisory Slotting | 3,577 | 4,318 | - | - | - |
| Standardised approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 4,911 | 5,526 | - | (3) | 20 |
| Sovereign | 88 | 88 | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 1,809 | 2,011 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 32 | 24 | - | (2) | - |
| Other Assets | 4,138 | 7,879 | - | - | - |
| Total Standardised approach | 10,978 | 15,528 | - | (5) | 20 |
| Credit Valuation Adjustment and Qualifying Central Counterparties | 3,449 | 6,212 | - | - | - |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 77,712 | 195,293 | - | 21 | 14 |
| Total | 343,060 | 1,193,451 | - | (30) | 185 |

Table 7(b) part (i): Period end and average Exposure at Default (continued)

| | | | Sep 22 | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------|---|---|--------------------------|
| | Risk Weighted Assets | Exposure at Default | Average Exposure at Default for half year | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Advanced IRB approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 146,069 | 327,238 | 313,041 | (12) | 36 |
| Sovereign | 10,955 | 266,845 | 260,006 | - | - |
| Bank | 12,071 | 40,479 | 38,263 | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 113,590 | 414,125 | 412,877 | (12) | 14 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,272 | 13,309 | 13,410 | 12 | 45 |
| Other Retail | 17,029 | 27,088 | 27,877 | (2) | 106 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 302,986 | 1,089,084 | 1,065,474 | (14) | 201 |
| Specialised Lending | 39,792 | 48,742 | 47,980 | (1) | - |
| Standardised approach | | | | | |
| Corporate | 6,235 | 5,976 | 6,039 | 7 | 4 |
| Sovereign | 29 | 146 | 162 | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 224 | 435 | 426 | 1 | 1 |
| Other Retail | 11 | 10 | 11 | (1) | - |
| Total Standardised approach | 6,499 | 6,567 | 6,638 | 7 | 5 |
| Credit Valuation Adjustment and Qualifying Central Counterparties | 3,865 | 7,916 | 7,354 | - | - |
| Total | 353,142 | 1,152,309 | 1,127,446 | (8) | 206 |

Table 7(b) part (ii): Exposure at Default by portfolio type¹²

| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 | Average for half year Sep 23 |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Portfolio Type | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Cash | 137,316 | 176,681 | 152,042 | 156,999 |
| Contingent liabilities, commitments, and other off-balance sheet exposures | 171,361 | 172,166 | 183,411 | 171,764 |
| Derivatives | 46,577 | 44,695 | 53,875 | 45,636 |
| Settlement Balances | 15 | 12 | 34 | 14 |
| Investment Securities | 93,560 | 89,381 | 81,198 | 91,471 |
| Net Loans, Advances & Acceptances | 684,917 | 674,528 | 653,303 | 679,723 |
| Other assets | 9,589 | 13,199 | 9,163 | 11,394 |
| Trading Securities | 19,405 | 22,789 | 19,283 | 21,097 |
| Total exposures | 1,162,740 | 1,193,451 | 1,152,309 | 1,178,098 |

¹² Average for half year is calculated as the simple average of the balances at the start and the end of each six month period.

Table 7(c): Geographic distribution of Exposure at Default

| Portfolio Type | Sep 23 | | | Total \$M |
|---|------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|
| | Australia \$M | New Zealand \$M | Asia Pacific, Europe and Americas \$M | |
| Corporate | 167,329 | - | 72,464 | 239,793 |
| Sovereign | 113,504 | - | 116,124 | 229,628 |
| Financial Institution | 38,004 | - | 70,474 | 108,478 |
| Residential Mortgage | 339,042 | 286 | 419 | 339,747 |
| Retail SME | 16,509 | 1 | - | 16,510 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 12,817 | - | - | 12,817 |
| Other Retail | 1,580 | - | 9 | 1,589 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 1,251 | - | 5,784 | 7,035 |
| Specialised Lending | 3,391 | - | 628 | 4,019 |
| Other assets | 5,470 | - | 450 | 5,920 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | - | 197,204 | - | 197,204 |
| Total exposures | 698,897 | 197,491 | 266,352 | 1,162,740 |

| Portfolio Type | Mar 23 | | | Total \$M |
|---|------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|
| | Australia \$M | New Zealand \$M | Asia Pacific, Europe and Americas \$M | |
| Corporate | 164,403 | - | 75,393 | 239,796 |
| Sovereign | 148,495 | - | 123,747 | 272,242 |
| Financial Institution | 39,256 | - | 70,038 | 109,294 |
| Residential Mortgage | 326,023 | 251 | 407 | 326,681 |
| Retail SME | 16,997 | - | - | 16,997 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 13,097 | - | - | 13,097 |
| Other Retail | 1,632 | - | 10 | 1,642 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 836 | - | 5,376 | 6,212 |
| Specialised Lending | 3,632 | - | 686 | 4,318 |
| Other assets | 7,373 | - | 506 | 7,879 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | - | 195,293 | - | 195,293 |
| Total exposures | 721,744 | 195,544 | 276,163 | 1,193,451 |

| Portfolio Type | Sep 22 | | | Total \$M |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--|------------------|
| | Australia \$M | New Zealand \$M | Asia Pacific, Europe and Americas \$M | |
| Corporate | 165,339 | 40,234 | 127,641 | 333,214 |
| Sovereign | 126,677 | 25,114 | 115,200 | 266,991 |
| Bank | 17,359 | 3,082 | 20,038 | 40,479 |
| Residential Mortgage | 316,163 | 97,962 | 435 | 414,560 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 13,309 | - | - | 13,309 |
| Other Retail | 19,409 | 7,679 | 10 | 27,098 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 924 | 399 | 6,593 | 7,916 |
| Specialised Lending | 36,118 | 12,352 | 272 | 48,742 |
| Total exposures | 695,298 | 186,822 | 270,189 | 1,152,309 |

Table 7(d): Industry distribution of Exposure at Default ¹³

| Portfolio Type | Sep 23 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Total \$M |
|---|---|--|---------------------|---|---|---|---|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining \$M | Business & Property Services \$M | Construction \$M | Electricity, Gas & Water Supply \$M | Entertainment, Leisure & Tourism \$M | Financial, Investment & Insurance \$M | Government and Official Institutions \$M | Manufacturing \$M | Personal \$M | Commercial Property \$M | Wholesale Trade \$M | Retail Trade \$M | Transport & Storage \$M | Other \$M | |
| Corporate | 27,647 | 13,780 | 4,922 | 11,591 | 13,021 | 5,716 | 15 | 43,340 | 40 | 52,986 | 19,783 | 13,151 | 15,475 | 18,326 | 239,793 |
| Sovereign | 366 | 1 | 31 | 594 | - | 163,230 | 61,768 | 2,514 | - | 226 | 1 | - | 768 | 129 | 229,628 |
| Financial Institutions | 268 | 112 | 78 | 15 | 94 | 106,880 | - | 478 | - | 102 | 268 | 61 | 54 | 68 | 108,478 |
| Residential Mortgage | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 339,747 | - | - | - | - | - | 339,747 |
| Retail SME | 1,022 | 2,360 | 2,245 | 38 | 1,267 | 428 | 9 | 1,076 | 261 | 167 | 863 | 2,293 | 719 | 3,762 | 16,510 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12,817 | - | - | - | - | - | 12,817 |
| Other Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,589 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,589 |
| Other Assets | - | - | - | - | - | 522 | - | - | - | 20 | - | - | - | 5,378 | 5,920 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | - | - | - | - | - | 7,035 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,035 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | 1,651 | - | - | 1,582 | 224 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 501 | 61 | 4,019 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 16,239 | 2,859 | 1,384 | 1,942 | 1,831 | 23,668 | 9,527 | 3,964 | 113,580 | 11,825 | 2,850 | 1,854 | 1,461 | 4,220 | 197,204 |
| Total exposures | 47,193 | 19,112 | 8,660 | 15,762 | 16,437 | 307,479 | 71,319 | 51,372 | 468,034 | 65,326 | 23,765 | 17,359 | 18,978 | 31,944 | 1,162,740 |
| % of Total | 4.1% | 1.6% | 0.7% | 1.4% | 1.4% | 26.4% | 6.1% | 4.4% | 40.3% | 5.6% | 2.0% | 1.5% | 1.6% | 2.7% | 100.0% |

¹³ Other industry includes Health & Community Services, Education, Communication Services and Personal & Other Services.

Table 7(d): Industry distribution of Exposure at Default (continued)

Mar 23

| Portfolio Type | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining \$M | Business & Property Services \$M | Construction \$M | Electricity, Gas & Water Supply \$M | Entertainment, Leisure & Tourism \$M | Financial, Investment & Insurance \$M | Government and Official Institutions \$M | Manufacturing \$M | Personal \$M | Commercial Property \$M | Wholesale Trade \$M | Retail Trade \$M | Transport & Storage \$M | Other \$M | Total \$M |
|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Corporate | 25,678 | 14,281 | 4,849 | 13,304 | 12,562 | 5,376 | 16 | 42,109 | 32 | 52,325 | 22,605 | 13,167 | 14,692 | 18,800 | 239,796 |
| Sovereign | 389 | - | 30 | 465 | - | 205,300 | 62,183 | 2,299 | - | 1,014 | 12 | - | 411 | 139 | 272,242 |
| Financial Institutions | 299 | 136 | 84 | 15 | 92 | 107,605 | - | 461 | - | 115 | 277 | 66 | 61 | 83 | 109,294 |
| Residential Mortgage | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 326,681 | - | - | - | - | - | 326,681 |
| Retail SME | 1,092 | 2,386 | 2,302 | 43 | 1,305 | 438 | 9 | 1,120 | 260 | 167 | 876 | 2,372 | 779 | 3,848 | 16,997 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,097 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,097 |
| Other Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,642 | - | - | - | - | - | 1,642 |
| Other Assets | 4 | 12 | 4 | 24 | - | 125 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7,701 | 7,879 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | - | - | - | - | - | 6,212 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 6,212 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | 1,808 | - | - | 1,677 | 263 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 513 | 57 | 4,318 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 16,520 | 2,847 | 1,575 | 2,153 | 1,830 | 11,782 | 20,172 | 4,200 | 111,449 | 12,609 | 3,015 | 1,718 | 1,518 | 3,905 | 195,293 |
| Total exposures | 45,790 | 19,662 | 8,844 | 17,681 | 16,052 | 336,838 | 82,380 | 50,190 | 453,162 | 66,231 | 26,786 | 17,326 | 17,976 | 34,533 | 1,193,451 |
| % of Total | 3.8% | 1.6% | 0.7% | 1.5% | 1.3% | 28.2% | 6.9% | 4.2% | 38.0% | 5.5% | 2.2% | 1.5% | 1.5% | 2.9% | 100.0% |

Sep 22

| Portfolio Type | Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining \$M | Business & Property Services \$M | Construction \$M | Electricity, Gas & Water Supply \$M | Entertainment, Leisure & Tourism \$M | Financial, Investment & Insurance \$M | Government and Official Institutions \$M | Manufacturing \$M | Personal \$M | Commercial Property \$M | Wholesale Trade \$M | Retail Trade \$M | Transport & Storage \$M | Other \$M | Total \$M |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|--|---|--|---|----------------------|-----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Corporate | 43,976 | 18,494 | 5,715 | 15,257 | 14,548 | 86,832 | 19 | 46,254 | 189 | 19,938 | 27,442 | 13,963 | 17,732 | 22,855 | 333,214 |
| Sovereign | 422 | - | 16 | 519 | 1 | 197,670 | 65,070 | 1,908 | - | 825 | 15 | - | 421 | 124 | 266,991 |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - | 40,234 | - | 237 | - | - | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 40,479 |
| Residential Mortgage | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 414,560 | - | - | - | - | - | 414,560 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13,309 | - | - | - | - | - | 13,309 |
| Other Retail | 1,766 | 2,729 | 2,800 | 58 | 1,538 | 493 | 8 | 1,326 | 7,246 | 257 | 970 | 2,794 | 972 | 4,141 | 27,098 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | - | - | - | - | - | 7,916 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7,916 |
| Specialised Lending | 1,407 | 5 | 311 | 1,486 | 262 | 1 | - | - | - | 44,513 | - | - | 540 | 217 | 48,742 |
| Total exposures | 47,571 | 21,227 | 8,842 | 17,320 | 16,349 | 333,146 | 65,097 | 49,725 | 435,304 | 65,534 | 28,428 | 16,761 | 19,666 | 27,339 | 1,152,309 |
| % of Total | 4.1% | 1.8% | 0.8% | 1.5% | 1.4% | 28.9% | 5.6% | 4.3% | 37.8% | 5.7% | 2.5% | 1.5% | 1.7% | 2.4% | 100.0% |

Table 7(e): Residual contractual maturity of Exposure at Default¹⁴

| Sep 23 | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|
| Portfolio Type | < 12 mths \$M | 1 - 5 years \$M | > 5 years \$M | No Maturity Specified \$M | Total \$M |
| Corporate | 99,796 | 125,414 | 14,581 | 2 | 239,793 |
| Sovereign | 162,735 | 26,914 | 39,979 | - | 229,628 |
| Financial Institution | 68,717 | 38,555 | 1,206 | - | 108,478 |
| Residential Mortgage | 190 | 229 | 329,100 | 10,228 | 339,747 |
| Retail SME | 3,526 | 2,179 | 10,805 | - | 16,510 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | 12,817 | 12,817 |
| Other Retail | 317 | 11 | 1,261 | - | 1,589 |
| Other Assets | - | - | - | 5,920 | 5,920 |
| QCCP | 4,639 | 1,138 | 644 | 614 | 7,035 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | 660 | 2,220 | 1,139 | - | 4,019 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 43,651 | 39,771 | 102,965 | 10,817 | 197,204 |
| Total exposures | 384,231 | 236,431 | 501,680 | 40,398 | 1,162,740 |
| Mar 23 | | | | | |
| Portfolio Type | < 12 mths \$M | 1 - 5 years \$M | > 5 years \$M | No Maturity Specified \$M | Total \$M |
| Corporate | 91,527 | 130,379 | 17,888 | 2 | 239,796 |
| Sovereign | 148,456 | 91,708 | 32,078 | - | 272,242 |
| Financial Institution | 64,590 | 42,876 | 1,828 | - | 109,294 |
| Residential Mortgage | 196 | 210 | 312,614 | 13,661 | 326,681 |
| Retail SME | 3,586 | 2,356 | 11,055 | - | 16,997 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | 13,097 | 13,097 |
| Other Retail | 325 | 24 | 1,293 | - | 1,642 |
| Other Assets | - | - | - | 7,879 | 7,879 |
| QCCP | 4,069 | 1,108 | 474 | 561 | 6,212 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | 444 | 2,595 | 1,279 | - | 4,318 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 44,478 | 38,473 | 101,692 | 10,650 | 195,293 |
| Total exposures | 357,671 | 309,729 | 480,201 | 45,850 | 1,193,451 |
| Sep 22 | | | | | |
| Portfolio Type | < 12 mths \$M | 1 - 5 years \$M | > 5 years \$M | No Maturity Specified \$M | Total \$M |
| Corporate | 148,707 | 167,246 | 17,215 | 46 | 333,214 |
| Sovereign | 195,060 | 41,464 | 30,467 | - | 266,991 |
| Bank | 30,456 | 9,641 | 382 | - | 40,479 |
| Residential Mortgage | 222 | 852 | 391,648 | 21,838 | 414,560 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | - | - | 13,309 | 13,309 |
| Other Retail | 10,181 | 2,957 | 13,960 | - | 27,098 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 5,689 | 1,077 | 722 | 428 | 7,916 |
| Specialised Lending | 18,624 | 28,259 | 1,847 | 12 | 48,742 |
| Total exposures | 408,939 | 251,496 | 456,241 | 35,633 | 1,152,309 |

¹⁴ No Maturity Specified predominately includes credit cards and residential mortgage equity manager accounts.

Table 7(f) part (i): Non-performing facilities, Provisions and Write-offs by Industry sector

| Industry Sector | Sep-23 | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Non-performing facilities | | | Individual provisioned facilities | | | |
| | Exposure | Specific provision balance | Specific provision charge for half year | Exposure | Individual provision Balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining | 342 | 65 | 8 | 92 | 44 | 9 | 5 |
| Business & Property Services | 99 | 32 | 1 | 34 | 19 | 4 | 7 |
| Commercial Property | 345 | 33 | 22 | 232 | 19 | 14 | 38 |
| Construction | 128 | 47 | (2) | 43 | 29 | - | 7 |
| Electricity, gas & water supply | 5 | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | - | - |
| Entertainment Leisure & Tourism | 185 | 33 | - | 56 | 18 | 10 | 13 |
| Financial, Investment & Insurance | 16 | 6 | - | 7 | 4 | - | 11 |
| Government & Official Institutions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Manufacturing | 109 | 32 | 7 | 41 | 22 | 6 | 6 |
| Personal | 3,099 | 310 | 40 | 197 | 92 | 59 | 113 |
| Retail Trade | 176 | 60 | 27 | 79 | 40 | 23 | 10 |
| Transport & Storage | 53 | 17 | (7) | 26 | 13 | (1) | 6 |
| Wholesale Trade | 70 | 30 | (3) | 35 | 24 | (3) | 3 |
| Other | 394 | 63 | - | 240 | 51 | 2 | 5 |
| Total | 5,021 | 730 | 93 | 1,084 | 376 | 123 | 224 |

Table 7(f) part (i): Non-performing facilities, Provisions and Write-offs by Industry sector (continued)

| Industry Sector | Mar 23 | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Non-performing facilities | | | Individual provisioned facilities | | | |
| | Exposure | Specific provision balance | Specific provision charge for half year | Exposure | Individual provision Balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining | 294 | 56 | (12) | 90 | 33 | (2) | 1 |
| Business & Property Services | 130 | 49 | 3 | 41 | 24 | 3 | 5 |
| Commercial Property | 170 | 48 | 7 | 57 | 41 | 11 | 1 |
| Construction | 185 | 58 | 2 | 56 | 37 | 2 | 11 |
| Electricity, gas & water supply | 5 | 3 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| Entertainment Leisure & Tourism | 220 | 47 | (7) | 39 | 22 | (3) | 14 |
| Financial, Investment & Insurance | 37 | 15 | (26) | 18 | 13 | (24) | 8 |
| Government & Official Institutions | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Manufacturing | 85 | 28 | (1) | 34 | 19 | - | 11 |
| Personal | 2,473 | 339 | 61 | 191 | 101 | 38 | 88 |
| Retail Trade | 137 | 41 | (1) | 46 | 25 | 3 | 12 |
| Transport & Storage | 88 | 32 | 1 | 33 | 22 | 1 | 1 |
| Wholesale Trade | 90 | 31 | (109) | 42 | 26 | (103) | 1 |
| Other | 385 | 58 | 44 | 179 | 56 | 44 | 32 |
| Total | 4,299 | 805 | (38) | 828 | 421 | (30) | 185 |

| Industry Sector | Sep 22 | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Impaired derivatives | Impaired loans/facilities | Past due loans ≥ 90 days | Individual provision balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Mining | - | 129 | 137 | 32 | (28) | 23 |
| Business Services | - | 41 | 28 | 25 | (1) | 6 |
| Construction | - | 63 | 48 | 40 | 11 | 7 |
| Electricity, gas & water supply | - | 2 | - | 2 | (6) | 1 |
| Entertainment Leisure & Tourism | 7 | 180 | 56 | 44 | 11 | 14 |
| Financial, Investment & Insurance | - | 33 | 38 | 25 | 6 | 11 |
| Government & Official Institutions | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Manufacturing | - | 44 | 22 | 29 | 7 | 6 |
| Personal | - | 498 | 1,726 | 113 | (3) | 105 |
| Property Services | - | 69 | 89 | 33 | (5) | 3 |
| Retail Trade | - | 49 | 58 | 32 | 1 | 8 |
| Transport & Storage | - | 72 | 14 | 18 | (2) | 7 |
| Wholesale Trade | - | 270 | 48 | 120 | (1) | 7 |
| Other | - | 33 | 93 | 29 | 2 | 8 |
| Total | 7 | 1,483 | 2,357 | 542 | (8) | 206 |

Table 7(f) part (ii): Non-Performing Facilities, Provisions and Write-offs¹⁵

| | Sep 23 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Non-performing exposures | | | Individually provisioned exposures | | | |
| | Exposure | Specific provision balance | Specific provision charge for half year | Exposure | Individual provision balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Advanced IRB approach | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 640 | 161 | 4 | 281 | 101 | 7 | 10 |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,363 | 160 | (7) | 119 | 43 | 10 | 12 |
| Retail SME | 385 | 102 | 20 | 95 | 61 | 25 | 48 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 34 | 27 | 26 | - | - | 26 | 43 |
| Other Retail | 41 | 38 | 10 | 22 | 20 | 11 | 34 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 3,463 | 488 | 53 | 517 | 225 | 79 | 147 |
| Foundation IRB approach | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 242 | 50 | 17 | 232 | 50 | 17 | - |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Financial Institution | 4 | 1 | (2) | 2 | 1 | (1) | - |
| Total Foundation IRB approach | 246 | 51 | 15 | 234 | 51 | 16 | - |
| Specialised Lending Subject to Supervisory Slotting | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Standardised approach | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 127 | 43 | (21) | 41 | 30 | (10) | 4 |
| Residential Mortgage | 81 | 11 | 2 | 15 | 9 | 1 | 2 |
| Other Retail | 6 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Total Standardised approach | 214 | 55 | (17) | 62 | 40 | (7) | 12 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 1,098 | 136 | 42 | 271 | 60 | 35 | 65 |
| Total | 5,021 | 730 | 93 | 1,084 | 376 | 123 | 224 |

¹⁵ ANZ may recognise IPRE under the Specialised Lending asset class as eligible collateral where:

- Losses from lower risk IPRE lending are less than 0.3% of total IPRE exposures in each of the past three years
- Losses from total IPRE lending are less than 0.5% of total IPRE exposures in each of the past three years

| | Low Risk IPRE | | | Total IPRE | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|------------|---------|---------|
| | Sep-21 | Sep-22 | Sep-23 | Sep-21 | Sep-22 | Sep-23 |
| Individual provision charge (losses) | \$0.0m | \$0.0m | \$0.0m | \$0.0m | \$14.8m | \$25.0m |
| As % of total IPRE Exposure | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.03% | 0.05% |

Table 7(f) part (ii): Non-Performing Facilities, Provisions and Write-offs (continued)

| | Mar 23 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|----------------------------|---|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Non-performing exposures | | | Individually provisioned exposures | | | |
| | Exposure | Specific provision balance | Specific provision charge for half year | Exposure | Individual provision balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| Advanced IRB approach | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Corporate | 663 | 160 | 28 | 288 | 99 | 40 | 24 |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,065 | 181 | 2 | 129 | 47 | - | 12 |
| Retail SME | 414 | 123 | - | 120 | 77 | 8 | 43 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 34 | 27 | 25 | - | - | 23 | 42 |
| Other Retail | 54 | 46 | 11 | 30 | 27 | 7 | 23 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 3,230 | 537 | 66 | 567 | 250 | 78 | 144 |
| Foundation IRB approach | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 29 | 27 | (123) | 26 | 27 | (123) | 7 |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Financial Institution | 29 | 11 | (1) | 15 | 10 | (1) | - |
| Total Foundation IRB approach | 58 | 38 | (124) | 41 | 37 | (124) | 7 |
| Specialised Lending Subject to Supervisory Slotting | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Standardised approach | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 194 | 66 | (8) | 68 | 40 | (3) | 20 |
| Residential Mortgage | 69 | 8 | (1) | 10 | 6 | - | - |
| Other Retail | 8 | 1 | (2) | 8 | 1 | (2) | - |
| Total Standardised approach | 271 | 75 | (11) | 86 | 47 | (5) | 20 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 740 | 155 | 31 | 134 | 87 | 21 | 14 |
| Total | 4,299 | 805 | (38) | 828 | 421 | (30) | 185 |

| | Sep 22 | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Impaired derivatives | Impaired loans/facilities | Past due loans ≥ 90 days | Individual provision balance | Individual provision charge for half year | Write-offs for half year |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Portfolios subject to Advanced IRB approach | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 7 | 568 | 272 | 251 | (12) | 36 |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | - | 371 | 1,759 | 63 | (12) | 14 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | - | 29 | - | - | 12 | 45 |
| Other Retail | - | 225 | 247 | 133 | (2) | 106 |
| Total Advanced IRB approach | 7 | 1,193 | 2,278 | 447 | (14) | 201 |
| Specialised Lending | - | 51 | 15 | 29 | (1) | - |
| Portfolios subject to Standardised approach | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 200 | 55 | 57 | 7 | 4 |
| Residential Mortgage | - | 31 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 1 |
| Other Retail | - | 8 | - | 3 | (1) | - |
| Total Standardised approach | - | 239 | 64 | 66 | 7 | 5 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 7 | 1,483 | 2,357 | 542 | (8) | 206 |

Table 7(g): Non-performing and Provisions¹⁶ by Geography

| Geographic region | Sep 23 | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Non-Performing facilities | Individual provision balance | Collective provision balance |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Australia | 3,739 | 259 | 2,693 |
| New Zealand | 1,101 | 60 | 738 |
| Asia Pacific, Europe and America | 181 | 57 | 601 |
| Total | 5,021 | 376 | 4,032 |

| Geographic region | Mar 23 | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Non-Performing facilities | Individual provision balance | Collective provision balance |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Australia | 3,298 | 267 | 2,681 |
| New Zealand | 749 | 87 | 719 |
| Asia Pacific, Europe and America | 252 | 67 | 640 |
| Total | 4,299 | 421 | 4,040 |

| Geographic region | Sep 22 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Impaired derivatives | Impaired loans/facilities | Past due loans ≥ 90 days | Individual provision balance | Collective provision balance |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Australia | 7 | 1,075 | 1,901 | 379 | 2,617 |
| New Zealand | - | 143 | 391 | 72 | 590 |
| Asia Pacific, Europe and America | - | 265 | 65 | 91 | 646 |
| Total | 7 | 1,483 | 2,357 | 542 | 3,853 |

¹⁶ Due to definitional differences, there is a variation in the split between ANZ's Individual Provision and Collective Provision for accounting purposes and the Specific Provision and Provisions held against performing exposures for regulatory purposes. This does not impact total provisions, and essentially relates to the classification of collectively assessed provisions on defaulted accounts. The disclosures in this document are based on Individual Provision and Collective Provision, for ease of comparison with other published results.

Table 7(h): Provision for Credit Impairment

| | Half year Sep 23 \$M | Half year Mar 23 \$M | Half year Sep 22 \$M |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Collectively Assessed Provision | | | |
| Balance at start of period | 4,040 | 3,853 | 3,757 |
| Charge/(Release) to Income Statement | (11) | 163 | 60 |
| Adjustment for exchange rate fluctuations and transfers | 3 | 24 | 36 |
| Total Collectively Assessed Provision | 4,032 | 4,040 | 3,853 |
| Individually Assessed Provision | | | |
| Balance at start of period | 421 | 542 | 636 |
| New and increased provisions | 239 | 237 | 219 |
| Write-backs | (50) | (166) | (118) |
| Adjustment for exchange rate fluctuations and transfers | - | (1) | 18 |
| Discount unwind | (10) | (6) | (7) |
| Bad debts written off | (224) | (185) | (206) |
| Total Individually Assessed Provision | 376 | 421 | 542 |
| Total Provisions for Credit Impairment | 4,408 | 4,461 | 4,395 |

Table 7(j): Specific Provision Balance and Provisions held against performing exposures¹⁷

| | Sep 23 | | Total \$M |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|--------------|
| | Specific Provision Balance \$M | Provisions held against performing exposures \$M | |
| Collectively Assessed Provision | 354 | 3,678 | 4,032 |
| Individually Assessed Provision | 376 | - | 376 |
| Total Provision for Credit Impairment | 730 | 3,678 | 4,408 |
| | Mar 23 | | Total \$M |
| | Specific Provision Balance \$M | Provisions held against performing exposures \$M | |
| Collectively Assessed Provision | 384 | 3,656 | 4,040 |
| Individually Assessed Provision | 421 | - | 421 |
| Total Provision for Credit Impairment | 805 | 3,656 | 4,461 |
| | Sep 22 | | Total \$M |
| | Specific Provision Balance \$M | General Reserve for Credit Losses \$M | |
| Collectively Assessed Provision | 389 | 3,464 | 3,853 |
| Individually Assessed Provision | 542 | - | 542 |
| Total Provision for Credit Impairment | 931 | 3,464 | 4,395 |

¹⁷ Due to definitional differences, there is a variation in the split between ANZ's Individual Provision and Collective Provision for accounting purposes and the Specific Provision and Provisions held against performing exposures for regulatory purposes. This does not impact total provisions, and essentially relates to the classification of collectively assessed provisions on defaulted accounts. The disclosures in this document are based on Individual Provision and Collective Provision, for ease of comparison with other published results.

Table 8 Credit risk – Disclosures for portfolios subject to the Standardised approach and supervisory risk weights in the IRB approach**Table 8(b): Exposure at Default by risk bucket¹⁸**

| | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Standardised approach exposures | | | |
| 0% | - | - | 1 |
| 20% | 213 | 95 | 145 |
| 30% | 73 | 71 | - |
| 35% | - | - | 178 |
| 50% | 288 | 230 | 319 |
| 60% | 352 | 299 | - |
| 70% | 1,460 | 808 | - |
| 75% | - | - | - |
| 85% | 1,138 | 1,041 | - |
| 90% | 21 | 13 | - |
| 100% | 5,074 | 4,759 | 5,562 |
| 110% | 76 | 53 | - |
| 150% | 199 | 280 | 348 |
| >150% | - | - | 14 |
| Capital deductions | - | - | - |
| Total | 8,894 | 7,649 | 6,567 |
| Other Asset exposures | | | |
| 0% | 2,739 | 3,299 | - |
| 20% | - | 766 | 641 |
| 35% | - | - | - |
| 50% | - | - | - |
| 75% | - | - | - |
| 100% | 3,119 | 3,782 | 3,650 |
| 150% | 20 | 24 | - |
| >150% | 42 | 8 | 39 |
| Capital deductions | - | - | - |
| Total | 5,920 | 7,879 | 4,330 |
| Specialised Lending exposures | | | |
| 0% | - | - | 122 |
| 70% | 2,128 | 2,195 | 27,159 |
| 90% | 1,254 | 1,546 | 18,038 |
| 110% | 286 | 293 | 2,971 |
| 115% | 327 | 284 | - |
| 250% | 24 | - | 452 |
| Total | 4,019 | 4,318 | 48,742 |

¹⁸ Table 8(b) shows exposure at default after credit risk mitigation in each risk category.

Table 9 Credit risk – Disclosures for portfolios subject to IRB approaches**Portfolios subject to the Advanced IRB (AIRB) and Foundation IRB (FIRB) approach**

The following table summarises the types of borrowers and the rating approach adopted within each of ANZ's AIRB portfolios:

| IRB Asset Class | Borrower Type | Rating Approach Pre-Jan 2023 | Rating Approach Post-Jan 2023 |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|
| Remains post-January 2023 | | | |
| Corporate | Corporations, partnerships or proprietorships that do not fit into any other asset class Income Producing Real Estate (from January 2023) Securities firms, insurance companies and leveraged funds (pre-January 2023) | AIRB | AIRB or FIRB where annual revenue > \$750m |
| Sovereign | Central governments Central banks Certain multilateral development banks | AIRB | FIRB |
| Residential Mortgages | Exposures secured by residential property | AIRB | AIRB |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | Australian consumer credit cards <\$100,000 limit | AIRB | AIRB |
| Other Retail | Small business lending (moved to Retail SME from January 2023) Other lending to consumers | AIRB | AIRB |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | Income Producing Real Estate Pre 1 January 2023. | IRB – Supervisory Slotting | N/A |
| | Project finance Object finance | IRB – Supervisory Slotting | IRB – Supervisory Slotting |
| Other Assets | All other assets not falling into the above classes e.g. margin lending, fixed assets | AIRB – fixed risk weights | Standardised |
| Retired January 2023 | | | |
| Bank | Banks Other authorised deposit taking institutions (ADI) incorporated in Australia | AIRB | |
| New from January 2023 | | | |
| Retail SME | Small business lending | | AIRB |
| Financial Institutions | Banks, securities firms, insurance companies and leveraged funds | | FIRB |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | Includes all exposures in all asset classes for New Zealand banking subsidiaries Reported within all pre-January 2023 asset classes as per APS 113. | | AIRB and Supervisory Slotting |

In addition, where ANZ is not accredited to use the IRB based approach to credit risk, ANZ applies the Standardised approach to credit risk as detailed on page 26.

The ANZ rating system

As an IRB bank, ANZ's internal models generate the inputs into regulatory capital adequacy to determine the risk weighted exposure calculations for both on- and off-balance sheet exposures, including undrawn portions of credit facilities, committed and contingent exposures and expected loss (EL) calculations. ANZ's internal models are used to generate the three key risk components that serve as inputs to the IRB approach to credit risk:

- PD is an estimate of the level of the risk of borrower default over a 12-month horizon. Borrower ratings are derived by way of rating models used both at loan origination and for ongoing monitoring.
- EAD represents the expected outstanding at the time of default, including an estimate of additional drawings prior to default (based on supervisory credit conversion factors), plus post-default drawings that were legally committed to prior to default.
- LGD is an estimate of the potential economic loss on a credit exposure, incurred as a consequence of obligor default, expressed as a percentage of the facility's EAD.

Effective maturity (M) is also calculated as an input to the risk weighted exposure calculation for Financial Institution, Sovereign and Corporate IRB asset classes.

For wholesale asset classes, ANZ's rating system has two separate and distinct dimensions that:

- Measure the PD, which is expressed by the Customer Credit Rating (CCR), reflecting the ability to service and repay debt.
- Measure the LGD as expressed by the Security Indicator (SI) ranging from A to G. The SI is calculated by reference to the percentage of a loan covered by security which can be realised in the event of default. This calculation uses standard ratios to adjust the current market value of collateral items to allow for historical realisation outcomes. The security-related SIs are supplemented with a range of other SIs which cover such factors as Cash cover (K), Subordinated debt (M), intra-group guarantees (I) and Sovereign (S). ANZ's LGD also includes recognition of the different legal and insolvency regimes in different countries, where this has been shown to influence recovery outcomes.

ANZ's corporate PD master scale is APRA approved and is made up of 27 rating grades. Each level/grade is separately defined and has a range of default probabilities attached to it. The PD master scale enables ANZ's rating system to be mapped to the grading's of external rating agencies, using the PD as a common element after ensuring that default definitions and other key attributes are aligned.

The following table demonstrates this alignment (for one-year PDs):

| ANZ CCR | Moody's | Standard & Poor's | PD Range |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 0+ to 1- | Aaa to Aa3 | AAA to AA- | 0.0000 - 0.0346% |
| 2+ to 3+ | A1 to Baa1 | A+ to BBB+ | 0.0347 - 0.1636% |
| 3= to 4+ | Baa2 to Baa3 | BBB to BBB- | 0.1637 - 0.4004% |
| 4= to 6= | Ba1 to B1 | BB+ to B+ | 0.4005 - 2.7550% |
| 6- to 7= | B2 to B3 | B to B- | 2.7551 - 9.7980% |
| 7- to 8+ | Caa1 to Caa3 | CCC+ to CCC- | 9.7981 - 27.1109% |
| 8= | Ca to C | CC to C | 27.1110 - 99.9999% |
| 8-, 9 and 10 | Default | Default | 100% |

In the retail asset classes, most facilities utilise credit rating scores. The scores are calibrated to PDs, and used to allocate exposures to homogenous pools, along with LGD and EAD.

Use of internal estimates other than for regulatory capital purposes

ANZ's rating system is a fundamental part of credit management and plays a key role in:

- Lending discretions,
- Minimum origination standards,
- Concentration limits,
- Portfolio reporting,
- Customer profitability measurement,
- Collectively assessed provision measurement,
- Management of deteriorating customers (where certain CCR/SI combinations trigger increasing scrutiny), and
- Pricing decisions.

For the wholesale asset class, PD, LGD, M and EAD are used in the calculation of capital and in the collectively assessed provisioning process. For the retail asset class, PD, LGD and EAD are used in the calculation of capital, and PNPL, EANPL and LGNPL are used in the collectively assessed provisioning process. Regulatory and internal expected loss are calculated from the same data sources and starting from the same basis, however there are some differences between the factors used because several aspects of ANZ's rating system are adjusted in accordance with APRA requirements for regulatory capital purposes. The most significant of these adjustments are the use for regulatory capital purposes of downturn LGDs; the application of regulatory prescribed scalars such as the mortgages scalars of 1.4, 1.7 and 2.5 and the mandatory use of the supervisory slotting approach for project finance.

Controls surrounding the ratings system

ANZ's rating system and credit risk estimates are governed by the Board Risk Committee and several executive management committees and are underpinned by a comprehensive framework of controls that operate throughout ANZ. All policies, methodologies, model designs, model reviews, validations, responsibilities, systems and processes supporting the ratings systems are documented, and subject to review by Internal Audit.

The design, build and implementation of credit rating models resides with a specialist Group-level team. Credit rating models are owned by central Risk teams. The use (including overrides) and performance of credit rating models is monitored by the relevant business and their counterparts in Risk and validated regularly by a separate specialist Group-level function. This cycle of design, build, implementation, monitoring and validation is overseen by the CRSOC, and informs the need for new models or recalibration of existing models.

Internal Audit provides third line independent credit-related assurance activities, including providing an independent assessment of both the asset quality in the portfolio and the quality of credit decision making. It also assesses management controls from a "top down" portfolio oversight perspective as well as credit risk processes from a "bottom up" perspective based on individual customer file reviews.

Risk grades are an integral part of reporting to the Board and executives.

In addition, the use of the rating system's outputs in key business unit performance measures in processes such as provisioning and the allocation of capital ensure that the rating system receives robust input from the business units, not just the specialist modelling teams.

Rating process by asset class

Building reliable and accurate rating tools requires balancing of many factors including data availability (external data may be used in some circumstances, where it is relevant), the size of the segment (the more customers within the segment, the more likely that statistically reliable models can be built), and the need to be able to validate the model. Rating tool approaches include:

- Statistical models producing a PD or an LGD, which are developed from internal or external data on defaults.
- Statistical models producing an internal rating, which involve calibrating ANZ's models to external rating data where data on defaults is insufficient for statistical purposes (such as Banks).
- Hybrid statistical and expert models producing an internal rating, which use a mixture of default data and expert input.
- Expert models/processes that produce an internal rating, including external rating agency replication models.
- Ongoing data collection and testing processes ensure enhanced or new models are introduced as required to maintain and improve the accuracy and reliability of rating processes.

Regardless of what credit risk rating tool is used, lending staff rating a customer are required to review the model-generated PD (or CCR) and take into account any out-of-model factors or policy overlays to decide whether or not to override the model rating. Overrides of a rating model to a better rating require approval from the independent credit risk function. The significance of the model for risk grading varies with the customer segment: models will dominate risk grading of homogenous, simple and data-rich segments such as in Retail, however for complex, specialised business segments expert knowledge and the highly customised nature of transactions will influence the rating outcome.

The table on page 44 summarises the types of borrowers and the rating approach adopted within each of ANZ's IRB portfolios.

Estimation of LGD and EAD

ANZ's LGD modelling takes into account data on secured recovery, unsecured recovery rates and debt seniority, geography and internal management costs from several major data sources. Internal data is used as the basis for LGD estimation in the retail asset class and is supplemented by external data for the corporate asset class.

EAD represents the expected outstanding at the time of default, including an estimate of additional drawings prior to default (based on supervisory credit conversion factors), plus post-default drawings that were legally committed to prior to default.

Table 9(d): Non Retail Exposure at Default subject to Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach^{19 20 21}

| | Sep 23 | | | | | | | Total |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | AAA to AA- \$M | A+ to BBB+ \$M | BBB to BBB- \$M | BB+ to B+ \$M | B to B- \$M | CCC+ to C \$M | Default \$M | \$M |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 80,271 | 81,756 | 61,423 | 8,057 | 752 | 1,106 | 233,365 |
| Sovereign | 196,607 | 26,807 | 2,440 | 1,475 | 2,120 | 14 | - | 229,463 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 94,070 | 11,348 | 2,540 | 491 | 25 | 4 | 108,478 |
| New Zealand | 3,383 | 6,932 | 17,245 | 19,362 | 3,957 | 897 | 447 | 52,223 |
| Total | 199,990 | 208,080 | 112,789 | 84,800 | 14,625 | 1,688 | 1,557 | 623,529 |
| % of Total | 32.1% | 33.4% | 18.1% | 13.6% | 2.3% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 22,186 | 18,228 | 8,525 | 692 | 61 | 53 | 49,745 |
| Sovereign | 982 | 384 | 44 | 77 | 3 | - | - | 1,490 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 12,692 | 1,109 | 240 | 49 | 1 | - | 14,091 |
| New Zealand | 423 | 2,859 | 3,771 | 2,072 | 239 | 43 | 9 | 9,416 |
| Total | 1,405 | 38,121 | 23,152 | 10,914 | 983 | 105 | 62 | 74,742 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 18.293 | 3.962 | 1.233 | 0.678 | 0.201 | 0.960 | 2.547 |
| Sovereign | 243.627 | 252.899 | 16.377 | 16.031 | 18.120 | 0.729 | - | 177.878 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 5.921 | 5.313 | 1.910 | 0.703 | 0.105 | 0.215 | 5.342 |
| New Zealand | 7.942 | 2.732 | 1.221 | 0.862 | 0.844 | 0.397 | 1.271 | 1.115 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 45.6% | 35.5% | 24.1% | 22.4% | 32.0% | 34.6% | 35.5% |
| Sovereign | 6.8% | 25.4% | 50.0% | 49.9% | 49.9% | 50.0% | - | 10.1% |
| Financial Institutions | - | 49.2% | 48.9% | 45.2% | 39.8% | 39.0% | 35.9% | 49.0% |
| New Zealand | 65.0% | 43.2% | 35.5% | 27.2% | 25.1% | 33.8% | 34.0% | 34.5% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 26.5% | 42.9% | 53.8% | 72.3% | 210.4% | 64.1% | 41.8% |
| Sovereign | 1.2% | 6.7% | 46.5% | 99.8% | 159.5% | 277.8% | - | 4.5% |
| Financial Institutions | - | 22.9% | 56.6% | 88.5% | 114.3% | 256.4% | - | 28.5% |
| New Zealand | 30.7% | 45.2% | 68.5% | 74.9% | 112.5% | 216.3% | 75.8% | 71.3% |

¹⁹ In accordance with APS 330, EAD in Table 9(d) includes IRB (Advanced and Foundation) exposures and excludes Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting, Standardised, Securitisation and Equities.

²⁰ Average EAD is calculated as total EAD post risk mitigants divided by the total number of credit risk generating exposures.

²¹ Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) is calculated as CRWA divided by EAD.

Table 9(d): Non Retail Exposure at Default subject to Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach (continued)

| | Mar 23 | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | AAA < A+ \$M | A+ < BBB \$M | BBB < BB+ \$M | BB+ < B+ \$M | B+ < CCC \$M | CCC \$M | Default \$M | Total \$M |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 82,658 | 84,251 | 58,927 | 6,608 | 978 | 848 | 234,270 |
| Sovereign | 240,067 | 25,678 | 2,362 | 1,541 | 2,481 | 25 | - | 272,154 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 94,417 | 11,399 | 2,944 | 478 | 27 | 29 | 109,294 |
| New Zealand | 3,249 | 6,731 | 18,175 | 20,468 | 3,533 | 654 | 230 | 53,040 |
| Total | 243,316 | 209,484 | 116,187 | 83,880 | 13,100 | 1,684 | 1,107 | 668,758 |
| % of Total | 36.4% | 31.3% | 17.4% | 12.5% | 2.0% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 22,834 | 20,281 | 7,590 | 539 | 62 | 25 | 51,331 |
| Sovereign | 1,367 | 220 | 68 | 28 | 1 | 1 | - | 1,685 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 12,338 | 1,288 | 253 | 47 | 17 | - | 13,943 |
| New Zealand | 407 | 2,780 | 4,057 | 2,387 | 182 | 31 | 5 | 9,849 |
| Total | 1,774 | 38,172 | 25,694 | 10,258 | 769 | 111 | 30 | 76,808 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 19.961 | 4.118 | 1.170 | 0.602 | 0.232 | 0.862 | 2.569 |
| Sovereign | 288.196 | 302.100 | 15.633 | 16.398 | 206.714 | 1.144 | - | 227.363 |
| Financial Institutions | - | 8.145 | 6.165 | 2.237 | 0.732 | 0.111 | 0.941 | 6.967 |
| New Zealand | 6.988 | 2.504 | 1.169 | 0.869 | 0.766 | 0.570 | 0.866 | 1.098 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 46.2% | 36.3% | 24.8% | 24.2% | 31.3% | 37.8% | 36.5% |
| Sovereign | 6.4% | 25.2% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | 50.0% | - | 9.2% |
| Financial Institutions | - | 49.5% | 49.1% | 45.0% | 38.4% | 46.9% | 33.6% | 49.2% |
| New Zealand | 64.8% | 44.7% | 34.3% | 27.3% | 25.5% | 37.6% | 41.1% | 34.2% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | - | 27.9% | 43.7% | 52.1% | 71.1% | 204.2% | 84.3% | 43.1% |
| Sovereign | 1.1% | 6.6% | 48.4% | 108.7% | 164.2% | 254.1% | - | 4.1% |
| Financial Institutions | - | 24.8% | 60.4% | 89.2% | 116.0% | 307.6% | - | 30.0% |
| New Zealand | 32.0% | 30.1% | 47.1% | 67.8% | 113.0% | 241.2% | 79.5% | 58.9% |

| | Sep 22 | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|
| | AAA < A+ \$M | A+ < BBB \$M | BBB < BB+ \$M | BB+ < B+ \$M | B+ < CCC \$M | CCC \$M | Default \$M | Total \$M |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 40,661 | 122,256 | 97,488 | 57,079 | 7,082 | 1,258 | 1,414 | 327,238 |
| Sovereign | 236,858 | 21,519 | 3,997 | 1,635 | 2,745 | 91 | - | 266,845 |
| Bank | 12,738 | 22,289 | 4,127 | 1,287 | 34 | 4 | - | 40,479 |
| Total | 290,257 | 166,064 | 105,612 | 60,001 | 9,861 | 1,353 | 1,414 | 634,562 |
| % of Total | 45.7% | 26.2% | 16.6% | 9.5% | 1.6% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 10,602 | 37,838 | 25,836 | 8,466 | 789 | 124 | 61 | 83,716 |
| Sovereign | 1,062 | 960 | 467 | 37 | 14 | 8 | - | 2,548 |
| Bank | 52 | 635 | 13 | - | - | - | - | 700 |
| Total | 11,716 | 39,433 | 26,316 | 8,503 | 803 | 132 | 61 | 86,964 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 19.446 | 13.276 | 2.885 | 0.852 | 0.479 | 0.249 | 0.979 | 2.449 |
| Sovereign | 171.387 | 182.365 | 22.329 | 19.013 | 68.633 | 5.374 | - | 146.217 |
| Bank | 4.718 | 4.398 | 6.732 | 9.974 | 1.378 | 0.186 | - | 4.730 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 58.9% | 58.1% | 45.1% | 32.0% | 28.5% | 38.5% | 43.8% | 49.0% |
| Sovereign | 5.8% | 16.0% | 37.4% | 41.4% | 56.3% | 45.1% | - | 7.9% |
| Bank | 58.9% | 59.5% | 67.0% | 67.7% | 71.1% | 64.0% | - | 60.4% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Corporate | 18.1% | 33.7% | 53.3% | 62.0% | 82.3% | 205.0% | 125.6% | 44.6% |
| Sovereign | 1.0% | 4.6% | 34.2% | 82.2% | 174.1% | 230.3% | - | 4.1% |
| Bank | 15.5% | 24.8% | 71.5% | 119.2% | 178.3% | 390.0% | - | 29.8% |

Table 9(d): Retail Exposure at Default subject to Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach by risk grade

| | Sep 23 | | | | | | Default \$M | Total \$M |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 0.00% <0.11% \$M | 0.11% <0.30% \$M | 0.30% <0.51% \$M | 0.51% <3.49% \$M | 3.49% <10.09% \$M | 10.09% <100.00% \$M | | |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 83,971 | 60,151 | 36,181 | 143,396 | 9,820 | 1,575 | 2,384 | 337,478 |
| Retail SME | 40 | 344 | 610 | 9,237 | 4,831 | 1,053 | 395 | 16,510 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,499 | 4,106 | 1,436 | 2,852 | 654 | 236 | 34 | 12,817 |
| Other Retail | 72 | 32 | 35 | 1,159 | 129 | 84 | 46 | 1,557 |
| New Zealand | 3,528 | 39,421 | 31,786 | 38,183 | 780 | 136 | 694 | 114,528 |
| Total | 91,110 | 104,054 | 70,048 | 194,827 | 16,214 | 3,084 | 3,553 | 482,890 |
| % of Total | 18.9% | 21.5% | 14.5% | 40.3% | 3.4% | 0.6% | 0.7% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 20,168 | 4,140 | 1,531 | 8,831 | 43 | 10 | 6 | 34,729 |
| Retail SME | 33 | 219 | 285 | 1,551 | 370 | 63 | 13 | 2,534 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 2,482 | 3,014 | 946 | 1,151 | 126 | 34 | 2 | 7,755 |
| Other Retail | 67 | 29 | 31 | 148 | 22 | 4 | - | 301 |
| New Zealand | 2,959 | 5,897 | 1,310 | 3,368 | 193 | 7 | 4 | 13,738 |
| Total | 25,709 | 13,299 | 4,103 | 15,049 | 754 | 118 | 25 | 59,057 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 0.290 | 0.363 | 0.380 | 0.421 | 0.373 | 0.351 | 0.367 | 0.364 |
| Retail SME | 0.081 | 0.068 | 0.054 | 0.065 | 0.109 | 0.030 | 0.070 | 0.068 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Other Retail | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| New Zealand | 0.039 | 0.071 | 0.140 | 0.105 | 0.006 | 0.002 | 0.063 | 0.078 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 12.3% | 13.5% | 13.7% | 15.1% | 14.6% | 14.2% | 26.3% | 14.0% |
| Retail SME | 13.3% | 19.5% | 26.8% | 28.3% | 31.9% | 50.3% | 37.1% | 30.7% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 72.5% | 75.8% | 75.4% | 78.9% | 82.2% | 80.8% | 75.7% | 76.0% |
| Other Retail | 73.8% | 79.7% | 76.1% | 76.0% | 76.9% | 78.3% | 75.7% | 76.2% |
| New Zealand | 19.7% | 16.8% | 19.9% | 25.8% | 79.8% | 82.6% | 20.3% | 21.3% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 6.3% | 10.1% | 18.4% | 41.9% | 91.9% | 156.2% | 284.0% | 28.5% |
| Retail SME | 3.4% | 9.5% | 20.5% | 43.7% | 65.7% | 130.2% | 237.1% | 58.7% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 4.2% | 7.9% | 16.1% | 45.2% | 108.2% | 211.2% | 135.6% | 25.3% |
| Other Retail | 16.4% | 36.5% | 53.6% | 101.9% | 129.6% | 204.4% | 179.2% | 105.6% |
| New Zealand | 33.7% | 32.4% | 31.2% | 33.4% | 126.2% | 175.6% | 10.5% | 33.1% |

Table 9(d): Retail Exposure at Default subject to Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach by risk grade (continued)

| | Mar 23 | | | | | | Default | Total |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 0.00% <0.11% | 0.11% <0.30% | 0.30% <0.51% | 0.51% <3.49% | 3.49% <10.09% | 10.09% <100.00% | \$M | \$M |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | | |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 84,494 | 59,576 | 35,867 | 132,676 | 8,463 | 1,475 | 2,119 | 324,670 |
| Retail SME | 43 | 373 | 655 | 9,468 | 4,887 | 1,157 | 414 | 16,997 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,566 | 4,202 | 1,468 | 2,901 | 682 | 245 | 33 | 13,097 |
| Other Retail | 75 | 33 | 35 | 1,189 | 141 | 90 | 55 | 1,618 |
| New Zealand | 3,484 | 39,772 | 30,947 | 36,870 | 656 | 128 | 549 | 112,406 |
| Total | 91,662 | 103,956 | 68,972 | 183,104 | 14,829 | 3,095 | 3,170 | 468,788 |
| % of Total | 19.6% | 22.2% | 14.7% | 39.1% | 3.2% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 19,861 | 3,048 | 889 | 6,860 | 33 | 8 | 2 | 30,701 |
| Retail SME | 37 | 234 | 320 | 1,736 | 446 | 81 | 15 | 2,869 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 2,541 | 3,100 | 972 | 1,182 | 132 | 34 | 2 | 7,963 |
| Other Retail | 69 | 29 | 31 | 148 | 22 | 4 | - | 303 |
| New Zealand | 2,911 | 5,920 | 1,297 | 3,242 | 190 | 6 | 4 | 13,570 |
| Total | 25,419 | 12,331 | 3,509 | 13,168 | 823 | 133 | 23 | 55,406 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 0.282 | 0.347 | 0.362 | 0.405 | 0.353 | 0.337 | 0.356 | 0.348 |
| Retail SME | 0.081 | 0.070 | 0.055 | 0.064 | 0.106 | 0.032 | 0.067 | 0.067 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Other Retail | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.003 |
| New Zealand | 0.039 | 0.071 | 0.137 | 0.098 | 0.005 | 0.002 | 0.052 | 0.077 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 11.9% | 13.0% | 13.2% | 14.6% | 13.2% | 13.9% | 25.8% | 13.5% |
| Retail SME | 11.0% | 16.5% | 24.4% | 25.6% | 48.1% | 31.2% | 37.0% | 28.7% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 72.3% | 75.8% | 75.3% | 78.7% | 80.8% | 82.2% | 75.7% | 75.9% |
| Other Retail | 73.7% | 79.6% | 76.1% | 76.0% | 78.2% | 76.1% | 74.3% | 76.0% |
| New Zealand | 10.8% | 12.3% | 18.4% | 23.0% | 31.3% | 25.8% | 15.4% | 17.5% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 6.4% | 9.9% | 17.9% | 40.6% | 87.8% | 151.1% | 258.0% | 26.7% |
| Retail SME | 2.8% | 7.9% | 18.6% | 38.9% | 65.1% | 130.0% | 213.1% | 59.2% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 4.2% | 8.0% | 16.0% | 44.9% | 108.3% | 212.1% | 136.9% | 25.4% |
| Other Retail | 16.3% | 36.6% | 53.5% | 101.5% | 127.8% | 204.8% | 170.7% | 105.6% |
| New Zealand | 33.7% | 32.4% | 31.2% | 33.9% | 121.3% | 178.3% | 9.1% | 33.2% |

Table 9(d): Retail Exposure at Default subject to Internal Ratings Based (IRB) approach by risk grade (continued)

| | Sep 22 | | | | | | | Total |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|----------------|
| | 0.00% <0.11% | 0.11% <0.30% | 0.30% <0.51% | 0.51% <3.49% | 3.49% <10.09% | 10.09% <100.00% | Default | Total |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 88,300 | 93,052 | 65,417 | 156,131 | 7,338 | 1,568 | 2,319 | 414,125 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3,615 | 4,245 | 1,480 | 2,965 | 714 | 260 | 30 | 13,309 |
| Other Retail | 762 | 3,654 | 1,639 | 13,269 | 5,715 | 1,428 | 621 | 27,088 |
| Total | 92,677 | 100,951 | 68,536 | 172,365 | 13,767 | 3,256 | 2,970 | 454,522 |
| % of Total | 20.4% | 22.2% | 15.1% | 37.9% | 3.0% | 0.7% | 0.7% | 100.0% |
| Undrawn commitments (included in above) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 22,694 | 5,865 | 1,486 | 9,840 | 27 | 11 | 4 | 39,927 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 2,574 | 3,145 | 999 | 1,280 | 149 | 39 | 2 | 8,188 |
| Other Retail | 687 | 2,837 | 844 | 2,674 | 634 | 100 | 19 | 7,795 |
| Total | 25,955 | 11,847 | 3,329 | 13,794 | 810 | 150 | 25 | 55,910 |
| Average Exposure at Default | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 0.264 | 0.222 | 0.301 | 0.356 | 0.338 | 0.334 | 0.298 | 0.287 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.007 | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| Other Retail | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.019 | 0.024 | 0.010 | 0.026 | 0.016 |
| Exposure-weighted average Loss Given Default (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 19.7% | 17.2% | 19.4% | 20.6% | 20.1% | 20.0% | 19.0% | 19.4% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 72.1% | 75.8% | 75.2% | 78.5% | 82.1% | 80.8% | 75.7% | 75.7% |
| Other Retail | 57.4% | 61.7% | 45.3% | 39.0% | 39.4% | 53.1% | 43.3% | 43.9% |
| Exposure-weighted average risk weight (%) | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Mortgage | 4.5% | 11.7% | 22.9% | 45.2% | 97.7% | 145.1% | 165.3% | 27.4% |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 3.7% | 7.6% | 15.4% | 42.9% | 104.0% | 204.7% | 130.4% | 24.6% |
| Other Retail | 34.4% | 43.4% | 35.7% | 52.0% | 75.5% | 138.2% | 226.4% | 62.9% |

Table 9(e): Actual Losses by portfolio type

| Basel Asset Class | Half year Sep 23 | |
|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Individual provision charge \$M | Net Write-offs \$M |
| Corporate | 24 | 10 |
| Sovereign | - | - |
| Financial Institutions | (1) | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 10 | 12 |
| Retail SME | 25 | 48 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 26 | 43 |
| Other Retail | 11 | 34 |
| Total IRB | 95 | 147 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | - | - |
| Standardised approach | (7) | 12 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 35 | 65 |
| Total | 123 | 224 |

| Basel Asset Class | Half year Mar 23 | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Individual provision charge \$M | Write-offs \$M |
| Corporate | (83) | 31 |
| Sovereign | - | - |
| Financial Institutions | (1) | - |
| Residential Mortgage | - | 12 |
| Retail SME | 8 | 43 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 23 | 42 |
| Other Retail | 7 | 23 |
| Total IRB | (46) | 151 |
| Specialised Lending subject to supervisory slotting | - | - |
| Standardised approach | (5) | 20 |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 21 | 14 |
| Total | (30) | 185 |

| Basel Asset Class | Half year Sep 22 | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | Individual provision charge \$M | Write-offs \$M |
| Corporate | (12) | 36 |
| Sovereign | - | - |
| Bank | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | (12) | 14 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 12 | 45 |
| Other Retail | (2) | 106 |
| Total Advanced IRB | (14) | 201 |
| Specialised Lending | (1) | - |
| Standardised approach | 7 | 5 |
| Total | (8) | 206 |

Factors impacting the loss experience

The individually assessed credit impairment charge increased \$153 million driven by the Corporate Asset class due to impairment of several single name exposures and higher write-backs in the March 2023 half, combined with lower write-backs in the SME Banking portfolio, and the Home Loans portfolio driving the increases in the Retail Asset classes.

Write offs have increased \$39 million due to a single named exposure in New Zealand.

Table 9(f): Average estimated vs. actual PD, EAD and LGD – IRB

| Portfolio Type | Sep 23 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| | Average Estimated PD % | Average Actual PD % | Average estimated to actual EAD ratio | Average Estimated LGD % | Average Actual LGD % |
| Corporate | 1.87 | 1.63 | 1.17 | 38.81 | 32.37 |
| Sovereign | 0.76 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Financial Institutions | 0.54 | 0.32 | 1.26 | 37.43 | 23.50 |
| Specialised Lending | n/a | 0.58 | 1.09 | n/a | 31.00 |
| Residential Mortgage | 0.93 | 0.77 | 1.01 | 18.69 | 1.40 |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 1.62 | 1.19 | 1.15 | 80.48 | 63.37 |
| Retail SME | 4.76 | 3.80 | 1.04 | 39.96 | 22.39 |
| Other Retail | 3.88 | 2.99 | 1.06 | 66.23 | 57.51 |
| New Zealand Wholesale | 1.44 | 0.57 | 1.11 | 42.10 | 20.95 |
| New Zealand Retail | 2.19 | 1.04 | 1.03 | 31.92 | 9.51 |

APS 330 Table 9(f) compares internal credit risk estimates used in calculating regulatory capital with realised outcomes by portfolio types. It covers the PD, EAD and LGD estimates for the IRB portfolios.

Estimated PD and LGD for Specialised Lending exposures have not been provided, since APRA requires the use of supervisory slotting for Regulatory EL calculations. Actual PD, EAD ratio, Estimated LGD and Actual LGD for Sovereign exposures have not been provided, since there were no Sovereign defaults observed in ANZ Sovereign exposures for the observation period.

Wholesale Portfolios

The Prudential Standard changes from 1 January 2023 have introduced a number of new asset classes. Changes have been made in the historical time series used to determine wholesale portfolio averages.

- New Zealand wholesale obligors previously included in the Corporate, Sovereign, Bank and Specialised Lending portfolios are now reported under 'Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries' asset class and hence moved into the New Zealand Wholesale portfolio;
- Income Producing Real Estate obligors formerly included in Specialised Lending have moved into the Corporate portfolio; and
- Non-bank Financial Institutions were moved into the new Financial Institutions portfolio, along with existing Bank obligors from prior periods.

The estimated PD is based on the average of the internally estimated long-run PDs for obligors that are not in default at the beginning of each financial year over the period of observation being 2009 to 2022. The actual PD is based on the number of defaulted obligors up to August 2023 compared to the total number of obligors measured.

The EAD ratio compares internally estimated EAD prior to default to realised EAD for defaulted obligors over the 14 years of observation being 2009 to August 2023. A ratio greater than 1.0 signifies that on average, the actual defaulted exposures are lower than the estimated exposures at the time of default.

The estimated LGD is the downturn LGD for accounts that defaulted at the beginning of each year during the observation period being 2009 to September 2021. The actual LGD is based on the average realised losses captured over the period for the accounts observed at the beginning and defaulted during the observation period. For non-retail portfolios, the estimated and actual LGDs are based on accounts that defaulted up to September 2021. Defaults occurring after September 2021 have been excluded from the analysis to allow sufficient time for workout period. Actual LGD for defaults where workouts were not finalised have been estimated to approximate the final actual loss. Defaults where no loss data has been captured are excluded from the LGD calculation.

A review of historical LGD data is currently being undertaken and may result in changes to Average Actual LGD numbers detailed above.

Retail Portfolios

The estimated PD is based on the average of the internally estimated long-run PDs for obligors that are not in default at September of each year over the period of observation being 2018 to 2022. The actual PD is based on the number of defaulted obligors up to September 2023 compared to the total number of obligors measured.

The EAD ratio compares internally estimated EAD prior to default to realised EAD for defaulted obligors over the period of observation being 2018 to 2023. A ratio greater than 1.0 signifies that on average, the actual defaulted exposures are lower than the estimated exposures at the time of default.

The estimated LGD is the downturn LGD for accounts that defaulted at September of each year during the observation period being 2017 to 2021. The actual LGD is based on the average realised losses over the period for the accounts observed at the beginning and defaulted during the observation period. Defaults occurring after September 2022 have been excluded from the analysis to allow sufficient time for workout period.

Table 10 Credit risk mitigation disclosures

Main types of collateral taken by ANZ

Collateral is used to mitigate credit risk, as the secondary source of repayment in case the counterparty cannot meet its contractual repayment obligations.²² Types of collateral typically taken by ANZ include:

- Charges over residential, commercial, industrial, or rural property;
- Charges over business assets;
- Charges over specific plant and equipment;
- Charges over listed shares, bonds, or securities;
- Charges over cash deposits;
- Guarantees and pledges; and
- Cash and securities under Credit Support Annex (CSA) and Global Master Repurchase Agreement (GMRA) for Counterparty credit risk in derivative and repo transactions.

In some cases, such as where the customer risk profile is considered very sound or by the nature of the product, a transaction may not be supported by collateral.

Our credit policy, requirements and processes set out the acceptable types of collateral, as well as a process by which additional instruments and/or asset types can be considered for approval.

For derivative transactions, APRA's CPS 226 "Margining and risk mitigation for non-centrally cleared derivatives" has mandated Variation Margin and Initial Margin arrangements between covered entities, subject to trading volume thresholds. The operation of collateral agreements falls under a policy which establishes the control framework designed to ensure a robust and globally consistent approach to the management of collateralised exposures, as well as compliance with CPS 226 obligations.

For non-derivative and repo transactions, ANZ's credit risk modelling teams use historical internal loss data and other relevant external data to assist in determining the discount that each type of collateral would be expected to incur in a forced sale. The discounted value is used in the determination of the SI and LGD. For derivative and repo transactions, ANZ haircuts the value of cash and securities collateral under CSA or GMRA to calculate the regulatory EAD, as per APRA's APS 112 and APS 180.

Policies and processes for collateral valuation and management

ANZ has well established policies, requirements and processes around collateral valuation and management that are reviewed regularly. The concepts of legal enforceability, certainty and current valuation are central to collateral management.

In order to achieve legal enforceability and certainty, ANZ uses standard collateral instruments or has specific documentation drawn up by external legal advisers, and where applicable, security interests are registered. The use of collateral management systems also provides certainty that the collateral has been properly taken, registered and stored.

In order to rely on the valuation of collateral assets, ANZ has developed comprehensive rules around acceptable types of valuations (including who may value an asset), frequency of revaluations and standard extension ratios for typical asset types. Upon receipt of a new valuation, the information is used to recalculate the SI (or to reassess the adequacy of the provision, in the case of an impaired asset), thereby ensuring that the exposure has an updated LGD attached to it for risk quantification purposes.

Guarantee support

Within wholesale lending, guarantee support for lending proposals is a common component in transaction structuring for ANZ. The guarantee of a financially stronger party can help improve the PD of a transaction through its explicit support of the weaker rated borrower.

Guarantees that are recognised for risk rating purposes may be provided by parties that include associated entities, banks, sovereigns or individuals. Credit requirements provide threshold parameters to determine acceptable counterparties in achieving risk grade enhancement of the transaction.

The suitability of the guarantor is determined by risk rating that guarantor. Not all guarantees or guarantors are recognised for risk grade enhancement purposes.

²² For some products, the collateral provided is fundamental to its structuring so is not strictly the secondary source of repayment. For example, lending secured by trade receivables is typically repaid by the collection of those receivables.

Use of credit derivatives for risk mitigation

ANZ may use purchased credit derivatives to mitigate credit risk by lowering exposures to reference entities that generate high concentration risk exposures or to improve risk return performance. Only certain credit derivatives such as credit default swaps (CDS) are recognised for risk mitigation purposes in the determination of regulatory capital. Standard, legally enforceable documentation applies.

For regulatory capital purposes, ANZ only recognises protection using credit derivatives where they meet several policy and regulatory requirements around the strength of the protection offered such as being irrevocable.

A CDS may only be transacted with banks and non-bank financial institutions that have been credit assessed and approved by a designated specialist credit officer. All parties must meet minimum credit standards and be allocated a related credit limit. In the event that the creditworthiness of a credit protection provider falls below the minimum required to provide effective protection, the protection is no longer recognised as an effective risk mitigant for regulatory purposes.

Additionally, ANZ uses market instruments, mainly interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives, as well as CDS Indices to hedge the Credit value adjustment (CVA) mark to market volatility of the markets derivative portfolio.

The use of netting

Netting is a form of credit risk mitigation in that it reduces EAD, by offsetting a customer's positive and negative balances with ANZ.

In order to apply on-balance sheet netting, the arrangement must be specifically documented with the customer and meet a number of legally enforceable requirements.

Netting is also used where the credit exposure arises from off-balance sheet market related transactions. For close-out netting to be utilised with counterparties, a legally enforceable eligible netting agreement in an acceptable jurisdiction must be in place. This means that each transaction is aggregated into a single net amount and transactions are netted to arrive at a single overall sum.

Transaction structuring to mitigate credit risk

Besides collateral, guarantee support and derivatives described above, credit risk mitigation can also be furthered by prudent transaction structuring. For example, the risk in project finance lending can be mitigated by lending covenants, loan syndication and political risk insurance.

Concentrations of credit risk mitigation

Taking collateral raises the possibility that ANZ may inadvertently increase its risk by becoming exposed to collateral concentrations. For example, in the same way that an over-exposure to a particular industry may mean that a bank is more sensitive to the performance of that industry, an over-exposure to a particular collateral asset type may make ANZ more sensitive to the performance of that asset type.

ANZ does not believe that it has any material concentrations of collateral types, given the well diversified nature of its portfolio and diverse range of pledged collateral, and well embedded collateral review processes.

Additional credit risk mitigation for markets derivatives

Right to break clauses are used in master agreement or in trade confirmation to reduce the term of long dated derivative trades. Additional termination triggers (close out of exposure) such as credit rating downgrade clauses and change in ownership clauses included in documentation are used to reduce credit exposure under specific credit events. ANZ uses central clearing houses to clear certain derivative transactions and reduce bilateral exposure. Settlement through Continuous Linked Settlement (CLS) is used to eliminate settlement risk for foreign exchange transactions with CLS members. In addition to the exchange of Variation margin and Initial Margin, APRA's CPS 226 also requires the following risk mitigation practices to be established for un-cleared derivatives between covered counterparties: trading relationship documentation; trade confirmation; portfolio reconciliation; portfolio compression; valuation processes; and dispute resolution processes.

Table 10(b): Credit risk mitigation on Standardised approach portfolios – collateral ^{23 24}

| Sep 23 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Exposure \$M | Eligible Financial Collateral \$M | Other Eligible Collateral \$M | % Coverage |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 6,428 | 62 | - | 1.0% |
| Sovereign | 165 | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,269 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 32 | - | 23 | 41.9% |
| Other assets | 5,920 | 903 | - | 13.2% |
| Total | 14,814 | 965 | 23 | 6.3% |
| Foundation IRB approach | | | | |
| | Exposure \$M | Eligible Financial Collateral \$M | Other Eligible Collateral \$M | % Coverage |
| Corporate | 93,349 | - | 540 | 0.6% |
| Sovereign | 229,463 | - | 5,585 | 2.4% |
| Financial Institution | 108,478 | - | 26 | - |
| Total | 431,290 | - | 6,150 | 1.4% |
| Mar 23 | | | | |
| | Exposure \$M | Eligible Financial Collateral \$M | Other Eligible Collateral \$M | % Coverage |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 5,526 | 205 | 796 | 15.3% |
| Sovereign | 88 | 270 | 203 | 84.3% |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,011 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 24 | - | 14 | 36.8% |
| Other assets | 7,879 | 1,225 | - | 13.5% |
| Total | 15,528 | 1,700 | 1,013 | 14.9% |
| Foundation IRB approach | | | | |
| | Exposure \$M | Eligible Financial Collateral \$M | Other Eligible Collateral \$M | % Coverage |
| Corporate | 101,134 | - | 970 | 1.0% |
| Sovereign | 272,154 | - | 5,498 | 2.0% |
| Financial Institution | 109,294 | - | 2 | - |
| Total | 482,582 | - | 6,470 | 1.3% |
| Sep 22 | | | | |
| | Exposure \$M | Eligible Financial Collateral \$M | Other Eligible Collateral \$M | % Coverage |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 5,976 | 713 | - | 10.5% |
| Sovereign | 146 | 1,635 | - | 91.8% |
| Residential Mortgage | 435 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 10 | - | - | - |
| Total | 6,567 | 2,348 | - | 26.1% |

²³ Eligible Collateral could include cash collateral (cash, certificates deposits and bank bills issued by the lending ADI), gold bullion and highly rated debt securities.

²⁴ Exposure at Default represents credit exposure net of offsets for credit risk mitigation such as guarantees, credit derivatives, netting and financial collateral.

Table 10(c): Credit risk mitigation – guarantees and credit derivatives²⁵

| | Sep 23 | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|--|-------------|
| | Exposure at default \$M | Exposures covered by Guarantees \$M | Exposures covered by Credit Derivatives \$M | % Coverage |
| Advanced IRB | | | | |
| Corporate (incl. Specialised Lending) | 144,035 | 4,144 | - | 2.9% |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 337,478 | - | - | - |
| Retail SME | 16,510 | - | - | - |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 12,817 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 1,557 | - | - | - |
| Total | 512,397 | 4,144 | - | 0.8% |
| Foundation IRB | | | | |
| Corporate | 93,349 | 2,785 | - | 3.0% |
| Sovereign | 229,463 | 4,907 | - | 2.1% |
| Financial Institutions | 108,478 | 424 | 1,310 | 1.6% |
| Total | 431,290 | 8,116 | 1,310 | 2.2% |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 6,428 | 234 | - | 3.6% |
| Sovereign | 165 | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,269 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 32 | - | - | - |
| Other Assets | 5,920 | - | - | - |
| Total | 14,814 | 234 | - | 1.6% |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 197,204 | 265 | - | 0.1% |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 7,035 | - | - | - |

²⁵ Exposure at Default represents credit exposure net of offsets for credit risk mitigation such as guarantees, credit derivatives, netting and financial collateral.

Table 10(c): Credit risk mitigation – guarantees and credit derivatives²⁶ (continued)

| | Mar 23 | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| | Exposure at default \$M | Exposures covered by Guarantees \$M | Exposures covered by Credit Derivatives \$M | % Coverage |
| Advanced IRB | | | | |
| Corporate (incl. Specialised Lending) | 137,454 | 9,265 | - | 6.7% |
| Sovereign | - | - | - | - |
| Bank | - | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 324,670 | - | - | - |
| Retail SME | 16,997 | - | - | - |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 13,097 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 1,618 | - | - | - |
| Total | 493,836 | 9,265 | - | 1.9% |
| Foundation IRB | | | | |
| Corporate | 101,134 | 8,114 | - | 8.0% |
| Sovereign | 272,154 | 5,232 | - | 1.9% |
| Financial Institutions | 109,294 | 194 | 1,305 | 1.4% |
| Total | 482,582 | 13,540 | 1,305 | 3.1% |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 5,526 | 471 | - | 8.5% |
| Sovereign | 88 | 9 | - | 10.6% |
| Residential Mortgage | 2,011 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 24 | - | - | - |
| Other Assets | 7,879 | - | - | - |
| Total | 15,528 | 480 | - | 3.1% |
| Exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries | 195,293 | 330 | - | 0.2% |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 6,212 | - | - | - |

²⁶ Exposure at Default represents credit exposure net of offsets for credit risk mitigation such as guarantees, credit derivatives, netting and financial collateral.

Table 10(c): Credit risk mitigation – guarantees and credit derivatives (continued)

| | Sep 22 | | | % Coverage |
|--|----------------------------|--|--|-------------|
| | Exposure at Default \$M | Exposures covered by Guarantees \$M | Exposures covered by Credit Derivatives \$M | |
| Advanced IRB | | | | |
| Corporate (incl. Specialised Lending) | 375,980 | 1,342 | 868 | 0.6% |
| Sovereign | 266,845 | 4,972 | - | 1.9% |
| Bank | 40,479 | - | 38 | 0.1% |
| Residential Mortgage | 414,125 | - | - | - |
| Qualifying Revolving Retail | 13,309 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 27,088 | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,137,826 | 6,314 | 906 | 0.6% |
| Standardised approach | | | | |
| Corporate | 5,976 | 9 | - | 0.2% |
| Sovereign | 146 | - | - | - |
| Residential Mortgage | 435 | - | - | - |
| Other Retail | 10 | - | - | - |
| Total | 6,567 | 9 | - | 0.1% |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 7,916 | - | - | - |

Table 11 General disclosures for derivatives and counterparty credit risk

Definition of Counterparty Credit Risk

Counterparty credit risk in derivative transactions arises from the risk of counterparty default before settlement date of derivative contracts and the counterparty is unable to fulfil present and future contractual payment obligations. The amount at risk may change over time as a function of the underlying market parameters up to the positive value of the contract in favor of ANZ.

Counterparty credit risk is present in market instruments (derivatives and forward contracts), and comprises:

- Settlement risk, which arises where one party makes payment or delivers value in the expectation but without certainty that the counterparty will perform the corresponding obligation in a bilateral contract at settlement date.
- Market replacement risk (pre-settlement risk), which is the risk that a counterparty will default during the life of a derivative contract and that a loss will be incurred in covering the position.

ANZ transacts market instruments with the following counterparties:

- End users – would typically use Over the Counter (OTC) derivative instruments provided by ANZ to manage price movement risk associated with their core business activity.
- Professional counterparties – ANZ may hedge price movement risks by entering into transactions with professional counterparties that conduct two-way (buy and sell) business.

Counterparty credit risk requires a different method to calculate exposure at default because actual and potential market movements impact ANZ's exposure or replacement cost over the life of derivative contracts. The markets covered by this treatment include the derivative activities associated with interest rate, foreign exchange, CDS, equity, commodity and repurchase agreement (repo) products.

Counterparty credit risk governance

ANZ's counterparty credit risk management is governed by its credit principles, policies and procedures. The Markets Risk function is responsible for determining the counterparty credit risk exposure methodology applied to market instruments, in the framework for counterparty credit limit management, measurement and reporting.

The counterparty credit risk associated with derivative transactions is governed by credit limit setting consistent with all credit exposures to the ANZ Group. Counterparty credit limits are approved by the appropriate credit delegation holders.

Counterparty credit risk measurement and reporting

The approach to measure counterparty credit risk exposure is based on internal models. These measures are referred to as potential credit risk exposure (PCRE) and potential future exposure (PFE) and measure the worse case credit exposure of derivative transactions at future time points to ANZ. PFE is measured at the 97.5th percentile at future pre-described time points, and PCRE is a 97.5th percentile averaged over time points.

PCRE and PFE factors recognise that prices may change over the remaining period to maturity, and that risk decreases as the contract's remaining term to maturity decreases. In general terms PCRE is calculated by applying a risk weighting or volatility factor to the face value of the notional principal of individual trades. PFE simulates relevant risk factors in a portfolio by taking into account the relevant volatilities and correlations calibrated to historical market data. To measure counterparty credit risk exposure, PCRE and PFE take into account legal document in force, as well as credit risk mitigation tools like margin or Right-to-break clauses.

Exposure at default for regulatory capital

For regulatory capital the Exposure at Default captures the expected positive mark-to-market of a portfolio in the event of a counterparty default across a one-year time horizon at a 99% confidence level, taking into account any legal documents in force. It is calculated following Standardised Approach for Counterparty Credit Risk (SA-CCR) under APRA's APS180: Capital Adequacy: Counterparty Credit Risk.

Credit valuation adjustment (CVA)

ANZ uses a model to adjust the fair value of the CVA held which takes into account the impact of counterparty credit quality. The methodology calculates the present value of the expected losses over the life of a derivative as a function of Probability of Default (PD), Loss Given Default (LGD), and expected exposure profile.

As the CVA changes over the life of a derivative due to changes in credit spreads, ANZ uses CDS Indices to hedge the mark to market impacts. Other market risks are also hedged with interest rate and foreign exchange derivatives.

APRA requires banks, including ANZ, to hold additional risk-based capital to cover the risk of CVA mark-to-market losses associated with deterioration in counterparty credit worthiness when entering into derivative transactions.

Wrong way risk

ANZ's management of counterparty credit risk also considers the possibility of wrong way risk, which emerges when PD is adversely correlated with counterparty credit risk exposures.

Counterparty credit risk mitigation and credit enhancements

ANZ's primary tools to mitigate counterparty credit risk include:

- A bilateral netting master agreement (e.g., by International Swaps and Derivatives Association – (ISDA)) allowing close-out netting of exposures in a portfolio with offsetting contracts, with a single net payment with the same legal counterparty.
- Use of collateral agreements in some transactions based on standard market documentation (i.e., ISDA master agreement with credit support annex or CSA for derivatives and Global Master Repurchase Agreement or GMRA for repo) that governs the amount of collateral required to be posted or received by ANZ throughout the life of the contract. Reasons for requiring collateral include:
 - Variation Margin – reflects the current mark-to-market exposure.
 - Initial Margin – in addition to the variation margin, covers the future potential exposure that could arise from future changes in market value over a defined period of risk.
 - Since March 2017, APRA's CPS 226 "Margining and risk mitigation for non-centrally cleared derivatives" has mandated Variation Margin and Initial Margin arrangements between covered entities, subject to trading volume thresholds. The operation of collateral agreements falls under a policy which establishes the control framework designed to ensure a robust and globally consistent approach to the management of collateralised exposures, as well as compliance with CPS 226 obligations.
 - APRA's CPS 226 also requires ADIs to apply risk mitigation practices for un-cleared derivatives between covered counterparties in the areas of trading relationship documentation, trade confirmation, portfolio reconciliation, portfolio compression, valuation processes and dispute resolution processes.
- Use of right-to-break clauses in master agreements or in trade confirmation to reduce the term of long dated derivative trades.
- Independent limit setting, credit exposure control, monitoring and reporting of excesses against approved credit limits.
- Additional termination triggers (close out of exposure) such as credit rating downgrade clauses and change in ownership clauses included in documentation to reduce credit exposure under specific credit events.
- Linking covenants and events of default in existing loan facility agreements to master agreements.
- Use of credit derivatives to hedge CVA mark-to-market volatility.
- Settlement through Continuous Linked Settlement (CLS) to eliminate settlement risk for foreign exchange transactions with CLS members.
- Clearing certain derivative transactions through central counterparties clearing houses.
- A specific risk appetite for Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) exposures, approved by the Board.
- Design and implementation of limit framework and monitoring of CVA exposures, to ensure CVA exposure is within Risk appetite.

In the event of a downgrading of ANZ's rating by one notch from AA- to A+, as at 30 September 2023, ANZ would not be required to lodge additional collateral with its counterparties.

The terms of legal agreements with some of ANZ central clearing counterparties central clearer have been amended to give effect to "settled-to-market" legal settlement. As a result of this change, collateral paid and received by the Group under these agreements is no longer separately recognised, instead settling the Group's outstanding derivative exposures and reducing the associated carrying values of the derivative asset and liability balances.

Table 11(b): Counterparty credit risk – net derivative credit exposure

| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Gross positive fair value of contracts | 60,406 | 45,614 | 90,174 |
| Netting benefits | (38,070) | (29,634) | (57,277) |
| Netted current credit exposure | 22,336 | 15,980 | 32,897 |
| Collateral held | (13,049) | (6,309) | (16,342) |
| Net derivatives credit exposure | 9,287 | 9,671 | 16,555 |

Counterparty credit risk exposure - by portfolio type

| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Portfolio Type | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Corporate | 9,716 | 8,797 | 24,956 |
| Sovereign | 3,595 | 3,161 | 4,354 |
| Bank | 25,109 | 25,414 | 16,506 |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties | 8,110 | 7,229 | 7,916 |
| Specialised Lending | 47 | 94 | 143 |
| Total exposures | 46,577 | 44,695 | 53,875 |

Notional Value of Credit Derivative Hedges

| | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Product Type | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Credit Default Swaps | - | - | - |
| Interest Rate Swaps | - | - | - |
| Currency Swaps | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total exposures | - | - | - |

Table 11(c): Counterparty credit risk exposure – credit derivative transactions

| | Sep 23 | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | Protection Bought \$M | Protection Sold \$M | Total \$M |
| Credit derivative products used for own credit portfolio | | | |
| Credit default swaps | 10,862 | 8,503 | 19,365 |
| Total notional value | 10,862 | 8,503 | 19,365 |
| Credit derivative products used for intermediation | | | |
| Credit default swaps | - | - | - |
| Total return swaps | - | - | - |
| Total notional value | - | - | - |
| Total credit derivative notional value | 10,862 | 8,503 | 19,365 |
| | | | |
| | Mar 23 | | |
| | Protection Bought \$M | Protection Sold \$M | Total \$M |
| Credit derivative products used for own credit portfolio | | | |
| Credit default swaps | 12,664 | 9,789 | 22,453 |
| Total notional value | 12,664 | 9,789 | 22,453 |
| Credit derivative products used for intermediation | | | |
| Credit default swaps | - | - | - |
| Total return swaps | - | - | - |
| Total notional value | - | - | - |
| Total credit derivative notional value | 12,664 | 9,789 | 22,453 |
| | | | |
| | Sep 22 | | |
| | Protection Bought \$M | Protection Sold \$M | Total \$M |
| Credit derivative products used for own credit portfolio | | | |
| Credit default swaps | 13,599 | 10,823 | 24,422 |
| Total notional value | 13,599 | 10,823 | 24,422 |
| Credit derivative products used for intermediation | | | |
| Credit default swaps | - | - | - |
| Total return swaps | - | - | - |
| Total notional value | - | - | - |
| Total credit derivative notional value | 13,599 | 10,823 | 24,422 |

Chapter 6 – Securitisation

Table 12 Securitisation disclosures

Definition of securitisation

A securitisation is a financial structure where the cash flow from a pool of assets is used to service obligations to at least two different tranches or classes of creditors, typically holders of debt securities, with each class or tranche reflecting a different degree of credit risk. This stratification of credit risk means that one class of creditors is entitled to receive payments from the pool before another class.

Securitisations may be categorised as:

- Traditional securitisations, where legal ownership of the underlying asset pool is transferred to investors, with principal and interest paid from realisation of or regular cash flows from the assets. The Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) assets are insulated from bankruptcy of the seller or servicer.
- Synthetic securitisations, where credit risk is transferred to a third party but legal ownership of the underlying assets remain with the originator e.g., by using credit derivatives or guarantees.

Securitisation of ANZ-originated assets

ANZ adopts securitisation as a funding, capital and liquidity management tool using assets it has originated. This may involve the transfer of credit risk and thereby provide regulatory capital relief. ANZ also operates a self-securitisation program, backed by a pool of residential mortgages, which forms part of the Bank's liquidity arrangements by providing access to government sponsored facilities including the Term Funding Facility.

For these securitisation programs, ANZ will undertake roles including as the originator, sponsor, servicer and trust manager. ANZ may retain an exposure to these securitisation programs (including as facility provider and swap provider), consistent with the roles described below in 'Third Party Securitisation Activities' and facilities provided as described below in 'Risk Management'.

Covered bond transactions, whereby bonds issued by ANZ are secured by assets held in a special purpose vehicle, are not securitisation exposures.

Third-Party Securitisation Activities

ANZ's involvement with securitisation of third-party originated assets, including residential mortgages, auto and equipment loans and trade receivables, comprises of:

- Provision of facilities – this may include providing facilities to securitisation vehicles in the form of funding facility provider and interest rate swap provider. Funding may be provided via an ANZ-sponsored securitisation vehicle which is consolidated in the Bank's financial statements, to certain clients wishing to access securitisation.
- Services to securitisation programs may include structuring and arranging services and distributing securities.
- Investment in securities – ANZ may purchase notes issued by securitisation programs.

For any assets ANZ has securitised or for SPVs that ANZ sponsors, any role provided by ANZ or its subsidiaries is subject to market based terms and conditions, and ANZ's normal approval and review processes. Further, any securitisation exposures retained by ANZ or its affiliated entities are subject to ANZ's normal approval and review processes as well as satisfying the requirements under APS 120: Securitisation.

Governance and Risk Management

Similar to other exposures, securitisation exposures are subject to credit, market, operational liquidity and compliance risks. Governance of securitisation activities is managed in accordance with ANZ's established risk management framework, including the credit risk and market risk frameworks described in Chapters 5 and 7. Roles and responsibilities are clearly outlined in the Bank's policies and procedures, including:

- Appropriate risk management systems to identify, measure, monitor and manage the risks arising from its involvement in securitisation exposures;
- Impact of ANZ's involvement in securitisation exposures on its risk profile; and
- How ANZ ensures that it does not provide any implicit support to securitisations with ANZ originated assets.

Funding third-party originated exposures and investment in securities are via balance sheet funded arrangements where such arrangements satisfy ANZ's credit, due diligence and other business requirements.

Many functions within ANZ are involved in securitisation activities given the range of activities undertaken and risks that need to be managed. For origination and structuring of securitisation transactions, ANZ has a specialist securitisation team with independent Risk personnel overseeing operations. Credit decisions require joint approval by the business unit and respective independent credit risk officer. The securitisation team must be involved in all non-trading securitisation transactions across ANZ, which ensures consistent expert treatment. Where ANZ invests in instruments issued by securitisation programs, oversight of these exposures by securitisation specialists continue until the securitisation exposures are repaid in full or sold.

All facilities provided to our investments in securitisation programs (across both the banking and trading books) undergo initial and ongoing due diligence in line with requirements outlined by APRA. This includes analysing the risk characteristics of the securitisation exposure, structure of the transaction and monitoring performance of the underlying assets of the transaction. In addition, such securitisation exposures are formally reviewed at least annually with credit discretions being exercised.

Risk reporting of securitisation exposures

Credit risk management information systems, reporting and analysis are managed centrally for all securitisation exposures. In addition to the formal credit review process for ANZ's securitisation exposures, internal reporting to the appropriate Risk and management functions provides oversight at the portfolio level. These reports include securitisation program performance, EAD, portfolio mix, and RWA.

The use and treatment of Credit Risk Mitigation (CRM) techniques with respect to securitisation exposures is assessed on a case-by-case basis in a manner consistent with the bank-wide CRM methodology²⁷.

Regulatory capital approaches

For securitisation exposures held in ANZ's banking book²⁸, ANZ adopts a Standardised approach (as outlined in APS 120: Securitisation) to determine the credit risk regulatory capital charge via a hierarchy of approaches.

The primary rating approach is the External Ratings Based Approach (ERBA). For externally rated securitisation exposures that satisfy the operational requirements for external credit assessments, ANZ calculates credit risk regulatory capital based upon the ratings assigned by Standard & Poor's, Moody's Investor Services and/or Fitch Ratings as appropriate, seniority of the securitisation exposure and the tenor of the securitisation exposure.

If ERBA is not applicable, ANZ adopts the Supervisory Formula Approach (SFA) for securitisation exposures. In this case, the credit risk regulatory capital calculation takes into account the type and performance of the underlying assets of the securitisation and the credit support provided to the securitisation exposure.

Under APRA's new capital framework, ANZ's New Zealand banking subsidiaries regulated by the Reserve Bank of New Zealand (RBNZ) are required to calculate capital requirements for any securitisation exposures held using the RBNZ's prudential framework rather than APRA's framework. These exposures are included in the exposures of New Zealand banking subsidiaries in Table 6 rather than in Table 12.

In relation to securitisation of ANZ originated assets, where:

- the significant credit risk transfer requirements have been satisfied under APS 120, ANZ is not required to hold credit risk regulatory capital for the underlying assets of the securitisation, however credit risk regulatory capital is calculated for the facilities provided to the securitisation;
- in absence of significant credit risk transfer being satisfied under APS 120, ANZ holds credit risk regulatory capital for the underlying assets of the securitisation however the credit risk regulatory capital for facilities provided to the securitisation is not required to be calculated.

²⁷ For example, various types of analysis including quantitative analysis of credit enhancements are performed for non-externally rated transactions. Factors such as geography, facility/transaction type and ANZ's role will determine the applicable CRM techniques to apply.

²⁸ Exposures are classified into either the trading book or the banking book. In general terms, the trading book consists of positions in financial instruments and commodities held with trading intent or in order to hedge other elements of the trading book, and the banking book contains all other exposures. Banking book exposures are typically held to maturity, in contrast to the shorter term, trading nature of the trading book.

Chapter 7 outlines regulatory capital treatment for securitisation exposures held in ANZ's trading book. In addition, the operational requirements for the recognition of external credit assessments outlined in APS 120 also apply to these exposures.

Accounting policies

A key consideration in determining the treatment of transactions involving ANZ assets is whether the securitisation special purpose vehicles (SPVs) should be consolidated under AASB 10: Consolidated Financial Statements. If these SPVs meet the criteria for consolidation, the assets remain on the balance sheet of ANZ's consolidated financial statements and are classified and valued in accordance with AASB 9: Financial Instruments. Currently, transfers to securitisation SPVs are treated as financing transactions in the separate financial statements of ANZBGL because it retains substantially all of the risks and rewards of assets transferred to the SPVs.

Securitisation services based on customer's generated assets include warehouse and term fund facilities which are treated as loans.

For synthetic securitisations of ANZ-originated assets, any transferred credit exposure is recognised through the fair value measurement of the credit derivative established within the structure.

Full details of the principal accounting policies governing ANZ's securitisation activities are outlined in ANZBGL's 2023 Annual Report, Notes to the Financial Statements. These include the valuation, derecognition, consolidation and income recognition principles outlined in the accounting policies and key judgements and estimates disclosures in each relevant note. Note 27 – Structured Entities and Note 28 – Transfers of Financial Assets also provides details about the nature of ANZ's securitisation activities and certain accounting policies as they specifically apply to these activities. There have been no changes to the application of accounting policies in relation to securitisation activities since the prior year.

To the extent that ANZ has exposures intended to be securitised, they could reside in either the banking or trading book.

To the extent that ANZ has entered into contractual arrangements that could require it to provide financial support for securitised assets e.g. liquidity facilities, these are recognised in accordance with the accounting policies set out in ANZBGL's 2023 Annual Report.

Banking Book**Table 12(g): Banking Book: Traditional and synthetic securitisation exposures**

| Sep 23 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Traditional securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 865 | 68,901 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 865 | 68,901 | - |
| Synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | - | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - |
| Aggregate of traditional and synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 865 | 68,901 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 865 | 68,901 | - |

Table 12(g): Banking Book: Traditional and synthetic securitisation exposures (continued)

| Mar 23 | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Traditional securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 970 | 68,635 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 970 | 68,635 | - |
| Synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | - | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - |
| Aggregate of traditional and synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 970 | 68,635 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 970 | 68,635 | - |
| Sep 22 | | | |
| Traditional securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 1,094 | 85,858 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,094 | 85,858 | - |
| Synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | - | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - |
| Aggregate of traditional and synthetic securitisations | | | |
| Underlying asset | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 1,094 | 85,858 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,094 | 85,858 | - |

Table 12(h): Banking Book: Impaired and Past due loans relating to ANZ originated securitisations

| Underlying asset | Sep 23 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | ANZ originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | Non- performing \$M | Losses recognised for the six months ended \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 865 | 68,901 | 21 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 865 | 68,901 | 21 | - |

| Underlying asset | Mar 23 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---|
| | ANZ originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | Non- performing \$M | Losses recognised for the six months ended \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 970 | 68,635 | 12 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 970 | 68,635 | 12 | - |

| Underlying asset | Sep 22 | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---|
| | ANZ originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | Impaired \$M | Past due \$M | Losses recognised for the six months ended \$M |
| Residential mortgage | 1,094 | 85,858 | - | 48 | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 1,094 | 85,858 | - | 48 | - |

Table 12(i): Banking Book: Total amount of outstanding exposures intended to be securitised

No assets from ANZ's Banking Book were intended to be securitised as at the reporting date.

Table 12(j): Banking Book: Securitisation - Summary of current period's activity by underlying asset type and facility ²⁹

| Securitisation activity by underlying asset type | Sep 23 | | | Recognised gain or loss on sale \$M |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Original value securitised | | | |
| | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M | |
| Residential mortgage | (105) | 266 | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Total | (105) | 266 | - | - |
| Securitisation activity by facility provided | | | | Notional amount \$M |
| Liquidity facilities | | | | - |
| Funding facilities | | | | 1,000 |
| Underwriting facilities | | | | - |
| Lending facilities | | | | - |
| Credit enhancements | | | | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | | | | (629) |
| Other | | | | 1 |
| Total | | | | 372 |
| Securitisation activity by underlying asset type | Mar 23 | | | Recognised gain or loss on sale \$M |
| | Original value securitised | | | |
| | ANZ Originated \$M | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M | |
| Residential mortgage | (124) | 44 | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Total | (124) | 44 | - | - |
| Securitisation activity by facility provided | | | | Notional amount \$M |
| Liquidity facilities | | | | - |
| Funding facilities | | | | 74 |
| Underwriting facilities | | | | - |
| Lending facilities | | | | - |
| Credit enhancements | | | | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | | | | (654) |
| Other | | | | 1 |
| Total | | | | (579) |

²⁹ Activity represents net movement in outstandings.

Table 12(j): Banking Book: Securitisation - Summary of current period's activity by underlying asset type and facility (continued)

| Securitisation activity by underlying asset type | Sep 22 | | | Recognised gain or loss on sale \$M |
|--|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | ANZ Originated \$M | Original value securitised | | |
| | | ANZ Self Securitised \$M | ANZ Sponsored \$M | |
| Residential mortgage | (149) | 2,306 | - | - |
| Credit cards and other personal loans | - | - | - | - |
| Auto and equipment finance | - | - | - | - |
| Commercial loans | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Total | (149) | 2,306 | - | - |
| Securitisation activity by facility provided | | | | Notional amount \$M |
| Liquidity facilities | | | | - |
| Funding facilities | | | | 1,486 |
| Underwriting facilities | | | | - |
| Lending facilities | | | | - |
| Credit enhancements | | | | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | | | | 112 |
| Other | | | | 1 |
| Total | | | | 1,599 |

Table 12(k): Banking Book: Securitisation - Regulatory credit exposures by exposure type

| Securitisation exposure type - On balance sheet | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Liquidity facilities | - | - | - |
| Funding facilities | 9,886 | 8,976 | 9,433 |
| Underwriting facilities | - | - | - |
| Lending facilities | - | - | - |
| Credit enhancements | - | - | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | 2,070 | 2,698 | 3,352 |
| Protection provided | - | - | - |
| Other | 92 | 116 | 55 |
| Total | 12,048 | 11,790 | 12,840 |

| Securitisation exposure type - Off Balance Sheet | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Liquidity facilities | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Funding facilities | 3,191 | 2,191 | 2,128 |
| Underwriting facilities | - | - | - |
| Lending facilities | - | - | - |
| Credit enhancements | - | - | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | - | - | - |
| Protection provided | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - |
| Total | 3,201 | 2,202 | 2,140 |

| Total Securitisation exposure type | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Liquidity facilities | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Funding facilities | 13,077 | 11,167 | 11,561 |
| Underwriting facilities | - | - | - |
| Lending facilities | - | - | - |
| Credit enhancements | - | - | - |
| Holdings of securities (excluding trading book) | 2,070 | 2,698 | 3,352 |
| Protection provided | - | - | - |
| Other | 92 | 116 | 55 |
| Total | 15,249 | 13,992 | 14,980 |

Table 12(l) part (i): Banking Book: Securitisation - Regulatory credit exposures by risk weight band

| Securitisation risk weights | Sep 23 | | Mar 23 | | Sep 22 | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M |
| ≤ 25% | 15,249 | 2,406 | 13,992 | 2,229 | 14,980 | 2,424 |
| >25 ≤ 35% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >35 ≤ 50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >50 ≤ 75% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >75 ≤ 100% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >100 ≤ 650% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1250% (Deduction) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 15,249 | 2,406 | 13,992 | 2,229 | 14,980 | 2,424 |

| Resecuritisation risk weights | Sep 23 | | Mar 23 | | Sep 22 | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M |
| ≤ 25% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >25 ≤ 35% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >35 ≤ 50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >50 ≤ 75% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >75 ≤ 100% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >100 ≤ 650% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1250% (Deduction) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| Total Securitisation risk weights | Sep 23 | | Mar 23 | | Sep 22 | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M | Regulatory credit exposure \$M | Risk weighted assets \$M |
| ≤ 25% | 15,249 | 2,406 | 13,992 | 2,229 | 14,980 | 2,424 |
| >25 ≤ 35% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >35 ≤ 50% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >50 ≤ 75% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >75 ≤ 100% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| >100 ≤ 650% | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1250% (Deduction) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | 15,249 | 2,406 | 13,992 | 2,229 | 14,980 | 2,424 |

Table 12(l) part (ii): Banking Book: Securitisation - Aggregate securitisation exposures deducted from Capital

No longer required under Basel III; defaulted exposures are given a risk weight of 1250% and no longer deducted from Capital.

Table 12(m): Banking Book: Securitisations subject to early amortisation treatment

ANZ does not have any Securitisations subject to early amortisation treatment or using Standardised approach.

Table 12(n): Banking Book: Resecuritisation - Aggregate amount of resecuritisation exposures retained or purchased

ANZ does not have any retained or purchased Resecuritisation exposures.

Trading Book

Table 12(o): Trading Book: Traditional and synthetic securitisation exposures

No assets from ANZ's Trading Book were securitised during the reporting period.

Table 12(p): Trading Book: Total amount of outstanding exposures intended to be securitised

No assets from ANZ's Trading Book were intended to be securitised as at the reporting date.

Table 12(q): Trading Book: Securitisation - Summary of current year's activity by underlying asset type and facility

No assets from ANZ's Trading Book were securitised during the reporting period.

Table 12(r): Trading Book: Traditional and synthetic securitisation exposures

No assets from ANZ's Trading Book were securitised during the reporting period.

Table 12(s): Trading Book: Securitisation – Regulatory credit exposures by exposure type

ANZ does not have any Regulatory credit exposures by exposure type.

Table 12(t)(i) & Table 12(u)(i): Trading Book: Aggregate securitisation exposures subject to Internal Models Approach (IMA) and the associated Capital requirements

ANZ does not have any Securitisation exposures subject to Internal Models Approach.

Table 12(t)(ii) & Table 12(u)(ii): Trading Book: Aggregate securitisation exposures subject to APS 120 and the associated Capital requirements

ANZ does not have any aggregate Securitisation exposures subject to APS120 and the associated Capital requirements.

Table 12(u)(iii): Trading Book: Securitisation - Aggregate securitisation exposures deducted from Capital

ANZ does not have any Securitisation exposures deducted from Capital.

Table 12(v): Trading Book: Securitisations subject to early amortisation treatment

ANZ does not have any Securitisation exposures subject to early amortisation or using Standardised approach.

Table 12(w): Trading Book: Resecuritisation - Aggregate amount of resecuritisation exposures retained or purchased

ANZ does not have any retained or purchased Resecuritisation exposures.

Chapter 7 – Market risk

Table 13 Market risk – Standard approach

ANZ uses the standard model approach to measure market risk capital for specific risk³⁰ (APRA does not currently permit Australian banks to use an internal model approach for this).

Table 13(b): Market risk – Standard approach³¹

| | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Interest rate risk | 114 | 132 | 113 |
| Equity position risk | - | - | - |
| Foreign exchange risk | - | - | - |
| Commodity risk | - | - | - |
| Total | 114 | 132 | 113 |
| Risk Weighted Assets equivalent | 1,425 | 1,650 | 1,413 |

³⁰ Specific risk is the risk that the value of a security will change due to issuer-specific factors. It applies to interest rate and equity positions related to a specific issuer.

³¹ RWA equivalent is the capital requirement multiplied by 12.5 in accordance with APS 110.

Table 14 Market risk – Internal models approach

Definition and scope of market risk

Market Risk stems from ANZ's trading and balance sheet activities and is the risk to ANZ's earnings or economic value arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, volatility, correlations or from fluctuations in bond, commodity or equity prices.

Market risk management of IRRBB is described in Chapter 10 and is excluded from this Chapter.

Regulatory approval to use the Internal Models Approach

ANZ has been approved by APRA to use the Internal Models Approach (IMA) under APS 116 Capital Adequacy: Market Risk for general market risk and under APS 117 Capital Adequacy: Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (Advanced ADIs) for interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB).

Governance of market risk

The Board Risk Committee supervision of market risk is supported by the Credit and Market Risk Committee (CMRC). CMRC is responsible for the oversight and control of credit, market, insurance and material financial risks across the ANZ Group and meets at least monthly.

The Market Risk function is a specialist risk management unit independent of the business that is responsible for:

- Designing and implementing policies and procedures to ensure market risk exposures are managed within the appetite and limit framework set by the Board.
- Measuring and monitoring market risk exposures and approving counterparty and associated risks.
- The ongoing effectiveness and appropriateness of the risk management framework.

Traded Market Risk

Traded Market Risk is the risk of loss from changes in the value of financial instruments due to movements in price factors for both physical and derivative trading positions. Trading positions arise from transactions where ANZ acts as principal with customers, financial exchanges or inter-bank counterparties.

The Traded, Foreign Exchange and Commodity Market Risk Policy and accompanying procedures (together the "TFC Framework") governs the management of traded market risk and its key components include:

- A clear definition of the trading book.
- A comprehensive set of requirements that promote the proactive identification and communication of risk.
- A robust Value at Risk (VaR) quantification approach supplemented by comprehensive stress testing.
- A comprehensive limit framework that controls all material market risks.
- An independent Market Risk function with specific responsibilities.
- Regular and effective reporting of market risk to executive management and the Board.

Non-Traded Market Risk

Non-Traded Market Risk is the market risk associated with the management of non-traded interest rate risk, liquidity risk and foreign exchange exposures from the Group's foreign currency capital and earnings.

Included in Non-Traded Market risk is Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB). This is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the overall and relative level of interest rates for different tenors, differences in the actual versus expected net interest margin, and the potential valuation risk associated with embedded options in financial instruments and bank products.

In quantifying risk, all material market risk factors need to be identified and reflected within the risk measurement approach. Non-traded market risk (or balance sheet risk) comprises the management of non-traded interest rate risk, liquidity risk, and foreign exchange exposures from the Group's foreign currency capital and earnings.

ANZ has a detailed market risk management and control framework, to support its balance sheet activities, which incorporates an independent risk measurement approach to quantify the magnitude of market risk within the trading and balance sheet portfolios. This approach, along with related analysis, identifies the range of possible outcomes that can be expected over a given period of time, and establishes the likelihood of those outcomes and allocates an appropriate amount of capital to support these activities.

Markets Risk is a specialist risk management unit independent of the business that is responsible for measuring and monitoring market risk. Markets Risk has implemented policies and procedures to keep ANZ's market risk exposures managed within the appetite and limit framework set by the Board.

Measurement of Traded Market Risk

ANZ's traded market risk management framework incorporates a risk measurement approach to quantify the magnitude of market risk within trading books. This approach and related analysis identify the range of possible outcomes that can be expected over a given period of time and establishes the relative likelihood of those outcomes.

ANZ's key tools to measure and manage traded market risk on a daily basis are VaR, sensitivity measures and stress tests. VaR is calculated using a historical simulation with a 500-day observation period for standard VaR, and a one-year stressed period for stressed VaR. Traded VaR is calculated at a 99% confidence level for one and ten-day holding periods for Standard VaR, and a ten-day holding period for stressed VaR. All material market risk factors and all trading portfolios are captured within the VaR model, with the exception of specific risk for interest rates, equity trading, for which capital is calculated using the Standardised approach.

ANZ also undertakes a wide range of stress tests on the Group trading portfolio and to individual trading portfolios. Standard stress tests are applied daily measuring the potential loss that could arise from the largest market movements observed since 2008 over specific holding periods. Holding periods used to calculate stress parameters differ and reflect the relative liquidity of each product type. Results from stress testing on plausible severe scenarios are also calculated daily.

VaR and stress tests are supplemented by loss limits and detailed control limits. Loss limits are designed to ensure that in the event of continued losses from a trading activity, the trading activity is stopped and senior management reviews before trading resumed. Where necessary, detailed control limits such as sensitivity or position limits are also in place to ensure appropriate control is exercised over a specific risk or product.

Comparison of VaR estimates to gains/losses

Back testing involves comparing VaR calculations with corresponding profit and loss to identify how often trading losses exceed the calculated VaR. For APRA back testing purposes, VaR is calculated at the 99% confidence interval with a one-day holding period.

Back testing is conducted daily, and outliers are analysed to determine whether they are the result of trading decisions, systemic changes in market conditions or issues related to the VaR model (historical data or model calibration).

ANZ uses actual and hypothetical profit and loss data. Hypothetical data is designed to remove the impacts of intraday trading and sales margins. It is calculated as the difference between the value of the prior day portfolio at prior day closing rates and the value at current day closing rates. Markets Finance calculates actual profit and loss while Market Risk calculates hypothetical profit and loss.

Table 14(f): Value at Risk (VaR) and stressed VaR over the reporting period ³²

| Six months ended Sep 23 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 1 Day Value at Risk (VaR) | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 3.3 | 6.2 | 2.1 | 2.8 |
| Interest Rate | 8.5 | 15.3 | 5.0 | 6.6 |
| Credit | 5.0 | 7.7 | 3.2 | 5.9 |
| Commodity | 2.9 | 4.9 | 1.8 | 4.0 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |

| Six months ended Mar 23 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 1 Day Value at Risk (VaR) | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 2.6 | 3.9 | 1.6 | 3.9 |
| Interest Rate | 8.4 | 17.6 | 5.3 | 5.8 |
| Credit | 4.1 | 5.9 | 2.5 | 3.9 |
| Commodity | 3.1 | 6.6 | 2.0 | 2.6 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |


| Six months ended Sep 22 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 1 Day Value at Risk (VaR) | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 2.0 | 4.0 | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Interest Rate | 7.1 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 7.6 |
| Credit | 2.3 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 2.6 |
| Commodity | 2.3 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 4.3 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |

| Six months ended Sep 23 | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 10 Day Stressed VaR | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 27.3 | 77.4 | 9.7 | 15.1 |
| Interest Rate | 82.1 | 157.8 | 36.7 | 38.8 |
| Credit | 28.8 | 37.8 | 19.4 | 32.2 |
| Commodity | 23.9 | 37.0 | 11.8 | 37.0 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |

| Six months ended Mar 23 | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 10 Day Stressed VaR | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 44.6 | 82.3 | 21.2 | 57.0 |
| Interest Rate | 86.4 | 125.6 | 59.0 | 103.8 |
| Credit | 26.3 | 39.5 | 14.3 | 21.1 |
| Commodity | 27.4 | 41.6 | 20.7 | 22.3 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |

| Six months ended Sep 22 | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 99% 10 Day Stressed VaR | Mean \$M | Maximum \$M | Minimum \$M | Period end \$M |
| Foreign Exchange | 25.1 | 62.0 | 11.7 | 34.2 |
| Interest Rate | 67.2 | 127.5 | 35.5 | 86.8 |
| Credit | 18.7 | 26.1 | 11.4 | 13.8 |
| Commodity | 22.3 | 35.9 | 15.5 | 27.3 |
| Equity | - | - | - | - |

³² The Foreign exchange VaR excludes foreign exchange translation exposures outside of the trading book.

Comparison of VaR estimates with actual gains/losses experienced**Reporting of Traded Market Risk**

Market Risk reports the result of daily VaR and stress testing results to senior management in Market Risk and the Markets business. Market Risk will escalate details of any limit breach to the appropriate discretion holder within Market Risk and to Group Risk, and reports to the CMRC each month.

Market Risk monitors and analyses back testing results daily and reports results to the CMRC quarterly.

As highlighted in the chart above, when using actual profit and loss data, back-testing exceptions for the Trading Book have been as expected over the past year. Given the extreme market volatility, there have been a larger number of exceptions when using hypothetical profit and loss data and this has required the addition of a plus factor for market risk capital purposes.

Mitigation of market risk

The Market Risk team's responsibilities, including the reporting and escalation processes described above, are fundamental to how market risk is managed. Market Risk has a presence in all the major dealing operations centres in Australia, New Zealand, Asia, Europe and America.

Commodities risk

Commodity price risk arises as a result of movement in prices or the implied volatilities of various commodities. All exposures are transferred to the trading book centrally managed by the Markets business and monitored by Market Risk in accordance with the TFC framework.

Foreign exchange risk

Foreign exchange risk arises as a result of movements in values or the implied volatilities of exchange rates.

Exposures from ANZ's normal operating business and trading activities are recorded in core multi-currency systems and managed within the trading book in accordance with the TFC framework.

Structural exposures from foreign investments and capital management activities are managed in accordance with policies approved by the Board Risk Committee, with the main objective of ensuring that ANZ's capital ratio is largely protected from changes in foreign exchange. As at 30 September 2023, ANZ's investment in ANZ Bank New Zealand Limited is the main source of the structural foreign exchange exposure.

Chapter 8 – Operational risk

Table 15 Operational risk

Definition of operational risk

Operational Risk is the risk of loss and/or non-compliance with laws resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and/or systems, or from external events. This definition includes legal risk, and the risk of reputation loss, but excludes strategic risk. At ANZ, Operational Risk is managed in close partnership with compliance risk, which is the risk of failure to act in accordance with laws, regulations, industry standards and codes, internal policies and procedures and principles of good governance as applicable to ANZ's businesses.

ANZ's I.AM (Identify, Act, Monitor) - Compliance and Operational Risk Framework (I.AM Framework), taking into consideration the internal and external environment in which ANZ operates at any point in time, allows for targeted focus on particular areas of operational risk. Currently, ANZ has identified Compliance Risk, Conduct Risk, Financial Crime Risk and Technology Risk as separate Key Material Risks, which are managed in accordance with the I.AM Framework. ANZ is in the process of adopting the 16 Operational Risk Themes provided under the Operational Risk Exchange (ORX) Taxonomy, which is a simplified and industry standard approach to the classification of Operational Risk.

ANZ uses the Standardised Measurement Approach (SMA) for calculation of Operational Risk capital requirements under APS 115 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Measurement Approach to Operational Risk. This methodology applies across all of ANZ. For the purposes of RBNZ capital adequacy, ANZ Bank New Zealand Ltd uses Reserve Bank of New Zealand standardised approach to Operational Risk capital calculation.

Operational risk governance and structure

The primary responsibilities for operational risk are vested by the ANZ Group Risk Management Strategy, in the Board Risk Committee and Operational Risk Executive Committee. Each of the duties of these committees stated in Chapter 2 – Risk appetite and governance, applies to their responsibilities for Compliance and Operational Risk.

Risk management framework

ANZ operates the three lines of defence model for the management of Operational Risk. Each line of defence has clearly defined roles, responsibilities and escalation paths to support effective two-way communication and management of Operational Risk at ANZ. There are also on-going review mechanisms in place to ensure the I.AM Framework continues to meet organisational needs and regulatory requirements.

First line

The Business has first line of defence responsibility for managing Compliance and Operational Risk including obligations for:

- identification, measurement and management of key risks, obligations and the related control environment across the business.

Second line

Compliance and Operational Risk functions (Divisional/Functional and Group) form the second line of defence.

Divisional/Functional Compliance and Operational Risk is accountable for:

- undertaking appropriate oversight and independent review & challenge and assurance over business activities including consistent implementation of the I.AM Framework, across the division/function.

Group Compliance and Operational Risk is accountable for:

- developing and maintaining the I.AM Framework and relevant policies and procedures;
- providing subject matter expertise on the I.AM Framework and relevant policies and procedures to enable consistent implementation; and
- supporting the establishment of, and monitoring compliance with, the Group Operational Risk, Risk Appetite Statements (RAS).

Third line

Internal Audit forms the third line of defence and is accountable for:

- providing independent and objective assurance to management and the ANZ Board regarding the adequacy and compliance with policy and regulatory requirements;

- performing objective assessments across all geographies, divisions, lines of business and processes, and
- undertaking independent review of the adequacy of the I.AM Framework.

Collectively Internal Audit, Compliance and Operational Risk functions and the Business are responsible for monitoring and reporting to Executive Management, the Board, Regulators and others on all matters related to the measurement and management of Compliance and Operational Risk.

Operational Risk Framework

ANZ's I.AM Framework is delivered through:

- Level 1 Compliance and Operational Risk Policy (the Policy) – approved by the Board Risk Committee, outlines the core standards, outlining roles and responsibilities and minimum requirements of the way in which operational risks and obligations are identified, acted on and monitored across ANZ, sets the approach for governing the overall measurement and management of Compliance and Operational Risk across ANZ.
- Level 2A Operational Risk Procedures (the Requirements, and Lifecycle, Roles and Responsibilities) – owned by Group Compliance and Operational Risk, provide the procedures to support the consistent application of Level 1 Policy across ANZ. The procedures are further augmented by tools, templates, systems and on-going training.

Operational risk mitigation

In line with industry practice, ANZ obtains insurance to cover those Operational Risks where cost-effective premiums can be obtained. In conducting their business, Business Units are advised to act as if uninsured and not to use insurance as a guaranteed mitigant for operational risk.

ANZ has business continuity, recovery and crisis management plans. The intention of the business continuity and recovery plans is to ensure critical business functions can be maintained, or restored in a timely fashion, in the event of material disruptions arising from internal or external events.

Crisis management planning at Group and country levels supplement business continuity plans in the event of a broader group or country crisis. Crisis management plans include crisis team structures, roles, responsibilities and contact lists, and are subject to testing.

Operational risk reporting

ANZ's I.AM Framework includes a global governance risk and compliance ('GRC') platform within ANZ that operates as the source of truth and provides greater transparency of Risk, Controls, Obligations and Events information across ANZ. This information is used for internal and external reporting including regulatory reporting.

The Operational Risk Executive Committee ('OREC') monitors and oversees at an enterprise level the state of Compliance and Operational Risk management (including via the standardised 'Non-Financial Risk Dashboard') and instigate any necessary corrective actions. Divisional and Business Unit level Risk Management committees also operate to ensure matters of relevance are escalated to OREC accordingly.

Operational risk management

The objective of ANZ's approach to Operational and Compliance Risk is to ensure that risks are identified, assessed, measured, evaluated, treated, monitored and reported in a structured environment with appropriate governance oversight. Further, that a control environment is in place to not only manage those risks but ensure traceability through to our obligations. ANZ manages Compliance and Operational Risk in the best interests of its customers and the community and to meet the expectations of the regulators.

The Compliance and Operational Risk Policy (Level 1) establishes the fundamental requirements at ANZ which inform policies, processes, and procedure development of ANZ's management of Compliance and Operational Risk, through timely and appropriate identification, action and monitoring. It is part of ANZ's Risk Management Framework and ANZ's I.AM (Identify, Act, Monitor) Framework (Level 2). ANZ takes a risk-based approach to the management of operational risks and obligations, which means it assigns enhanced resource and oversight to those Risks and obligations that may cause the Bank and its Customers greater harm. This enables ANZ to be consistent in proactively identifying, assessing, managing, reporting and escalating Operational Risk related risk exposures, while respecting the specific obligations of each jurisdiction in which ANZ operates.

Day-to-day management of Operational Risk is the responsibility of the 1st Line Business. Risk management, supported by a strong Risk Culture, ensures all staff are thinking about and managing risk on a continuous basis – "Risk is Everyone's Responsibility", and Senior Management maintain oversight of those risks that if materialised, would adversely affect ANZ.

ANZ's approach to Operational Risk Capital Adequacy

Group Finance is responsible for the Operational Risk calculation under SMA, whilst Group Compliance and Operational Risk is accountable for the measurement and allocation of Operational Risk Regulatory Capital within ANZ.

Operational Risk Capital is held to protect depositors and shareholders from rare and severe unexpected losses. ANZ maintains and calculates Operational Risk Capital on an annual basis, per APS 115 Capital Adequacy: Standardised Measurement Approach to Operational Risk.

Once calculated, the capital is allocated to divisions based on contribution to Group operational risk capital and the historic loss experience. Accordingly, capital allocations are structured to encourage businesses to effectively manage their operational risk exposures e.g., improve controls, reduce losses etc.

Chapter 9 – Equities

Table 16 Equities – Disclosures for banking book positions

Definition and categorisation of equity investments held in the banking book

Equity risk is the risk of financial loss arising from the unexpected reduction in value of equity investments not held in the trading book including those of the Group's joint ventures and associates. ANZ's equity exposures in the banking book are primarily categorised as follows:

- Equity investments that are taken for strategic reasons - These transactions represent strategic business initiatives and include ANZ's investments in partnership arrangements with financial institutions in Asia. These investments are undertaken after extensive analysis and due diligence by Group Strategy, internal specialists and external advisors, where appropriate. Board approval is required prior to committing to any investments over delegated authorities, and all regulatory notification requirements are met. Performance of these investments is monitored by both the owning business unit and where appropriate, either Group Strategy or a dedicated investment oversight group to ensure that it is within expectations.
- Equity investments made as the result of a work out of a problem exposure - From time to time, ANZ will take an equity stake in a customer as part of a work out arrangement for problem exposures. These investments are made only where there is no other viable option available and form an immaterial part of ANZ's equity exposures.

Valuation of and accounting for equity investments in the banking book

In line with Group Accounting Policy the accounting treatment of equity investments depends on whether ANZ has significant influence over the investee or not.

Investments in associates

Where significant influence exists, the investment is classified as an Investment in Associate in the financial statements. ANZ adopts the equity method of accounting for associates. ANZ's share of the profit or loss of associates is included in the consolidated income statement. The associate investments are recognised at cost plus ANZ's share of post-acquisition increase or decrease in net assets less accumulated impairment. Interests in associates are reviewed semi-annually for impairment. If an indicator of impairment is identified, their recoverable amount is determined being the higher of their fair value less costs of disposal (market value for listed entities) or a discounted cash flow methodology to assess value in-use (VIU). If the recoverable amount is less than the carrying value of the investment, an impairment is recorded. As at 30 September 2023 the carrying values of the Group's investments in AMMB Holdings Berhad (Ambank) and PT Bank Pan Indonesia (PT Panin) were supported by their market value or VIU.

Equity instruments held at Fair Value

Where ANZ does not have significant influence over the investee, the instrument is categorised as an investment security and classified as fair value through profit and loss, with changes in fair value recognised in the income statement, unless designated irrevocably on acquisition as fair value through other comprehensive income (FVOCI). If this election is made, gains or losses are recorded in other comprehensive income and are not reclassified from other comprehensive income to profit or loss on disposal of the investment. However, gains or losses may be reclassified within equity.

Table 16(b) and 16(c): Equities – Types and nature of Banking Book investments

| Equity investments | Sep 23 \$M | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| | Balance sheet value | Fair value |
| Value of listed (publicly traded) equities | 3,179 | 2,900 |
| Value of unlisted (privately held) equities | 98 | 98 |
| Total | 3,277 | 2,998 |

| Equity investments | Mar 23 \$M | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| | Balance sheet value | Fair value |
| Value of listed (publicly traded) equities | 3,122 | 3,146 |
| Value of unlisted (privately held) equities | 97 | 97 |
| Total | 3,219 | 3,243 |

| Equity investments | Sep 22 \$M | |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| | Balance sheet value | Fair value |
| Value of listed (publicly traded) equities | 2,970 | 3,807 |
| Value of unlisted (privately held) equities | 619 | 619 |
| Total | 3,589 | 4,426 |

Table 16(d) and 16(e): Equities – gains (losses)³³

| Realised gains (losses) on equity investments | Half Year Sep-23 | Half Year Mar 23 | Half Year Sep 22 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| Cumulative realised gains (losses) from disposals and liquidations in the reporting period | (3) | - | - |
| Cumulative realised losses from impairment and write-downs in the reporting period | - | - | - |
| | (3) | - | - |

| Unrealised gains (losses) on equity investments | Half Year Sep-23 | Half Year Mar-23 | Half Year Sep-22 |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Total unrealised gains (losses) | (44) | 9 |
| Reversal of prior period unrealised gains (losses) from disposals and liquidations in the reporting period | - | - | - |
| Total unrealised gains (losses) included in Common Equity Tier 1, Tier 1 and/or Tier 2 capital | (44) | 9 | (95) |

Table 16(f): Equities Risk Weighted Assets

From 1 January 2013 all banking book equity exposures are deducted from Common Equity Tier 1 capital.

³³ Table 16(d) and Table 16 (e) are reported on an after-tax basis

Chapter 10 – Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book

Table 17 Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book

Definition of Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (IRRBB)

Interest rate risk in the banking book (IRRBB) relates to the potential adverse impact of changes in market interest rates on ANZ's future earnings or economic value. The risk generally arises from:

- **Repricing and yield curve risk** - the risk to earnings or economic value as a result of changes in the overall level of interest rates and/or the relativity of these rates across the yield curve.
- **Basis risk** - the risk to earnings or market value arising from volatility in the interest margin applicable to banking book items.
- **Optionality risk** – the risk to earnings or market value arising from the existence of stand-alone or embedded options in banking book items.

Regulatory capital approach

ANZ has received approval from APRA to use the Internal Model Approach (IMA) for the calculation of regulatory capital for IRRBB, under APS 117 Capital Adequacy: Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book (Advanced ADIs).

Governance

The Board Risk Committee has established a risk appetite for IRRBB and delegated authority to the Group Asset and Liability Committee (GALCO) to manage the strategic position (capital investment term) and oversee the interest rate risk arising from the repricing of asset and liabilities (mismatch risk) in the banking book. GALCO has delegated the management of this mismatch risk to the Markets business.

Market Risk is the independent function responsible for:

- Designing and implementing policies and procedures to ensure that IRRBB exposure is managed within the limit framework set by the Board Risk Committee.
- Monitoring and measuring IRRBB market risk exposure, compliance with limits and policies.
- Ensuring ongoing effectiveness and appropriateness of the risk management framework.

Risk Management framework

IRRBB is managed under a comprehensive measurement and reporting framework, supported by an independent Market Risk function. Key components of the framework include:

- A comprehensive set of policies that promote proactive risk identification and communication.
- Funds Transfer Pricing framework to transfer interest rate risk from business units so it can be managed by the Markets business and monitored by Market Risk.
- Quantifying the magnitude of risks and controlling the potential impact that changes in market interest rates can have on the net interest income and balance sheet market value of ANZ.
- Regular and effective reporting of IRRBB to executive management and the Board.

Measurement of interest rate risk in the banking book

ANZ uses the following principal techniques to quantify and monitor IRRBB:

- Interest Rate Sensitivity - this is an estimate of the change in economic value of the banking book due to a 1 basis point move in a specific part of the yield curve.
- Earnings at risk (EaR) - this is an estimate of the amount of income that is at risk from interest rate movements over a given holding period, expressed to a 97.5% level of statistical confidence.
- Value at risk (VaR) - this is an estimate of the impact of interest rate changes on the banking book's market value, expressed to a 99% level of statistical confidence for a given holding period.
- Market Value loss limits - this mitigates the potential for embedded losses within the banking book.
- Stress testing - standard extraordinary forward looking and repricing term assumptions tests are used to highlight potential risk which may not be captured by VaR, and how the portfolio might behave under extraordinary circumstances.

The calculations used to quantify IRRBB require assumptions to be made about the repricing term of exposures that do not have a contractually defined repricing date, such as deposits with no set maturity dates, and prepayments. Changes to these assumptions require GALCO approval.

Basis and optionality risks are measured using Monte Carlo simulation techniques, to generate a theoretical worst outcome at a specified confidence level (typically no less than at a 99% level of statistical confidence) less the average outcome.

Reporting of interest rate risk in the banking book

Market Risk analyses the output of ANZ's VaR, EaR and Stress Testing calculations daily. Compliance with the risk appetite and limit framework is reported to CMRC, GALCO and the Board Risk Committee.

ANZ's interest rate risk in the banking book capital requirement

The IRRBB regulatory capital requirements includes a value for repricing and yield curve risk, basis and optionality risks based on a 99% confidence interval, one year holding period and a six-year historical data set.

Embedded losses also make up the capital requirement and are calculated as the difference between the book value and the current economic value of banking book items accounted for on an actual basis.

Results of standard shock scenario

The Basel II framework sets out a standard shock scenario of a 200 basis point parallel shift change in interest rates, in order to establish a comparable test across banks.

Table 17(b) that follows shows the results of this shock by currency of ANZ's banking book exposures.

Table 17(b): Interest Rate Risk in the Banking Book

| Standard Shock Scenario Stress Testing: Interest rate shock applied | Change in Economic Value | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
| AUD | | | |
| 200 basis point parallel increase | (478) | (460) | (729) |
| 200 basis point parallel decrease | 473 | 452 | 751 |
| NZD | | | |
| 200 basis point parallel increase | (118) | (37) | (140) |
| 200 basis point parallel decrease | 105 | 15 | 124 |
| USD | | | |
| 200 basis point parallel increase | 13 | 16 | 109 |
| 200 basis point parallel decrease | (17) | (21) | (116) |
| Other | | | |
| 200 basis point parallel increase | (54) | (77) | (70) |
| 200 basis point parallel decrease | 62 | 92 | 85 |
| IRRBB regulatory capital | 2,536 | 2,551 | 3,045 |
| IRRBB regulatory RWA | 31,703 | 31,887 | 38,063 |

IRRBB stress testing methodology

Stress tests within ANZ include standard and extraordinary tests. These tests are used to highlight potential risk which may not be captured by VaR, and how the portfolio might behave under extraordinary circumstances. Standard stress tests include statistically derived scenarios based on historical yield curve movements. These combine parallel shocks with twists and bends in the curve to produce a wide range of hypothetical scenarios at high statistical confidence levels, with the single worst scenario identified and reported. Extraordinary stress tests include interest rate moves from historical periods of stress and potential future scenarios, including behavioural characteristics as well as stresses to assumptions made about the repricing term of exposures. The rate move scenarios include changes over the stressed periods and the worst theoretical losses over the selected period are reported. Stresses of the repricing term assumptions investigate scenarios where actual repricing terms are significantly different to those modelled.

Chapter 11 – Leverage and Liquidity Coverage Ratio

Leverage Ratio

The Leverage Ratio requirements are part of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) Basel III capital framework. It is a simple, non-risk based supplement or backstop to the current risk based capital requirements and is intended to restrict the build-up of excessive leverage in the banking system.

Consistent with the BCBS definition, APRA's Leverage Ratio compares Tier 1 Capital to the Exposure Measure (expressed as a percentage) as defined by APS 110: Capital Adequacy. APRA requires ADIs authorised to use the internal ratings based approach to credit risk to maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 3.5% from January 2023.

At 30 September 2023, the Group's Leverage Ratio of 5.4% was above the 3.5% minimum requirement. Table 18 below shows the Group's Leverage Ratio calculation as at 30 September 2023 and Table 19 summarises the reconciliation of accounting assets and leverage ratio exposure measure at 30 September 2023.

Table 18 Leverage Ratio

| | Sep 23 \$M | Mar 23 \$M | Sep 22 \$M |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| On-balance sheet exposures | | | |
| 1 On-balance sheet items (excluding derivatives and SFTs, but including collateral) | 996,947 | 1,025,480 | 966,226 |
| 2 (Asset amounts deducted in determining Basel III Tier 1 capital) | (12,284) | (11,965) | (12,138) |
| 3 Total on-balance sheet exposures (excluding derivatives and SFTs) | 984,663 | 1,013,515 | 954,088 |
| Derivative exposures | | | |
| 4 Replacement cost associated with all derivatives transactions (i.e. net of eligible cash variation margin) | 19,984 | 13,959 | 19,606 |
| 5 Add-on amounts for PFE associated with all derivatives transactions | 31,992 | 33,127 | 38,739 |
| 6 Gross-up for derivatives collateral provided where deducted from the balance sheet assets pursuant to the operative accounting framework | 4,683 | 2,777 | 3,058 |
| 7 (Deductions of receivables assets for cash variation margin provided in derivatives transactions) | (6,253) | (5,370) | (9,714) |
| 8 (Exempted CCP leg of client-cleared trade exposures) | - | - | - |
| 9 Adjusted effective notional amount of written credit derivatives | 9,740 | 10,154 | 10,823 |
| 10 (Adjusted effective notional offsets and add-on deductions for written credit derivatives) | (9,138) | (10,035) | (10,712) |
| 11 Total derivative exposures | 51,008 | 44,612 | 51,800 |
| Securities financing transaction exposures | | | |
| 12 Gross SFT assets (with no recognition of netting), after adjusting for sale accounting transactions | 47,840 | 39,647 | 29,502 |
| 13 (Netted amounts of cash payables and cash receivables of gross SFT assets) | (2,159) | (2,495) | (899) |
| 14 CCR exposure for SFT assets | 5,066 | 6,604 | 6,967 |
| 15 Agent transaction exposures | - | - | - |
| 16 Total securities financing transaction exposures | 50,747 | 43,756 | 35,570 |
| Other off-balance sheet exposures | | | |
| 17 Off-balance sheet exposure at gross notional amount | 297,020 | 297,629 | 285,816 |
| 18 (Adjustments for conversion to credit equivalent amounts) | (158,719) | (156,630) | (158,963) |
| 19 Off-balance sheet items | 138,301 | 140,999 | 126,853 |
| Capital and Total Exposures | | | |
| 20 Tier 1 capital | 66,026 | 65,564 | 63,558 |
| 21 Total exposures | 1,224,719 | 1,242,882 | 1,168,311 |
| Leverage ratio | | | |
| 22 Basel III leverage ratio | 5.4% | 5.3% | 5.4% |

Table 19 Summary comparison of accounting assets vs. leverage ratio exposure measure

| | | Sep 23 | Mar 23 | Sep 22 |
|---|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | | \$M | \$M | \$M |
| 1 | Total consolidated assets as per published financial statements | 1,106,041 | 1,111,605 | 1,085,729 |
| 2 | Adjustment for investments in banking, financial, insurance or commercial entities that are consolidated for accounting purposes but outside the scope of regulatory consolidation. | (848) | (864) | 173 |
| 3 | Adjustment for assets held on the balance sheet in a fiduciary capacity pursuant to the Australian Accounting Standards but excluded from the leverage ratio exposure measure | - | - | - |
| 4 | Adjustments for derivative financial instruments. | (9,398) | (1,002) | (38,375) |
| 5 | Adjustment for SFTs (i.e. repos and similar secured lending) | 2,907 | 4,109 | 6,069 |
| 6 | Adjustment for off-balance sheet exposures (i.e. conversion to credit equivalent amounts of off-balance sheet exposures) | 138,301 | 140,999 | 126,853 |
| 7 | Other adjustments | (12,284) | (11,965) | (12,138) |
| | Leverage ratio exposure | 1,224,719 | 1,242,882 | 1,168,311 |

Table 20 Liquidity Coverage Ratio disclosure template

| | | Sep 23 | | Jun 23 |
|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Total Unweighted Value \$M | Total Weighted Value \$M | Total Unweighted Value \$M | Total Weighted Value \$M |
| Liquid assets, of which: | | | | |
| 1 | High-quality liquid assets (HQLA) | | 265,713 | 271,021 |
| 2 | Alternative liquid assets (ALA) | | - | - |
| 3 | Reserve Bank of New Zealand (RBNZ) securities | | 2,192 | 2,615 |
| Cash outflows | | | | |
| 4 | Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers | 263,220 | 25,517 | 260,584 |
| 5 | of which: stable deposits | 117,575 | 5,879 | 117,072 |
| 6 | of which: less stable deposits | 145,645 | 19,638 | 143,512 |
| 7 | Unsecured wholesale funding | 281,002 | 146,698 | 297,473 |
| 8 | of which: operational deposits (all counterparties) and deposits in networks for cooperative banks | 93,536 | 22,553 | 95,428 |
| 9 | of which: non-operational deposits (all counterparties) | 174,870 | 111,549 | 185,373 |
| 10 | of which: unsecured debt | 12,596 | 12,596 | 16,672 |
| 11 | Secured wholesale funding | | 5,405 | 1,874 |
| 12 | Additional requirements | 195,559 | 70,639 | 184,517 |
| 13 | of which: outflows related to derivatives exposures and other collateral requirements | 48,206 | 48,206 | 40,701 |
| 14 | of which: outflows related to loss of funding on debt products | - | - | - |
| 15 | of which: credit and liquidity facilities | 147,353 | 22,433 | 143,816 |
| 16 | Other contractual funding obligations | 7,764 | - | 8,515 |
| 17 | Other contingent funding obligations | 118,609 | 8,024 | 120,339 |
| 18 | Total cash outflows | | 256,283 | 255,876 |
| Cash inflows | | | | |
| 19 | Secured lending (e.g. reverse repos) | 28,360 | 1,549 | 25,679 |
| 20 | Inflows from fully performing exposures | 24,954 | 17,190 | 26,350 |
| 21 | Other cash inflows | 36,016 | 36,016 | 28,577 |
| 22 | Total cash inflows | 89,330 | 54,755 | 80,606 |
| 23 | Total liquid assets | | 267,905 | 273,636 |
| 24 | Total net cash outflows | | 201,528 | 207,782 |
| 25 | Liquidity Coverage Ratio (%) | | 132.9% | 131.7% |
| | Number of data points used (simple average) | | 65 | 65 |

Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR)

ANZ's average LCR for the 3 months to 30 September 2023 was 132.9% with total liquid assets exceeding net outflows by an average of \$66.4 billion.

The main contributors to net cash outflows were modelled outflows associated with the bank's corporate and retail deposit portfolios, offset by inflows from maturing loans. While cash outflows associated with derivatives are material, these are effectively offset by derivative cash inflows.

ANZ has a well-diversified deposit and funding base avoiding undue concentrations by investor type, maturity, market source and currency.

ANZ monitors and manages its liquidity risk on a daily basis including LCR by geography and currency, ensuring ongoing compliance across the network.

Table 21 NSFR disclosure template

| Available Stable Funding (ASF) Item | | Sep 23 | | | | Weighted value \$M |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|
| | | Unweighted value by residual maturity | | | | |
| | | No maturity \$M | < 6 months \$M | 6 months to < 1yr \$M | ≥ 1yr \$M | |
| 1 | Capital | 68,690 | - | - | 30,927 | 99,617 |
| 2 | of which: regulatory capital | 68,690 | - | - | 30,927 | 99,617 |
| 3 | of which: other capital instruments | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 | Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers | 241,825 | 85,575 | - | - | 301,317 |
| 5 | of which: stable deposits | 115,399 | 17,765 | - | - | 126,505 |
| 6 | of which: less stable deposits | 126,426 | 67,810 | - | - | 174,812 |
| 7 | Wholesale funding | 167,556 | 304,014 | 43,996 | 70,841 | 220,467 |
| 8 | of which: operational deposits | 93,077 | - | - | - | 46,538 |
| 9 | of which: other wholesale funding | 74,479 | 304,014 | 43,996 | 70,841 | 173,929 |
| 10 | Liabilities with matching interdependent assets | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 | Other liabilities | 19,620 | 14,158 | 358 | 3,705 | 3,884 |
| 12 | of which: NSFR derivative liabilities | - | 14,158 | - | - | - |
| 13 | of which: All other liabilities and equity not included in the above categories | 19,620 | - | 358 | 3,705 | 3,884 |
| 14 | Total ASF | | | | | 625,285 |
| Required Stable Funding (RSF) Item | | | | | | |
| 15a | Total NSFR (HQLA) | | | | | 8,439 |
| 15b | ALA | | | | | 809 |
| 15c | RBNZ securities | | | | | 919 |
| 16 | Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 | Performing loans and securities | 14,209 | 120,422 | 48,444 | 547,055 | 485,212 |
| 18 | of which: Performing loans to financial institutions secured by Level 1 HQLA | - | 41,378 | - | - | 4,138 |
| 19 | of which: Performing loans to financial institutions secured by non-Level 1 HQLA and unsecured performing loans to financial institutions | 955 | 25,760 | 12,911 | 39,713 | 50,988 |
| 20 | of which: Performing loans to non-financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, central banks and public sector entities (PSEs) | 12,023 | 47,317 | 30,381 | 130,652 | 157,135 |
| 21 | of which: With a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under APS 112 | - | 402 | 374 | 14,942 | 10,100 |
| 22 | of which: Performing residential mortgages | - | 5,025 | 4,809 | 368,444 | 264,121 |
| 23 | of which: Standard loans to individuals with an LVR of 80% or below | - | 4,116 | 3,936 | 297,201 | 202,674 |
| 24 | of which: Securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities | 1,231 | 916 | 294 | 8,445 | 8,830 |
| 25 | Assets with matching interdependent liabilities | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 | Other assets: | 29,915 | 40,216 | 853 | 3,300 | 33,428 |
| 27 | of which: Physical traded commodities, including gold | 2,472 | - | - | - | 2,101 |
| 28 | of which: Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of central counterparties (CCPs) | - | 3,958 | - | - | 3,364 |
| 29 | of which: NSFR derivative assets | - | 15,036 | - | - | 877 |
| 30 | of which: NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted | - | 20,481 | - | - | 4,096 |
| 31 | of which: All other assets not included in the above categories | 27,444 | 741 | 853 | 3,300 | 22,989 |
| 32 | Off-balance sheet items | - | - | - | 201,679 | 8,623 |
| 33 | Total RSF | | | | | 537,430 |
| 34 | Net Stable Funding Ratio (%) | | | | | 116.35% |

ANZ's NSFR as at 30 September 2023 was 116.3%, down 1.6% in the quarter since June 2023.

The main sources of Available Stable Funding (ASF) at September 2023 were deposits from Retail and SME customers, at 48%, with other wholesale funding (including Term Funding Facilities) at 28% and capital at 16% of the total ASF.

The majority of ANZ's Required Stable Funding (RSF) at September 2023 was driven by mortgages at 49% and other lending to non-FI customers at 29% of the total RSF.

Table 21 NSFR disclosure template (continued)

| Available Stable Funding (ASF) Item | Jun 23 Unweighted value by residual maturity | | | | Weighted value \$M |
|---|---|------------|-------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| | No maturity | < 6 months | 6 months to < 1yr | ≥ 1yr | |
| | \$M | \$M | \$M | \$M | |
| 1 Capital | 68,938 | - | - | 32,445 | 101,383 |
| 2 of which: regulatory capital | 68,938 | - | - | 32,445 | 101,383 |
| 3 of which: other capital instruments | - | - | - | - | - |
| 4 Retail deposits and deposits from small business customers | 238,212 | 80,182 | - | - | 293,062 |
| 5 of which: stable deposits | 113,352 | 16,813 | - | - | 123,657 |
| 6 of which: less stable deposits | 124,860 | 63,369 | - | - | 169,405 |
| 7 Wholesale funding | 168,770 | 309,107 | 47,554 | 62,913 | 213,740 |
| 8 of which: operational deposits | 98,874 | - | - | - | 49,437 |
| 9 of which: other wholesale funding | 69,896 | 309,107 | 47,554 | 62,913 | 164,303 |
| 10 Liabilities with matching interdependent assets | - | - | - | - | - |
| 11 Other liabilities | 12,865 | 13,404 | 150 | 3,047 | 3,122 |
| 12 of which: NSFR derivative liabilities | - | 13,404 | - | - | - |
| 13 of which: All other liabilities and equity not included in the above categories | 12,865 | - | 150 | 3,047 | 3,122 |
| 14 Total ASF | | | | | 611,307 |
| Required Stable Funding (RSF) Item | | | | | |
| 15(a) Total NSFR (HQLA) | | | | | 8,053 |
| 15(b) ALA | | | | | 2,009 |
| 15(c) RBNZ securities | | | | | 924 |
| 16 Deposits held at other financial institutions for operational purposes | - | - | - | - | - |
| 17 Performing loans and securities | 13,435 | 117,988 | 41,861 | 528,798 | 465,554 |
| 18 of which: Performing loans to financial institutions secured by Level 1 HQLA | - | 40,008 | - | - | 4,001 |
| 19 of which: Performing loans to financial institutions secured by non-Level 1 HQLA and unsecured performing loans to financial institutions | 566 | 24,437 | 9,862 | 22,559 | 31,721 |
| 20 of which: Performing loans to non- financial corporate clients, loans to retail and small business customers, and loans to sovereigns, central banks and public sector entities (PSEs) | 11,606 | 47,796 | 26,814 | 150,394 | 171,938 |
| 21 of which with a risk weight of less than or equal to 35% under APS 112 | - | 480 | 398 | 15,335 | 10,408 |
| 22 of which: Performing residential mortgages: | - | 5,218 | 4,749 | 347,684 | 249,401 |
| 23 of which: Standard loans to individuals with an LVR of 80% or below | - | 4,371 | 3,959 | 281,277 | 192,136 |
| 24 of which: Securities that are not in default and do not qualify as HQLA, including exchange-traded equities | 1,263 | 529 | 436 | 8,161 | 8,493 |
| 25 Assets with matching interdependent liabilities | - | - | - | - | - |
| 26 Other assets: | 27,596 | 39,334 | 1,474 | 2,570 | 33,046 |
| 27 of which: Physical traded commodities, including gold | 2,514 | - | - | - | 2,137 |
| 28 of which: Assets posted as initial margin for derivative contracts and contributions to default funds of central counterparties (CCPs) | - | 3,720 | - | - | 3,162 |
| 29 of which: NSFR derivative assets | - | 13,837 | - | - | 433 |
| 30 of which: NSFR derivative liabilities before deduction of variation margin posted | - | 21,333 | - | - | 4,267 |
| 31 of which: All other assets not included in the above categories | 25,083 | 445 | 1,474 | 2,570 | 23,048 |
| 32 Off-balance sheet items | - | - | - | 205,773 | 8,747 |
| 33 Total RSF | | | | | 518,333 |
| 34 Net Stable Funding Ratio (%) | | | | | 117.94% |

Glossary

| | |
|--|--|
| ADI | Authorised Deposit-taking Institution. |
| Basel III Credit Valuation adjustment (CVA) capital charge | CVA charge is an additional capital requirement under Basel III for bilateral derivative exposures. Derivatives not cleared through a central exchange/counterparty are subject to this additional capital charge and also receive normal CRWA treatment under Basel II principles. |
| Collectively Assessed Provision for Credit Impairment | Collectively assessed provisions for credit impairment represent the Expected Credit Loss (ECL) calculated in accordance with AASB 9 Financial Instruments (AASB 9). These incorporate forward looking information and do not require an actual loss event to have occurred for an impairment provision to be recognised. |
| Credit exposure | The aggregate of all claims, commitments and contingent liabilities arising from on- and off-balance sheet transactions (in the banking book and trading book) with the counterparty or group of related counterparties. |
| Credit risk | The risk of financial loss resulting from a counterparty failing to fulfil its obligations, or from a decrease in credit quality of a counterparty resulting in a loss in value. |
| Credit Valuation Adjustment (CVA) | Over the life of a derivative instrument, ANZ uses a CVA model to adjust fair value to take into account the impact of counterparty credit quality. The methodology calculates the present value of expected losses over the life of the financial instrument as a function of probability of default, loss given default, expected credit risk exposure and an asset correlation factor. Impaired derivatives are also subject to a CVA. |
| Days past due | The number of days a credit obligation is overdue, commencing on the date that the arrears or excess occurs and accruing for each completed calendar day thereafter. |
| Exposure at Default (EAD) | Exposure At Default is defined as the expected facility exposure at the date of default. |
| Impaired assets (IA) | Facilities are classified as impaired when there is doubt as to whether the contractual amounts due, including interest and other payments, will be met in a timely manner. Impaired assets include impaired facilities, and impaired derivatives. Impaired derivatives have a credit valuation adjustment (CVA), which is a market assessment of the credit risk of the relevant counterparties. |
| Impaired loans (IL) | Impaired loans comprise of drawn facilities where the customer's status is defined as impaired. |
| Individual provision charge (IPC) | Individual provision charge is the amount of expected credit losses on financial instruments assessed for impairment on an individual basis (as opposed to on a collective basis). It takes into account expected cash flows over the lives of those financial instruments. |
| Individually Assessed Provisions for Credit Impairment | Individually assessed provisions for credit impairment are calculated in accordance with AASB 9 Financial Instruments (AASB 9). They are assessed on a case-by-case basis for all individually managed impaired assets taking into consideration factors such as the realisable value of security (or other credit mitigants), the likely return available upon liquidation or bankruptcy, legal uncertainties, estimated costs involved in recovery, the market price of the exposure in secondary markets and the amount and timing of expected receipts and recoveries. |

| | |
|--|--|
| Internationally Comparable Basel III Capital Ratio | The Internationally Comparable Basel III CET1 ratios are ANZ's interpretation of the regulations documented in the Basel Committee publications; "Basel 3: A global regulatory framework for more resilient banks and banking systems" (June 2011) and "International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards" (June 2006). They also include differences identified in APRA's information paper entitled International Capital Comparison Study (13 July 2015). |
| Market risk | <p>The risk to ANZ's earnings arising from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads, volatility, correlations or from fluctuations in bond, commodity or equity prices. ANZ has grouped market risk into two broad categories to facilitate the measurement, reporting and control of market risk:</p> <p>Traded market risk - the risk of loss from changes in the value of financial instruments due to movements in price factors for both physical and derivative trading positions. Trading positions arise from transactions where ANZ acts as principal with customers, financial exchanges or inter-bank counterparties.</p> <p>Non-traded market risk (or balance sheet risk) - comprises interest rate risk in the banking book and the risk to the AUD denominated value of ANZ's capital and earnings due to foreign exchange rate movements.</p> |
| Operational risk | The risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems, or from external events including legal risk but excluding reputation risk. |
| Past due facilities | Facilities where a contractual payment has not been met or the customer is outside of contractual arrangements are deemed past due. Past due facilities include those operating in excess of approved arrangements or where scheduled repayments are outstanding but do not include impaired assets. |
| Qualifying Central Counterparties (QCCP) | QCCP is a central counterparty which is an entity that interposes itself between counterparties to derivative contracts. Trades with QCCP attract a more favorable risk weight calculation. |
| Recoveries | Payments received and taken to profit for the current period for the amounts written off in prior financial periods. |
| Restructured items | Restructured items comprise facilities in which the original contractual terms have been modified for reasons related to the financial difficulties of the customer. Restructuring may consist of reduction of interest, principal or other payments legally due, or an extension in maturity materially beyond those typically offered to new facilities with similar risk. |
| Risk Weighted Assets (RWA) | Assets (both on and off-balance sheet) are risk weighted according to each asset's inherent potential for default and what the likely losses would be in the case of default. In the case of non-asset backed risks (i.e., market and operational risk), RWA is determined by multiplying the capital requirements for those risks by 12.5. |
| Securitisation risk | The risk of credit related losses greater than expected due to a securitisation failing to operate as anticipated, or of the values and risks accepted or transferred, not emerging as expected. |
| Write-Offs | Facilities are written off against the related provision for impairment when they are assessed as partially or fully uncollectable, and after proceeds from the realisation of any collateral have been received. Where individual provisions recognised in previous periods have subsequently decreased or are no longer required, such impairment losses are reversed in the current period income statement. |

This page has been intentionally left blank